Estimating child mortality due to diarrhoea in developing countries
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Objective The major objective of this study is to provide estimates of diarrhoea mortality at country, regional and global level by employing the Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group (CHERG) standard.

Methods A systematic and comprehensive literature review was undertaken of all studies published since 1980 reporting under-5 diarrhoea mortality. Information was collected on characteristics of each study and its population. A regression model was used to relate these characteristics to proportional mortality from diarrhoea and to predict its distribution in national populations.

Findings Global deaths from diarrhoea of children aged less than 5 years were estimated at 1.87 million (95% confidence interval, CI: 1.56–2.19), approximately 19% of total child deaths. WHO African and South-East Asia Regions combined contain 78% (1.46 million) of all diarrhoea deaths occurring among children in the developing world; 73% of these deaths are concentrated in just 15 developing countries.

Conclusion Planning and evaluation of interventions to control diarrhoea deaths and to reduce under-5 mortality is obstructed by the lack of a system that regularly generates cause-of-death information. The methods used here provide country-level estimates that constitute alternative information for planning in settings without adequate data.

Introduction

The Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) were adopted in 2000 with the aim of reducing the severe gaps between rich and poor populations. Most countries have endorsed Goal 4 of the MDGs to “reduce by two thirds [between 1990 and 2015] the mortality rate among children under-five”. Reliable information on the magnitude, patterns and trends of causes of death of children aged less than 5 years helps decision-makers to assess programmatic needs, prioritize interventions and monitor progress. It is also crucial for planning and evaluating effectiveness of health services and interventions. Yet, data are very scarce in low-income settings where they are most needed and estimations are necessary for these areas.

In the 1980s, Snyder and Merson generated one of the earliest attempts to estimate the worldwide burden of diarrhoeal diseases, demonstrating the substantial health onus due to diarrhoeal diseases on mortality among children aged less than 5 years. In the following decades, subsequent reviews updated these initial estimates using similar methods of assessment.

These initial estimates were based on average values derived from a limited set of studies without taking into account the epidemiological variations across different regions. Responding to international demand and to the need for better evidence-based cause-specific mortality, the Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group (CHERG) – an independent group of technical experts jointly coordinated by WHO and the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) – was established in 2001. CHERG has undertaken a systematic, extensive and comprehensive literature review of published information and developed a methodological approach that is transparent and consistent across different diseases and conditions to produce estimates of the major causes of childhood deaths.

This study is an essential part of the overall CHERG efforts. Its main objective is to provide estimates of deaths from diarrhoea in 2004 at all levels, mainly for countries with incomplete or non-existing civil registration data.

Methods

Data sources

Common sources of data for cause-specific mortality include vital registration systems, sample registration systems, nationally representative household surveys, sentinel Demographic Surveillance Sites (DSS) or epidemiological studies of cause-specific mortality. In countries that account for 98% of under-5 deaths worldwide, there is very limited or virtually no functioning vital registration system in place to support attribution of causes of death.

A sample registration system, which reports causes of death on a regular basis, is currently available only in China and its coverage and quality for under-5 deaths is challenging. Nationally representative household surveys such as Demographic Health Surveys (DHS) and UNICEF’s Multiple Indicator Monitoring System surveys provide cause-specific mortality information from their sample registration data.
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Cluster Surveys (MICS) do not usually report on causes of death, and DSS data were not available until very recently. Epidemiological studies currently constitute the main source of data available and were therefore used in this review for estimating diarrhoea-specific mortality.

**Search strategy**

Studies included in the analysis were identified through a systematic search of the scientific literature published since 1980. Medline was searched using the terms: "developing countries", "mortality/death", different spellings of "diarrhoea" and combinations of these terms. No restriction was placed on publication language.

The search identified a total of 804 papers of which 207 were kept for review of abstracts. The reference sections of the studies retrieved were reviewed to identify additional papers. Studies were then assessed to ensure that they met the main inclusion criteria: (i) direct or derivable diarrhoea-specific proportional mortality data; (ii) a minimum of 25 total deaths; (iii) a maximum of 25% of unknown or undetermined causes of death; (iv) community-based studies with at least 1 year of follow-up; and (v) follow-up time multiple of 12 months to minimize seasonal effects. Data were abstracted onto standardized paper forms by two independent abstractors, double-entered into an electronic database, and validated. Table 1 (available at: http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/86/9/07-050054/en/index.html) summarizes the main characteristics of the studies retained for the final analysis.

