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Objective To characterize the population of children hospitalized as a result of violence.

Methods This retrospective study used data from the Israeli National Trauma Registry on patients aged 0–17 years hospitalized for trauma during 1998–2006. Of 65 430 patients, 2060 (3.1%) had violence-related injuries. Descriptive statistics and bivariate analysis were used to characterize injury patterns and multivariate analysis was used to identify factors associated with severe injury.

Findings Half the victims of violence < 18 years of age were 15–17 years old. Most were boys. Violence-related trauma occurred more often than other trauma in the street, at school or in a public place or leisure facility, but less often at home. Unarmed brawling and stabbing were the most common types of violence. Brawling affected Jewish children more, while non-Jewish children were more often injured by firearms. The boundaries of age groups with different injury rates corresponded to the “institutional” childhood stages of the Israeli educational system: the violence-related injury rate dropped after the first year of life, stayed low during kindergarten, rose slightly during elementary school and rose steeply during secondary and high school. The percentage of males increased with each age group. The street became more dangerous with age, while school and home became safer. Adolescents aged 15–17 years, newborn infants and those injured by firearms had the highest risk of severe injury.

Conclusion Age and type of violence were the most important predictors of violence frequency and severity. Ethnicity lost importance when adjusted by these factors. Further research on their influence on violence-related injury is needed.

Introduction
Violence-related injury remains one of the leading causes of child mortality worldwide, especially in low-income countries. Lately, even some developed countries have experienced a significant rise in interpersonal violence. Although there are many different interpretations of this phenomenon, children could be the first to feel the burden in almost any country because they belong to one of the most vulnerable groups in society.

This context makes Israel a very interesting example. It is an economically developed country in a less developed region and its society is divided into two distinct and sometimes hostile, ethnic groups. There is a possibility that children who grow up under such tensions will develop violent behaviour towards one another or even become victims of adult frustration, both of which may result in an increase in violence-related child injury.

By using data from the Israel Trauma Registry, it may be possible to discover the scope of increased interpersonal violence, how it varies throughout childhood years and whether there are differences between the Jewish majority and the non-Jewish minority population in Israel.

The objective of this paper is to describe the epidemiological characteristics of violence-related injuries in children in Israel during the study period. Specifically, the aims are:

- to characterize the population of children hospitalized as a result of violence in Israel;
- to identify patterns of injury in subgroups of the paediatric population in order to focus preventative and interventional efforts on vulnerable groups.

Methods
The study was carried out retrospectively using data from 10 trauma centres that participated in the Israeli National Trauma Registry between 1 January 1998 and 31 December 2006. These included all six first-level trauma centres in the country. All registered patients aged 0–17 years were included. The trauma registry contains data on all injured individuals who attend a hospital up to 72 hours after the injury and who are either admitted to hospital, die in hospital (including the emergency department) or are transferred to another hospital for admission. The trauma registry does not include data on injuries that result from poisoning, drowning or suffocation; on patients who are discharged from hospital after treatment in the emergency department; or on individuals who arrive at the emergency department 72 hours or more after injury. Data are collected by specialized staff in each hospital and the registry is updated once a year. During the study period, the registry included data on 65 430 patients aged 0–17 years.

Two subsets of injured individuals were selected for the study using the registry data: the primary subset included all victims of violence aged 0–17 years (n = 2060) and the secondary subset included all patients aged 0–17 years injured by any other means (n = 63 370). Victims of violence...
were identified by the external cause of injury (International classification of diseases, ninth revision, clinical modification, ICD-9-CM, codes E960–E968) and did not include victims of police action or military hostilities.

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.1.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, United States of America). The level of statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$ ($\chi^2$ test) for all analyses. The ICD-9-CM codes were used to classify the cause of the injury and the diagnosis: firearms E965.0–E965.9, stabbing E966, unarmed brawling E960.0 and assault with an object E968.2. In the analysis, the frequency of violence-related injury was compared to that of all other types of injury, and the frequencies of the different types of violence-related injury were compared within different population subgroups. The parameters considered were age, gender, ethnic group, the location where the violent event occurred, the severity of the injury and the type of violence used.

