Mass measles vaccination in urban Burkina Faso, 1998
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Objective To assess the impact of the National Immunization Days (NIDs) on measles vaccine coverage in Burkina Faso in 1998.

Methods During the week after the campaign, in which measles vaccine was offered to children aged 9–59 months in six cities regardless of vaccination history, a cluster survey was conducted in Ouagadougou and Bobo Dioulasso, the country’s two largest cities. Interviewers visited the parents of 1267 children aged up to 59 months and examined vaccination cards. We analysed the data using cluster sample methodology for the 1041 children who were aged 9–59 months.

Findings A total of 604 (57%) children had received routine measles vaccination prior to the campaign, and 823 (79%) were vaccinated during the NIDs. Among those who had previously had a routine vaccination, 484 (81%) were revaccinated during the NIDs. Among those not previously vaccinated, 339 (78%) received one dose during the NIDs. After the campaign, 943 (91%) children had received at least one dose of measles vaccine. Better socioeconomic status was associated with a higher chance of having been vaccinated routinely, but it was not associated with NID coverage.

Conclusion The mass campaign enabled a substantial increase in measles vaccine coverage to be made because it reached a high proportion of children who were difficult to reach through routine methods.
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Introduction

Supplemental measles vaccination campaigns are a key component of the WHO strategy to eliminate measles. In the Americas most countries have successfully conducted “catch-up” campaigns to improve vaccine coverage rapidly (1, 2). Burkina Faso, a country with low coverage of routine measles vaccination, conducted two annual rounds of National Immunization Days (NIDs) against poliomyelitis in 1996 and 1997, with coverage exceeding 95% in each round (3). Because of the apparent success of the NIDs, additional interventions were included in 1998. In addition to the oral polio vaccine offered to children aged up to 59 months, vitamin A supplementation was offered to those aged 6–59 months during the first round in November. During the second round in December, measles vaccine was offered in six cities to those aged 9–59 months regardless of vaccination history.

Only a limited mass measles vaccination strategy was used in 1998 for three main reasons: the feasibility of safe, mass vaccination campaigns requiring injections had not been established; there was a larger number of health care workers trained to administer injections in urban settings; and there was the possibility that vaccinations in urban areas might reduce measles mortality and transmission in rural areas (4).
Mass measles vaccinations have been proposed as a strategy to improve measles control, primarily in areas with high vaccine coverage (5, 6). Previous experience has suggested that mass vaccination campaigns might reach a large proportion of children who had already been vaccinated but not provide substantial benefit to those who had not been vaccinated through routine programmes, and that they might, therefore, have little impact on measles control (7). Whether the mass campaign is extended to cover other areas of Burkina Faso will partly depend on the ability to clarify campaign coverage issues. We conducted a detailed survey in Ouagadougou and Bobo Dioulasso, the two largest cities in Burkina Faso, immediately after the 1998 campaign. Parents were interviewed to collect information on socioeconomic status and to determine whether their children had participated in the immunization days; children’s vaccination cards were also reviewed.

Methods

We conducted a cluster survey (8, 9) in two cities within the areas covered by the measles vaccine campaign. Altogether, 121 clusters of 7 children were randomly selected in Ouagadougou (four health districts) and 60 clusters were selected in Bobo Dioulasso (two health districts) using a two-stage sampling method. The 30 sectors of Ouagadougou and the 25 sectors of Bobo Dioulasso were used as secondary stratification levels. The National Institute for Demography provided population estimates for each sector. The location of the first household to be surveyed was randomly identified on a map. Interviewers enrolled children from consecutive households. They were instructed to identify and collect data on all children in each household who were aged up to 59 months. After a household had been surveyed, interviewers moved to the next one, until a total of 7 children aged 0–59 months could be surveyed for each individual cluster.

The survey took place during the week immediately following the vaccination campaign. Data were collected using closed questions. Interviews were conducted with the principal caregiver of every child. If the caregiver was absent, interviewers registered the name of the child and returned to complete data collection.

We classified children as having been routinely vaccinated if they possessed a vaccination card that recorded one dose of measles vaccine at or after 9 months of age (10). Interviewers used indirect questioning to determine whether a child had received a dose of vaccine during the campaign. First they found out whether the caregiver was aware that the campaign had taken place. If so, they asked if the caregiver remembered the dates on which the campaign had taken place (two rounds separated by a one-month interval). Finally, the caregiver was asked to describe what the child had received (two pink drops signified polio vaccine, red capsules vitamin A, and an injection measles vaccine).