**Adjustment of age groups**

As not all studies reported on age ranges that were suitable for immediate inclusion in the analysis, we developed and applied a correction algorithm to adjust for age groups. By doing so, all data referred to the same age group (0–59 months), allowing for the inclusion of a greater number of studies in the analysis.

**Proportional mortality model**

A traditional approach to estimating cause-specific mortality is to model mortality rates. Instead, we have decided to model proportional mortality as this is the measure of interest when assessing causes of death by country. Moreover, as the WHO process for estimating causes of death is based on the estimation of under-5 mortality level, followed by the allocation of the causes of under-5 mortality, proportional mortality is a more pertinent outcome that can be used in the completion of the estimation process.

We employed a weighted regression model to assess the relationship between the observed proportion of deaths from diarrhoea and potential explanatory variables, in an approach similar to those previously used for estimating proportion of deaths from pneumonia.

Covariates included in the final model were those available from the studies selected, so that the model could reflect the relationship more accurately than in the conventional approach of using national averages. The variables included were: under-5 all-cause mortality and dummy variables for mid-year of study and for nine WHO subregions.

All-cause under-5 mortality was obtained for the same (or comparable) site from which the proportional diarrhoea mortality information was derived, as follows: (i) directly abstracted or calculated from available data in the study (30 studies); (ii) obtained from the authors when not possible to calculate from published data (three studies); (iii) obtained from DHS data (11 studies); or (iv) obtained using a method similar to that used for the adjustment of age groups (three studies). As under-5 mortality rates were reported in different measures (rates, risks or ratios) in the publications, we have transformed those provided as mortality rates (in) into a single metric – the probability (risk) of a child dying before reaching the age of 5 years (y).

WHO subregions are defined on the basis of levels of child and adult mortality: A, very low child and very low adult mortality; B, low child and low adult mortality; C, low child and high adult mortality; D, high child and high adult mortality; E, high child and very high adult mortality. The nine low- and middle-income subregions included in the model are: African Region (AFR) D and E; Region of the Americas (AMR) B and D; South-East Asia Region (SEAR) B and D; Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR) B and D and Western Pacific Region (WPR) B.

Other potentially important variables considered for inclusion in the model, such as coverage of oral rehydration therapy, access to clean water, and health system indicators, were only available for a very limited number of studies at site level and thus could not be incorporated in the model.

The regression coefficients obtained from the final model were used to predict the proportion of deaths from diarrhoea at country level by using national information on under-5 mortality in 2004 and data for the corresponding subregion. The number of deaths from diarrhoea in the year 2004 was estimated by applying the model-predicted diarrhoea-proportional mortality to the number of under-5 deaths in each country. These were then aggregated to provide subregional, regional, and global (low- and middle-income countries) estimates. Detailed information on the estimates of all-cause under-5 deaths can be found elsewhere.

**Uncertainty analysis**

Uncertainty estimates were generated using the standard errors obtained from the prediction model and running 10 000 Monte Carlo simulations.

**Results**

**Study characteristics**

Of the 68 studies that met the inclusion criteria, 47 were kept in the analysis because they provided data that enabled us to either abstract or calculate site-specific under-5 mortality rates (Table 1).

Seven studies presented data for more than one point in time, and one study provided data for different study populations, adding up to 56 data points and representing a total of 210 000 all-cause deaths and 33 500 diarrhoea deaths. Three data points were from nationally representative studies, seven from studies carried out in urban settings and 43 (77%) from those carried out in rural areas. This distribution compares well with that of the rural and urban populations in the countries studied.

