WHO News

How bad is the environment for our health?



Kerstin Leitner earned her Ph.D with a thesis on socioeconomic development in Kenya at Berlin's Free University in her native Germany in 1975. A year later, she embarked on what was to become a 27-year career with the UN Development Programme (UNDP). She held several posts in Africa, Asia, Europe and the Middle East. Most recently, from 1998 to 2003, she was UN Resident Coordinator and UNDP Resident Representative in China, and before that, from 1997 to 1998, she was Senior Special Adviser to the UNDP Assistant Administrator and Director in Asia and the Pacific.

Kerstin Leitner

Since Kerstin Leitner became Assistant Director-General of WHO's Sustainable Development and Healthy Environment cluster of departments, in September 2003, her team have sharpened their focus to provide information and knowledge to numerous Member States on the public health impact of environmental factors. She talks about this and other aspects of her cluster's work.

Q: What is the disease burden due to environmental factors?

A: Some experts say a third of the disease burden of children is due to environmental factors. It's extremely difficult to prove that. We often lack the epidemiological basis. Often we only have 'guestimates'. We know that allergies and asthma are caused by environmental factors, but it's difficult to say to what extent and whether people become susceptible to allergies because of air pollution or chemical residues in food. There is clearly a link between certain types of cancer and environmental factors. One of the best known cases is asbestos and lung cancer. But lung cancer can also be caused by other factors, such as smoking. This is where our work is particularly demanding. You have to make judgements. You don't want to be alarmist but also not too laid back. You need to strike a balance.

Q: Have you changed your team's focus in any way?

A: We have become sharper in our focus as we look at environmental, social and economic determinants of health and globalization. We always put the emphasis on the public health dimension. It's easy to get carried away by various aspects of chemical safety, but our task is to look at the impact of this on individual and public health and what public health authorities — in particular ministries of health — should be doing to protect people's health. It sounds easy but often it is not. We work in a context where we do not have full scientific or epidemiological information, so there has to be a consultation process between us and the scientists,

and then with our clients, the ministries of health, and with other stakeholders. We need to decide whether WHO should recommend precautionary measures, for example, when we are dealing with health threats that can cause irreversible damage.

Q: Does your work bring WHO into conflict with industry?

A: Over the last few years more and more big companies see that it is in their long-term interest to have a safety, health and environmental policy which they can apply to themselves as well as to their suppliers and distributors. Occasionally you hit on an issue where you cannot identify a real viable alterna-

tive for industry. That is when things can get rough. We have seen this in the past with the tobacco industry, now the sugar industry and, in our field of interest, it's the asbestos mining industry.

Q: Why is a sector-wide approach to health needed and how does this work?

A: If a developing country is donor attractive, the last thing you want is to have national government funding for these sectors running in parallel to that of donors. A sector-wide approach allows the government to state where it would like to invest itself and where it would welcome donor support. In its current incarnation the sector-wide approach is fairly new, but there have

been precursors. WHO has also developed a guidance note on how the UN system could and should participate in sector-wide approaches.

Q: What progress has your cluster made in terms of supporting Member States through the Country Focus Office?

A: As a pilot project, we started by assessing what it would take to re-profile the country offices of Kenya, Malawi and the United Republic of Tanzania to be responsive to the needs of each country's health sector. Africa is the region that has most actively embraced this approach. These countries have formed at least one country cooperation strategy and are in the process of repli-

cating the experience in Kenya to re-profile all their country offices.

Q: Why are you handling this? Isn't this an administrative task?

A: No. There is clearly an administrative and managerial task involved, but we are looking at a sophisticated assessment of technical needs. For

example, just because you have a major DOTS programme does not mean you need a tuberculosis expert in that office. If a country has institutions capable of delivering a DOTS programme for tuberculosis effectively you don't need to spend money on a DOTS expert. You may be better off providing a multidisciplinary team to monitor progress of that national programme.

Some experts say a third of the disease burden of children is due to environmental factors. It's extremely difficult to prove that.

Q: What are you doing to help countries with inadequate health legislation?

A: We are in the process of formulating model legislation which countries can adapt to help them achieve the Millennium Development Goals. Many countries revise public health legislation and many never do. There are some former colonies whose health legislation probably dates back to colonial times. It doesn't mean this is obsolete, most likely not, but sometimes it needs to be brought up to date. Furthermore, we have collected existing legislation from many countries, so we can provide Member States with examples of existing laws.