Multivariate logistic regression was performed to determine the probability of sustaining a severe injury as a result of violence, with gender, ethnic group, age, violent event location and type of violence taken into account. The response variable was severe injury (i.e. an injury severity score, ISS, greater than 16) and the explanatory variables were age (divided into five groups), gender, ethnic group, type of violence used (four groups) and violent event location (five types of location). Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated.

### Results

During the study period, the Israeli Trauma Registry recorded data on 2060 children with violence-related injuries, which corresponded to 3.1% of all child injuries reported to the registry.

### Comparison with non-violence-related injuries

Most (86.7%) victims of violence were boys, and the male:female ratio was much greater for violence-related injuries than for other injury types (Table 1). About half of the victims of violence were aged 15–17 years, whereas most of those injured by other means were younger than 13 years. No difference in ethnic composition was found between violence-related and non-violence-related trauma: about one-third of individuals affected in each category were non-Jewish (the actual proportion of non-Jews in the population of Israel is about 25%, and 99% of them are Arabs).

As shown in Table 1, there were significant differences between violence-related and non-violence-related injuries in children in terms of the circumstances of the injury (i.e. time and location): violence-related injuries occurred more often in the street (34.7% versus 29.2%), in school (15.1% versus 6.3%) and in public places or leisure facilities (11.4% versus 3.8%), but much less often at home (14.3% versus 39.6%; $P < 0.0001$). Such injuries were also much more frequent during the night hours (34.7% versus 15.8%). Violence-related injuries, like other types of injury, were sustained during the weekend in about one-third of cases.

Most violence-related injuries were caused by unarmed brawling (36.7%) or stabbing (30.5%). Firearm injuries constituted only 5.5% of all violence-related injuries but 18.4% of all severe violence-related injuries (i.e. ISS > 16) and were associated with 58.3% of all violence-related fatalities. Overall, only 1.2% of violence-related injuries resulted in a fatality.

### Differences between ethnic groups

Differences were found between Jewish and non-Jewish children. Some 12.1%...
of non-Jewish children were injured by firearms, compared with only 2.5% of Jewish children \((P < 0.0001)\). This corresponds with the finding that non-Jewish children were more likely to sustain a severe injury (i.e. ISS > 16), at 14.2% versus 8.8% \((P < 0.00001)\).

On the other hand, Jewish children were much more likely to be injured as a result of unarmed brawling, at 42.0% versus 26.2% \((P < 0.00001)\). No difference was found in injuries resulting from stabbing or other types of violence. However, there was a difference in the circumstances of the violence-related injury. Jewish children were more likely to be injured at school (18.1% versus 10.3%) or in a public place or leisure facility (13.8% versus 6.9%), while non-Jewish children usually sustained their injuries in the street, at 42.7% versus 30.2% \((P < 0.00001)\).

Despite the absence of a difference in the rate of stabdings between Jewish and non-Jewish children, the latter were much more likely to be stabbed at school (36% versus 10.2%, \(P < 0.00001)\). Most injuries to the region of the head and neck were caused by brawling (48.2%) or other violence (40.5%), and most injuries to the region of the spine and neck were caused by firearms (36.8%), while stabbing caused most wounds to the torso (61%) and the extremities (46.3%).

**Differences between age groups**

The age distribution of violence-related injuries (Fig. 1) generally corresponds to the different "institutional" stages of childhood as they are defined in Israel: kindergarten for ages 1–5 years, elementary school for ages 6–12 years, secondary school for ages 13–14 years and high school for ages 15–17 years. However, the actual boundaries may be less distinct and the secondary school organizational stage is omitted in minority populations.\(^\text{10}\)

Nevertheless, the trend appears clear: the percentage of violence-related injuries experienced by children of a specific age drops significantly after the first year of life and remains stable and very low during the kindergarten years. Then it begins to rise slowly and nonsignificantly with each year of primary school. Thereafter, there is a sudden sharp rise that begins with entry into secondary school and that becomes even steeper during the high-school years. This variation in the percentage of violence-related injuries with age led us to carry out an analysis of the demographic characteristics of the victims of violence and of the circumstances of the injuries in each individual age group.