Fig. 1 shows the location of the 47 studies retained from the literature search, revealing the regional distribution of study sites as follows: 23 data points (41%) in AFR, 17 (30%) in SEAR, and 12 (21%) in AMR. There
were very few studies or information available from EMR or WPR. The scarcity of information in these two regions is a fact, not only for diarrhoea mortality, but for other diseases and conditions as well.1,13

Studies were distributed around an average mid-surveillance year of 1990. Two studies were carried out in the 1970s. As for the remaining 54 data points, the mid-year of data collection was between 1980 and 1984 for 14 observations, between 1985 and 1989 for 26 observations and between 1990 and 1994 for 13 observations. Only one study was completely carried out after 1995. In recent years, low-mortality studies were seen more than high-mortality studies, reflecting the secular downward trend in child mortality that has been accompanied by a decrease in the proportion of deaths due to diarrhoea. The age-adjusted (0–59 months) diarrhoea-proportional mortality ranged from 4.6% in Brazil in 199720 to 47.7% in Egypt in 1980.21

Model specifications
The final regression model was (standard errors in brackets):

\[
\text{logit}(\% \text{ diarrhoea deaths}) = 5.31 + 2.38(\ln \, q_0) + 2.01(\text{time}) + 8.56(\text{subregion})
\]

\[\{3.67, 1.02, 0.97, 1.92\}\]

where \(\ln \, q_0\) is the natural logarithm of the risk of dying between birth and 5 years in the study site, \(\text{time}\) is a dummy variable for mid-year of study (1 for 1990 and after, 0 for before 1990) and \(\text{subregion}\) is a dummy variable for WHO subregions (1 for SEAR B and D combined, 0 for the other subregions). The goodness-of-fit was satisfactory, as reflected by the \(R^2\) of 0.60. There was no systematic deviation among the residual.

External validation
A simple validation technique that is commonly used is to compare the model outputs with empirical data other than those used in the model. We searched the latest data from DHS and other nationally representative surveys in which verbal autopsy was used to obtain information on causes of death among children aged less than 5 years. We have identified three recently published surveys with available information from Bangladesh (DHS 2005),22 Cambodia (DHS 2005)23 and Liberia (Food Security and Nutritional Survey 2006).24 The difference in cause categories made direct comparison difficult, particularly for Bangladesh and Cambodia. The only comparable data set was that from Liberia where the model-based estimate and empirically observed figure for the proportion of diarrhoea deaths were 15.9% (95% CI: 12.4–19.3) and 16.1%, respectively. This is not sufficient to validate the entire set of extrapolations but it does illustrate the performance of our method in countries where a vital registration system does not exist or is incomplete.

Subregional, regional and global estimates
Estimates of diarrhoea-proportional mortality for nine low- and middle-income WHO subregions are shown in Table 2, together with point estimates of the number of deaths due to diarrhoea and corresponding uncertainty ranges. The model-based global point estimate of 1.87 million (uncertainty range: 1.56–2.19) diarrhoea deaths corresponds to nearly 19% of the 10

Fig. 1. Distribution of epidemiological studies used in the analysis
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of mortality from diarrhoea over the past decades and in recent years, the uncertainty surrounding its current level remains quite high. This occurs partly because of the lack in quality and number of available data and partly because of the lack of consistency in methods. We systematically reviewed studies that provided child cause-specific mortality published since 1980 and employed a rigorous and transparent approach to estimate current country, regional, and global diarrhoea mortality.

**Recent estimates**

Two recent studies presented global estimates of child deaths due to diarrhoea that were equal to 2.5 million and 2.1 million. A third review has estimated that 22% of all deaths among under-5s in sub-Saharan Africa and 23% in South Asia were caused by diarrhoeal diseases in the year 2000.

The point estimate in our study resulted in 1.87 million deaths with an uncertainty range of 1.56 and 2.19 million deaths. These results are slightly lower than those calculated in the three other recent reviews. The main reasons for the differences encountered between this study and those by Kosek et al. and Parashar et al. are most probably due to the different data and methods employed.

In the present study, we performed a thorough literature review and took advantage of best available data to adjust for age, time, all-cause under-5 mortality, and regional mortality strata. Our approach has four major advantages when compared to earlier estimates. First, the method used here is transparent with all data sources available on the web. In addition, it is consistent with the CHERG systematic review protocol and comparable to the method used across different causes of under-5 deaths. Second, the adjustment for age groups had not been previously used in the estimation of deaths from diarrhoea and has enabled the inclusion of a larger number of data points in the analysis. Third, our study did not assume that the locations where studies were carried out were representative of the whole country. The use of local covariates to relate to proportional diarrhoea mortality and the use of national level variables to extrapolate estimates to national levels...
is intended to provide a correction for this common biased assumption. Finally, our approach enables estimation of diarrhoea mortality at country level, not just of regional averages.