Q: What simple, cost-effective interventions could make environments substantially healthier and food much safer?

A: There's one — and it's something that often gets short shrift when there is an outbreak of infectious disease — and that's hygiene. Hygiene can carry us a long way. Hygiene is written into the WHO constitution. We have developed the Five Keys to Safer Food, consisting of five very simple principles of hygiene. I don't know if it takes care of 30% or 50% of health-related problems, but it's a big step and something that can be done easily.

Q: What are you doing to improve hygiene standards?

A: Probably not enough. With regard to avian flu, in particular on small farms, there could be room for us

- under the heading of occupational health - to develop guidance on hygiene standards and methods to handle poultry. We have been much more focused on the virus that causes it, and this is important work, but most people who died were at these small farms.

Q: When people are faced with a daily struggle for survival are ethics a luxury they can ill afford?

A: In public health, ethics are particularly important when you work under constraints. We developed a set of ethical guidelines for the '3 by 5' campaign, to get HIV/AIDS treatment to one in two poor people who need it. For example, a doctor or a nurse in a rural clinic has one HIV/AIDS treatment left. In comes a poor farmer and in comes the local teacher. Whom do you treat? Without ethical guidance medical personnel are exposed to the pulls and

> pushes of the situation. The teacher may be more important to the community but may get treatment by other means. If the farmer dies, a whole family will be thrown into destitution. We have also discussed the ethical dimensions of organ and tissue transplant over the last two years as well as transplantation tourism. Ethics

are not a luxury, it's quite the opposite. It's in situations where you have very limited choices that you need to know how to make the right decision.

C Ethics are not a luxury, it's quite the opposite. It's in situations where you have very limited choices that you need to know how to make the right decision.

Recent news from WHO

- WHO warned that young people should avoid excessive exposure to the sun and avoid using sunlamps to get a tan. The 17 March warning was directed primarily at under-18s who have a greater risk of developing malignant melanoma later in life if they get burnt from exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiation from the sun or a sunlamp.
- The fight against tuberculosis (TB) has made progress across most regions of the world with the glaring exception of Africa, where the disease has reached alarming proportions and where a growing number of cases and deaths are linked to HIV/AIDS, according to a new report. The Global Tuberculosis Control report, released by WHO on World TB Day on 24 March, found that global prevalence of the disease has declined by more than 20% since 1990 and that incidences are falling or stable in five of the six regions of the world. In contrast, TB rates in Africa have tripled since 1990 in countries with high HIV/AIDS prevalence and rising across the continent at a rate of 3-4% annually. Read the report: http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/
- Damage to ecosystems poses a growing threat to human health, according to a new WHO report published on 30 March. According to the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment some 60% of the benefits that the global ecosystem provides to support life on Earth — such as fresh water, clean air and a relatively stable climate — are being degraded or used in an unsustainable way. An ecosystem is a dynamic complex of plants, animals, and microorganisms that interact with the non-living environment. Read the report: http://www.maweb.org/
- In a major report published on 7 April, WHO called on governments and donors to do more to save the lives of millions of mothers and children who die every year from preventable causes in poor countries. The World health report 2005: Make every mother and child count said millions of women and children die because they do not have access to potentially life-saving care.
- WHO launched an appeal through the United Nations on 8 April for US\$ 2.4 million to help Angola fight a major outbreak of Marburg haemorrhagic fever. Over 200 cases have been reported. More than 50 international health experts from the global alert and response network are managing the outbreak alongside Angolan health teams. Their work was suspended briefly in Uíge Province — the epicentre of the outbreak — on 7 April after reported stoning of vehicles by residents. The following day work resumed as WHO engaged community leaders to help explain the serious nature of the virus to residents.
- Government officials, HIV/AIDS and nutrition experts, and researchers and representatives of UN and nongovernmental organizations gathered in the South African city of Durban from 10-13 April to examine the relationship between nutrition and HIV/AIDS. HIV infection and malnutrition rates are rising to alarming levels in sub-Saharan Africa.
- WHO said on 13 April no infections of laboratory workers with the H2N2 influenza virus had been reported after the College of American Pathologists distributed samples to 3747 laboratories in 18 countries in March. People born after 1968 are expected to have limited immunity to the virus because it has not circulated in nature since then.

For more about these and other WHO news items please see: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/en/