**Demographic characteristics of different age groups**

No difference was found in the ethnic makeup of the different age groups of children with violence-related injuries; Jewish children comprised about two-thirds of each. On the other hand, the gender composition of the age groups varied substantially. There was a steady increase in the percentage of victims of violence who were male in each consecutive age group: 63.5% in 0 year olds, 68.0% in 1–5 year olds, 81.8% in 6–12 year olds, 85.9% in 13–14 year olds and 92% in 15–17 year olds \((P < 0.0001)\) for trend.

**Violent event location and different age groups**

The location of the violent event that resulted in the violence-related injury appeared to change with the victim’s age group (Fig. 2). The percentage of
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Fig. 1. Percentage of all children with violence-related injuries who were of a particular age, Israel, 1998–2006\(^a\)
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\(^a\) The data were obtained from the Israeli National Trauma Registry.

Fig. 2. Percentage of all children with violence-related injuries in each age group who were male or who sustained the injury at home, in the street, at a public place or leisure facility or at school, Israel, 1998–2006\(^a\)
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\(^a\) The data were obtained from the Israeli National Trauma Registry.
injuries sustained at home decreased continuously with age (< 1 year, 73.1%; 1–5 years, 43.7%; 6–12 years, 18.6%; 13–14 years, 11.6%; 15–17 years, 7.9%; P < 0.0001 for trend), as did the percentage sustained at school (6–12 years, 29.5%; 13–14 years, 19.2%; 15–17 years, 9.6%; P < 0.0001 for trend). The places that became more dangerous with age were public places and leisure facilities (< 1 year, 1.2%; 1–5 years, 2.9%; 6–12 years, 4.3%; 13–14 years, 8.2%; 15–17 years, 17.1%; P < 0.0001 for trend) and the street (< 1 year, 15.4%; 1–5 years, 23.3%; 6–12 years, 24.4%; 13–14 years, 34.9%; 15–17 years, 40.5%; P < 0.0001 for trend). These trends seem to correspond to the way in which the gender of the victims of violence varies with age, particularly given that, at the level of the study population, girls are much more likely than boys to be injured as a result of violence at home (38.8% versus 10.6%) and much less likely to be injured in the street (17.7% versus 37.2%) or in a public place or leisure facility (3.7% versus 12.5%; P < 0.0001 for all comparisons).

Fig. 3 shows how the percentage of violence-related injuries attributable to a particular type of violence and the percentage of injuries classified as severe (i.e. ISS > 16) varied across the age groups. The percentage of all injuries that were violence-related in each age group is shown for reference. It can be seen that the percentages of injuries attributable to stabbing and firearms in infants < 1 year old were relatively high, in keeping with a higher percentage of injuries classified as severe and a raised percentage of violence-related injuries in that age group. The percentage of injuries classified as severe declined up until the 13–14-year age group and then increased along with the general rate of violence and the greater use of stabbing and firearms. The increasing trend in firearm use appears to parallel the increasing trend in the percentage of severe injuries, while the use of stabbing and the general rate of violence also rise together.

Influence of demographic factors and type of violence on injury severity

Most (74.6%) violence-related injuries in children were of minor severity (ISS: 1–8), 14.2% were of moderate severity (ISS: 9–14), 7.0% were severe (ISS: 16–24) and 3.8% were critical (ISS: 25–75). Consequently, 10.8% of children had a severe or worse injury (ISS > 16).

Table 2 lists the results of a multivariate logistic regression analysis carried out to identify factors associated with sustaining a severe injury. It shows that age and the type of violence had the greatest influence on the risk of sustaining a severe injury. Only infants aged less than 1 year had a greater risk than children 15–17 years old, while the risk was lowest in children 6–14 years old. Injuries caused by firearms were more likely to be severe than those caused by other means (OR: 1.9; 95% CI: 1.1–3.1). The injuries least likely to be severe were those caused by stabbing (OR: 0.3; 95% CI: 0.2–0.5) or unarmed brawling (OR: 0.4; 95% CI: 0.3–0.6). The probability of sustaining a severe injury at school was much lower than at other locations. Interestingly, after adjustment for other factors, ethnic group had no significant influence on the likelihood of a severe injury.