The method employed in our study is closer to that used by Morris et al., also developed within CHERRG. One of the possible reasons for the somewhat lower estimates calculated in our analysis are the different sources of data. We have included 57 data points in our analysis as opposed to the 38 included in the review by Morris et al., mostly from sub-Saharan Africa and south Asia. In our review, there is a larger number of studies from the Americas, where the proportions of deaths due to diarrhoea are lower than in sub-Saharan Africa and south Asia. Other likely reasons for the differences are the different covariates included for modelling and the different models employed, which have diverse assumptions and statistical properties. It is worth noting that the multicause model has also provided higher estimates for the proportion of malaria deaths in sub-Saharan Africa (24%) than the 18% estimated by the single-cause model proposed by Rowe et al. Besides, the all-cause model has not taken into account the high proportion of HIV mortality in the AFR E subregion. It is likely that this may have resulted in an overestimation of the proportion of the other causes of death.

**Limitations**

There are some limitations intrinsic to the type of review and meta-analysis used in our assessment. Locations where special population studies are conducted are rarely representative of the entire countries as they are usually carried out in populations that are either easy to access or have atypical mortality patterns. However, using local variables in the model and national level variables to predict country estimates should account, at least in part, for this potential site bias.

The inclusion of mid-year of study in the model could be seen as reflecting both time and place of study as studies conducted in different years could also be from different places. Yet, time distribution of the studies within each region is very similar. Furthermore, the use of a dichotomous dummy variable for controlling for time in the regression model makes them equivalent for all countries.

Our estimates, as well as those obtained from other reviews, rely on published epidemiological studies that used mostly verbal autopsy methods in their assessment of causes of death. Consequently, they have limitations that are inherent to this type of data such as misclassification of causes of death due to imperfect sensitivity and specificity of the instrument. Misclassification of causes of death is likely to be random; therefore it does not necessarily imply that the distribution of these causes will be biased. We have not attempted to correct for the possible measurement errors introduced by the use of verbal autopsy because there was not enough site-specific information from validation studies to enable an adequate adjustment.

It is also worth noting that most (68%) of the data used in this review refer to studies that were carried out between the late 1980s and early 1990s and that the latest mid-year of observation was 1997. This represents a lag time of almost 10 years. Currently, available data are unable to capture possible recent changes in diarrhoea mortality either due to changes in interventions, their coverage, or new emerging diseases and competing causes of death, with the exception of HIV/AIDS which is captured by the use of subregional levels of mortality.

**Public health implications**

Estimates obtained here can be used as the starting point for the monitoring of cause of death at country, regional and global levels in the future. Clearly, such estimates do not replace empirical data. Nevertheless, they are an invaluable tool for guiding decision-making and prioritizing interventions in child health strategies and planning in countries where vital registration or other sources of community-based data on causes of death are not available. Importantly, such an estimation process is exceptionally useful for identifying gaps in information and for developing approaches to tackling data problems.

**Conclusion**

Information on causes of death for children aged less than 5 years has not increased significantly since the late 1980s. The lack of systems able to generate representative quality data
on a regular basis is one of the major obstacles for international and national planning to reduce under-5 mortality. By providing best possible estimates of the distribution of causes of death, CHERG methods have proven to be a transient alternative to countries without adequate information. The main CHERG standards for estimating the burden of mortality, used in this review include: (i) thorough literature search; (ii) data abstraction exercise performed by two independent data abstractors and with two independent data entries; (iii) very strict inclusion and exclusion criteria; and (iv) use of local covariates to predict national estimates. We strongly believe that these rigorous criteria ensured that inputs for the current estimates consisted of the most valid information available and that the modelling of local variables to predict national estimates was performed using an innovative and best possible approach. Results presented here should thus allow settings without adequate information to draw a reasonable picture of the burden of under-5 diarrhoea mortality that should ultimately result in practical planning for the prioritization of interventions and decision-making.

Acknowledgements
This work was done through CHERG, coordinated by the Department of Child and Adolescent Health and Development and supported by the Department of Measurement and Health Information Systems of WHO. We thank Bob Black and members of CHERG for their critical review of the methods. We thank Colin Mathers, Doris Ma Fat and Mie Inoue for providing data related to the WHO mortality database. We also thank Cesar Victoria and Bernardo Horta for providing additional data from their cohort study.