The computed proportions and confidence limits with the CSAMPLE program included in EpiInfo version 6.04c (11). Cities were used as primary strata, the clusters were the primary sampling units, and the size of the population to be vaccinated in each city were the sample weights.
Results

A total of 1267 children aged 0–59 months grouped in 181 clusters were surveyed. We focused on the 1041 (82%) aged 9–59 months (Table 1) who were eligible to receive measles vaccine. There were 712 children in Ouagadougou and 329 in Bobo Dioulasso eligible for inclusion. There were vaccination booklets for 80% of children. Altogether, 604 (57%; 95% confidence interval (CI) = 54–61%) of children aged 9–59 months had had a measles vaccination documented before the campaign. Of the children surveyed, 823 (79%; 95% CI = 76–83%) received measles vaccine during the immunization days. In total, 943 of the 1041 children (91%; 95% CI = 88–93%) had received measles vaccine at least once either routinely or through the campaign.

Children whose principal caregiver had had no education were less likely than others to have been routinely vaccinated against measles prior to the campaign (49% in contrast to 68%; relative risk (RR) 0.71, 95% CI = 0.63–0.81). Routine coverage increased as the level of the caregiver’s education increased (Table 2).

The proportion of children who received measles vaccine during the NIDs was similar among children who had documented routine vaccination and those who did not (Fig. 1). Among the 604 who had had a routine vaccination, 484 (81%) were revaccinated during the NIDs. Among the 437 not previously vaccinated, 339 (78%) received one dose during the immunization days. Predictors of a missed routine vaccination were not associated with better coverage during the NIDs. In particular, children whose principal caregiver had had no education were as likely to be vaccinated during the immunization days as other children were (79% vs. 80%; RR 0.99, 95% CI = 0.91–1.07) (Table 2).

Altogether, 98 (9%) of the children had not received a dose of measles vaccine, either routinely or during the campaigns (Table 3). The risk of not being vaccinated was slightly higher for boys than for girls (RR 1.56, 95% CI = 1.09–2.24).

The coverage estimates found in the survey were substantially different from those obtained from information on the number of doses administered (Table 4). For the six districts combined, the administrative information suggested that coverage was 107%, while coverage measured by the survey was 79% (95% CI = 76–83%). Thus, the administrative method overestimated actual coverage by 35%. In addition, the district with the highest administrative coverage (Bobo Dioulasso sector 22) was also that with the lowest coverage as measured by the survey.

Discussion

This survey provides useful information for the development of measles control strategies in Burkina Faso. In Ouagadougou and Bobo Dioulasso administering a supplemental dose of measles vaccine, regardless of vaccination history, increased the proportion of children who received at least one dose of measles vaccine from 57% before the campaign to 91%. The campaign also allowed a high proportion of children who are harder to reach routinely to be vaccinated. Finally, findings from this survey suggest...
that coverage estimates using administrative data on the number of doses given did not adequately identify districts with high and low coverage.

Measles vaccination campaigns have not always achieved satisfactory results. In Manila, Philippines, for example, in a context of routine coverage approaching 90%, the proportion of non-vaccinated children that could be reached by one campaign remained low (7). In other places, campaigns did not reach a large enough proportion of children (12, 13). Data in this report suggest that a carefully planned measles vaccination campaign conducted in a setting of moderate-to-low routine coverage can vaccinate a much larger number of children than routine activities alone, particularly among those who are hard to reach.

Mass vaccination campaigns complement, but are not substitutes for, routine vaccination activities. Campaigns do not allow all the antigens included in the Expanded Programme on Immunization to be administered (10). They also require large numbers of health staff, trained to administer injections, to be mobilized, and they require financial resources. Effective measles control requires a combination of several strategies (4). In developing a national plan for measles control, it is necessary to strengthen disease surveillance, to ensure higher routine coverage with one-dose measles vaccine, and to anticipate that additional mass campaigns might be necessary to compensate for the growth in the numbers of susceptible children over time. Previous experience suggests that after a mass campaign the number of measles cases might increase rapidly within a few years if proper measures are not taken (14).