Discussion

Significant differences were found between cases of violence-related and non violence-related trauma in children reported to the Israeli Trauma Registry. Almost half of those injured as a result of violence were adolescents aged 15–17 years, while injuries due to other causes usually occurred in much younger children. Consequently, adolescents should be regarded as a distinct target group when considering how to deal with the problem of violence. The male:female ratio was much higher for violence-related than for other trauma. High rates of violence among boys are well-documented in the literature. However, our study also shows that the rate seems to increase with age, possibly as children adopt the gender roles appropriate to their culture. No difference in the risk of sustaining a severe injury was found between the sexes, which suggests that the frequency and intensity of violence are not necessarily related. No ethnic difference was found in the rate of injury due to non violence-related trauma or in the rate of injury in different age groups. These findings, plus the fact that the influence of ethnicity on injury severity was reduced by adjusting for age and other factors, make the difference between the main ethnic groups in Israel appear less fundamental than has been suggested, and age appears to be the key factor in understanding violence-related injury in children. In addition, violence-related injuries in children occur more often than other injuries in the street, at

Fig. 3. Variation in the percentage of violence-related injuries attributable to specific types of violence and in the percentage of injuries classified as severe across different age groups of children, Israel, 1998–2000

ISS, Injury Severity Score

1 The data were obtained from the Israeli National Trauma Registry.

2 Percentage of all injuries that were violence-related, in each age group.

3 Percentage with injuries caused by firearms, stab, or brawling.

4 Percentage with a severe injury (ISS > 16) or with injuries caused by stabbing or brawling.

5 Percentage with injuries caused by firearms, stab, or brawling.
school and in a public place or leisure facility but less often at home.

Differences do exist between Jewish and non-Jewish children in the type of violence used and in the location of the violent event. Jews were injured more often by brawling, while non-Jews sustained more casualties from firearms, which led to more severe injuries in this group. Non-Jews were injured more often in the street and Jews more often at school, though non-Jews were stabbed at school more often. Notwithstanding this fact, school seems to be the safest place for children as the risk of sustaining a severe violence-related injury was lower there than at other locations.

The observed variation in the rate of violence with age corresponds with the “institutional” stages of the Israeli educational system, which suggests that school may have a cultural or “community” influence. This finding could also help solve the well-known problem of age-group boundaries in child-centred research as it provides definite cut-points for setting those boundaries.

Adolescents aged 15–17 years had the highest risk of sustaining a severe injury and the highest rates of injury by knife or firearm. This finding is especially alarming due to the fact that firearm and knife injuries in Israel seem to have increased over the years. Surprisingly, the risk of sustaining a severe injury from stabbing was generally lower than the risk from other types of violence. Given that the practices of carrying and using knives are growing phenomena in Israel, this could be good news but should not be used to reduce the need to fight the problem. WHO reports that more children are carrying knives to school even in developed countries in Europe.

Newborn infants also seem to be at a high risk of becoming victims of violence and of sustaining a severe injury as a result. In Europe, the risk of abuse in children aged 0–4 years was found to be more than double the risk in children aged 5–14 years. Research into infant maltreatment in the United States shows that neonates are susceptible to different kinds of violence, including severe violence, which suggests that prevention and intervention programmes should be directed at parents in this context.

The risk of sustaining a severe injury was most closely associated with

### Table 2. Results of a multivariate logistic regression carried out to identify factors associated with severe violence-related injury in a child, Israel, 1998–2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Adjusted OR</th>
<th>Severe injury %</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>1779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4–1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9–4.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2–1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–12</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2–0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–14</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2–0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–17</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>1025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic group</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Jewish</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9–1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of violence</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabbing</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearms</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2–0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brawling</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3–0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent event location</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6–1.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.1–0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7–1.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public place or leisure facility</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7–1.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

* The data were obtained from the Israeli National Trauma Registry.

Conclusion

The age of the victim was found to be the principle factor that determined the risk of sustaining a severe violence-related injury and there was a connection with the “institutional” stage of the child in the Israeli educational system. This finding opens the way for further research into violence in specific kinds of institutions, such as kindergartens, elementary schools, secondary schools and high schools, while taking into account health factors (e.g. mortality and injury severity) and cultural and organizational factors, such as norms and regulations, gender roles and even budgetary levels. Accordingly, intervention and prevention programmes could be specified in terms of influencing these factors.