Funding: The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation provided financial support for the work of CHERG.

Competing interests: None declared.

Résumé
Estimation de la mortalité infanto-juvénile due à la diarrhée dans les pays en développement

Objectif Le principal objectif de cette étude est de fournir des estimations de la mortalité par diarrhée aux niveaux mondial, régional et national, en utilisant la norme du Groupe de référence pour l’épidémiologie de la santé de l’enfant (CHERG).

Méthodes Une revue systématique et exhaustive de la littérature a été réalisée sur l’ensemble des études publiées depuis 1980 et traitant de la mortalité par diarrhée des moins de cinq ans. Des informations ont été recueillies sur les caractéristiques de chaque étude et de sa population. Un modèle par régression a été utilisé pour relier ces caractéristiques à la mortalité proportionnelle par diarrhée et pour prédire sa distribution dans les populations nationales.

Résultats À l’échelle mondiale, le nombre de décès par diarrhée chez les moins de cinq ans a été estimé à 1,87 million (intervalle de confiance à 95 %, IC : 1,56–2,19), soit approximativement 19 % du nombre total de décès d’enfants. La Région africaine et la Région de l’Asie du Sud-est de l’OMS totalisent 78 % (1,46 millions) des décès par diarrhée se produisant chez les enfants du monde en développement et 73 % de ces décès se concentrent dans 15 pays en développement seulement.

Conclusion La planification et l’évaluation des interventions pour endiguer la mortalité par diarrhée et pour réduire la mortalidad des de cinq ans se heurtent à l’absence de système générant régulièrement des données sur les causes de décès. Les méthodes utilisées dans cette étude fournissent des estimations nationales, qui constituent des données de substitution pour la planification dans les pays ne disposant pas de données appropriées.

Resumen
Mortalidad en la niñez por diarrea en los paises en desarrollo

Objetivo El principal objetivo de este estudio fue aportar estimaciones de la mortalidad por diarrea a nivel de país, regional y mundial aplicando los criterios del Grupo de Referencia en Epidemiología de la Salud Infantil (CHERG).

Métodos Se llevó a cabo una revisión sistemática y detallada de la bibliografía para identificar todos los estudios publicados desde 1980 en los que se notificaran cifras de la mortalidad por diarrea entre los menores de cinco años. Se reunió información sobre las características de cada estudio y la población estudiada, y se usó un modelo de regresión para relacionar esas características con la mortalidad proporcional por diarrea y predecir su distribución en la población de cada país.

Resultados La mortalidad mundial por diarrea entre la población menor de cinco años se estimó en 1,87 millones (intervalo de confianza del 95%: 1,56–2,19), lo que supone aproximadamente el 19% de la mortalidad total en la niñez. Las regiones de África y Asia Sudoriental de la OMS acumulan entre ambas el 78% (1,46 millones) de todas las muertes por diarrea registradas entre los niños en el mundo en desarrollo; y el 73% de estas defunciones se concentran en sólo 15 países en desarrollo.

Conclusión La planificación y evaluación de las intervenciones encaminadas a controlar la mortalidad por diarrea y reducir la mortalidad de los menores de cinco años se ve dificultada por la falta de un sistema que genere información sobre las causas de mortalidad de manera regular. Los métodos aquí utilizados aportan estimaciones a nivel de país a modo de información alternativa para las actividades de planificación en los entornos que carecen de datos suficientes.
مقاله:
تقدير معدل وفيات الأطفال الناجمة عن الإسهال في البلدان النامية