This report illustrates three different ways of estimating vaccine coverage: reviewing vaccination cards, calculating from data on the number of doses administered, and interviewing caregivers immediately after a campaign. Each method has limitations. In this study, only children’s vaccination cards were used to determine whether a child had been vaccinated routinely, thus there is a possibility that children who had been vaccinated but whose cards did not reflect this may have been misclassified as unvaccinated (15). Assessing vaccine coverage through the number of doses administered is common (16). Although such data are frequently used to make operational decisions, there are concerns about accuracy (17). Data from this survey suggest that the administrative method did not adequately identify districts with low coverage during the campaign. Most of the discrepancies were probably caused by incomplete information on the number of children of the appropriate age and the vaccination of children who fell outside the selected age group. Because the survey relied only on the caregivers’ statements to determine which, if any, vaccinations had been received during the campaign, there is the potential for misclassification (18). However, maternal reports can provide useful information on vaccine coverage (19). Additional precautions taken for the survey, such as conducting interviews less than 10 days after the campaign and using indirect questioning, are likely to have improved the reliability of the estimates of coverage.

In Ouagadougou and Bobo Dioulasso, poor coverage of measles vaccination could rapidly be compensated for through mass vaccination campaigns because coverage during campaigns is less influenced by socioeconomic factors than routine coverage. In 1999, Burkina Faso developed a national strategic plan for measles control and conducted a thoroughly evaluated national campaign. Follow-up campaigns are planned for 2001 as well as improvements in routine coverage and strengthened surveillance activities to achieve sustainable measles control.
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Résumé
Vaccination de masse contre la rougeole dans les zones urbaines du Burkina Faso, 1998
Méthodes La semaine suivant cette campagne, la vaccination antirougeoleuse a été offerte aux enfants de 9 à 59 mois dans six villes, quel que soit leur statut.
vacunal anterior, y una encuesta a été réalisée par
sondage en grappes à Ouagadougou et Bobo Dioulasso,
les deux plus grandes villes du pays. Les enquêteurs ont
interrogé les parents de 1267 enfants de moins de
60 mois et ont examiné les carnets de vaccination. Nous
avons analysé les données selon la méthode du sondage
en grappes pour les 1041 enfants qui étaient âgés de 9 à
59 mois.

**Résultats** Au total, 604 enfants (57 %) avaient reçu
une vaccination antirisique de routine avant la
campagne et 823 (79 %) ont été vaccinés pendant les
journées nationales de vaccination. Parmi les enfants
qui étaient déjà vaccinés, 484 (80 %) ont été
revaccinés pendant la campagne, et parmi ceux qui
n’avaient pas encore été vaccinés, 339 (78 %) ont reçu
une dose de vaccin. Après la campagne, 943 enfants
(91 %) avaient reçu au moins une dose de vaccin
antirisique. Un meilleur niveau socio-économique
était associé à de plus grandes chances pour l’enfant
d’avoir reçu une vaccination de routine, mais n’était
pas associé au taux de couverture des journées
nationales de vaccination.

**Conclusion** La campagne de vaccination de masse a
permis d’augmenter sensiblement la couverture vacci-
nale car elle a pu toucher une forte proportion d’enfants
difficiles à atteindre par les méthodes classiques.

---

**Resumen**

**Vacunación masiva contra el sarampión en zonas urbanas de Burkina Faso, 1998**

**Objetivo** Evaluar la repercusión de los Días Nacionales de Inmunización (DNI) en la cobertura de vacunación antirisiquiosa en Burkina Faso en 1998.

**Métodos** Durante la semana posterior a la campaña, en la que se ofreció vacunación antirisiquiosa a los niños de 9 a 59 meses de seis ciudades con independencia de sus antecedentes de vacunación, se llevó cabo una encuesta por conglomerados en Ouagadougou y Bobo Dioulasso, las principales ciudades del país. Los encues-
tadores visitaron a los padres de 1267 niños de hasta 59 meses de edad y examinaron sus fichas de vacunación. Analizamos los datos correspondientes a un total de 1041 niños de 9 a 59 meses de edad, empleando métodos adecuados para muestras por conglomerados.

**Resultados** En total, 604 niños (57 %) habían recibido vacunación antirisiquiosa sistemática antes de la campaña, y 823 (79 %) fueron vacunados durante los DNI. Entre los que se habían beneficiado anteriormente de la vacunación sistemática, 484 (80 %) fueron revacunados durante los DNI. Entre los no vacunados previamente, 339 (78 %) recibieron una dosis durante los DNI. Al terminar la campaña, 943 niños (91 %) habían recibido como mínimo una dosis de vacuna anti-
risiquiosa. Un mayor estatus socioeconómico se
asociaba a una mayor probabilidad de haberse beneficiado de la vacunación sistemática, pero no así de la cobertura de los DNI.

**Conclusión** La campaña masiva permitió ampliar sustancialmente la cobertura vacunal contra el saram-
pión, pues se dio alcance a una alta proporción de los
niños que difícilmente se benefician de las intervenciones sistemáticas.
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