Another study finding was the importance of the type of violence in analysing violence-related injury. Further research should be carried out on this topic, as proposed by the Violence Research Group in the United Kingdom.
Lesiones infantiles relacionadas con la violencia en Israel: distribución dependiente de la edad

Objetivo Caracterizar la población infantil hospitalizada a consecuencia de actos violentos.

Métodos En este estudio retrospectivo se utilizaron datos del Registro Nacional Israelí de Lesiones sobre pacientes de 0 a 17 años hospitalizados con lesiones en el periodo 1998-2006. De los 65 430 pacientes, 2060 (3,1 %) presentaban lesiones relacionadas con la violencia. Se utilizaron estadísticos descriptivos y análisis bivariados para caracterizar la distribución de las lesiones, y análisis multivariados para identificar los factores asociados a las lesiones graves.

Resultados La mitad de las víctimas de violencia menores de 18 años tenían entre 15 y 17 años. La mayoría eran del sexo masculino. Las lesiones relacionadas con la violencia fueron más frecuentes que otras lesiones en la calle, la escuela, lugares públicos o centros de ocio, pero menos frecuentes en el hogar. Las peleas sin armas y con arma blanca fueron los tipos más frecuentes de violencia. Las peleas sin armas afectaron más a los niños judíos, mientras que las lesiones por arma de fuego fueron más frecuentes en los niños no judíos. Los límites de los grupos de edad con diferentes tasas de lesiones se correspondieron con las etapas «institucionales» del sistema educativo israelí: la tasa de lesiones relacionadas con la violencia disminuyó después del primer año de vida, se mantuvo baja en la etapa preescolar, aumentó ligeramente durante la etapa de escolarización básica, y aumentó en la etapa de la educación secundaria. El porcentaje de varones fue aumentando en cada uno de esos grupos de edad. La calle se volvió más peligrosa al aumentar el tamaño, mientras que la escuela y el hogar se hicieron más seguros. Los adolescentes de 15 a 17 años, los recién nacidos y las víctimas de lesiones por armas de fuego fueron quienes presentaron mayor riesgo de sufrir lesiones graves.

Conclusiones La edad y el tipo de violencia fueron los factores más importantes para predecir la frecuencia y la gravedad de la violencia. El origen étnico perdía valor al ajustar los datos en función de estos factores, cuya influencia en las lesiones relacionadas con la violencia hay que seguir investigando.
الłęصق العرق عندما يُصحح بهذين العاملين. والحاجة قائمة للمزيد من العمر ونمط العنف أهم المنبئات عن تواتر العنف و وخامته.

عاماً والرضع حديثو الولادة مع تقدم العمر، فيما تصبح المدارس والمنازل أكثر أمناً. وأكثر المتعرضين لخطر العنف المحاطة بالمراحل الثانوية والعلياً في السنوات التالية لرفع الشوارع أكثر خطورة مع تقدم العمر. فيما تصبح المدارس والمنازل أكثر أمناً. وأكثر المتعرضين لخطر العنف المحاطة بالمراحل الثانوية والعلياً.

الاستنتاج: كان عمر وไหม العنف أهم المباني على تأثر العنف ووهجته. وتوزع أهمية العنف عندما يصبح بعضهم الأمام. والملاحيب المتعددة عند الرضوع المرتبط بالعنف مع التقدم العمر، فيما تصبح المدارس والمنازل أكثر أمناً. وأكثر المتعرضين لخطر العنف المحاطة بالمراحل الثانوية والعلياً.

الوجودات: كان نصف ضحايا العنف الذين نقل أعمارهم عن 18 عاماً في أعمار تراوح بين 15 و17 عاماً. وكان معظمهم من الفتيان. وكانت الرضوع المرتبط بالعنف هي الأثر الأكثر حيوياً مقارنةً بأشكال الراضي الأخرى وذلك في الأماكن العامة ومراحل الحياة. وأقل حدوثاً في المنازل. وكان الشجار غير المسلح والمسلح أكثر آثار العنف شعوياً. ويصيب العراك...