المستند:
تعد هذه الدراسة لقائمة تحاليلONDONت تكون من ممارسة مكاني وتعليمية للمزيد من الوفيات الناجمة عن الإسهال في البلدان النامية. واستثخأت الأقلام المتاحة في النسخة الكاملة من مراجع الإسهال، حيث كان وقائع الوفيات الناجمة عن الإسهال في العالم بين عام 1980 وفترات الأطفال الذين هم دون سن الخامسة بسبب الإسهال وقُدِّمت من خلال تقييم ورشة عمل خاصة بدراسة عدد المشاركين فيها. وتمت تدريس التحقيق بين هذه 조روات بين نسبتوفيات الأطفال الناجمة عن الإسهال، وتشير نتائج هذه الدراسة إلى العلاقة السلبية في البلدان النامية بين الإسهال، على الصعيد العالمي، به 1.87 مليون طفل (بفاصلة ثقة 95% على الفاصلة).
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| Reference | Country | Site | WHO subregion | Period of study | No. of under-5 deaths | Proportion of diarrhoea deaths (%)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>Rural areas of the districts of western Area and Porto Loko</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1988–93</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>Robertsport and Bomi – urban areas; Grand Mountain and part of Lofa counties – rural areas</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1984–85</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>Robertsport and Bomi – urban areas; Grand Mountain and part of Lofa counties – rural areas</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1986–88</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>Upper River Division – rural area</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1988–89</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>Pikine-Guédiawaye – urban suburb of Dakar</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Kassena-Nankana District</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1989–91</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>Rural area</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>Rural area</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1984–86</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>Rural area</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Akoko North</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Guinea-Bissau</td>
<td>Bandim – urban area</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1987–90</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>Mlomp – rural area in Ziguinchor region</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>Niakhar district</td>
<td>AFR D</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>1 517</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Democratic Republic of the Congo</td>
<td>Kivu – rural area</td>
<td>AFR E</td>
<td>1986–87</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>Nyanza-Lac district – rural area</td>
<td>AFR E</td>
<td>1990–91</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>Bangui city – urban area</td>
<td>AFR E</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Rural area</td>
<td>AFR E</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Bagamoyo district – rural area</td>
<td>AFR E</td>
<td>1983–84</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Bagamoyo district – rural area</td>
<td>AFR E</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>United Republic of Tanzania</td>
<td>Bagamoyo district – rural area</td>
<td>AFR E</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Butajira district – rural lowlands and highlands; urban highland</td>
<td>AFR E</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>Lusaka – Lima Ward</td>
<td>AFR E</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Nationally representative</td>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>85 957</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Nationally representative</td>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>50 492</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>S. Paulo, metropolitan area – urban area</td>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>1980–82</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>S. Paulo, metropolitan area – urban area</td>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>1996–98</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Trairi, Ceará – rural area</td>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>1984–85</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Ceará – three municipalities in rural areas</td>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>1993–94</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Pelotas city – urban area</td>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>1982–83</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>S. Luis capital city, Maranhão – three urban areas</td>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>S. Luis capital city, Maranhão – three urban areas</td>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Pelotas city – urban area</td>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 1. Child mortality due to diarrhoea in developing countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>WHO subregion</th>
<th>Period of study</th>
<th>No. of under-5 deaths</th>
<th>Proportion of diarrhoea deaths (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Pelotas city – urban area</td>
<td>AMR B</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>Two rural communities</td>
<td>EMR D</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Abbottabad district – rural area</td>
<td>EMR D</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Menoufia governorate – rural area</td>
<td>EMR D</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Banyusin district – five villages in rural area</td>
<td>SEAR B</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Ballabgarth, Haryana state – rural area</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1982–84</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Ballabgarth, Haryana state – rural area</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1992–94</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Lucknow – urban slums</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1993–94</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Gadchiroli district – rural area</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1988–89</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Jawan – nine villages in rural area</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Ballabgar – rural area</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Nationally representative</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1992–96</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Matlab – rural area</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1982–83</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Matlab – rural community</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1975–77</td>
<td>7 858</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Matlab – rural community</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1986–87</td>
<td>1 354</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Trichy district</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>Jumla district – mountainous rural area</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>2 101</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rural area of Haryana</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Six rural and three urban areas representing eight major states</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Matlab – rural area</td>
<td>SEAR D</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>1 573</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Guizhou province – three counties in a remote mountainous province</td>
<td>WPR B</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>3 075</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AFR, WHO African Region; AMR, WHO Region of the Americas; EMR, WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region; SEAR, WHO South-East Asia Region; WPR, Western Pacific Region.

* WHO subregions are defined on the basis of levels of child and adult mortality: A, very low child and very low adult mortality; B, low child and low adult mortality; C, low child and high adult mortality; D, high child and high adult mortality; E, high child and very high adult mortality.

* Some studies did not report mid-year of study and those have been either informed by contacting authors or approximately estimated from other available information in the study.

* Proportion of diarrhoea deaths adjusted for age 0–59 months.