Introduction

Typhoid fever remains an important cause of disease in developing countries. In 2002, it caused an estimated 480,837 episodes of illness in Africa. 1 Salmonella Typhi, the causative agent, is most frequently isolated from blood during the first week of illness but can also be isolated during the second or third week of illness, during the first week of antimicrobial therapy and during clinical relapse. 2 Isolation of Salmonella Typhi from bone marrow is the current gold standard method for confirming a case of typhoid fever. However, this requires equipment, supplies and trained laboratory personnel seldom found in primary health-care facilities in the developing world. 3, 4 Blood culture is a more practical albeit less sensitive alternative to bone marrow culture. However, it is not always available and, when it is, it takes 2 to 3 days. As a result, diagnosis may be delayed or overlooked and patients without typhoid fever may receive unnecessary and inappropriate antimicrobial treatment. For this reason, in developing countries typhoid rapid antibody tests can facilitate diagnosis and disease management.

New commercially available typhoid rapid antibody tests have been evaluated in Asia, where typhoid fever is known to be highly endemic. 5, 6 In Asian studies the tests have shown variable performance. While the TUBEX® test was the most sensitive and specific in the Philippines, 6 neither TUBEX® nor Typhidot® was both sensitive and specific in two evaluations undertaken in Viet Nam 7, 8 and performance was poor in a trial conducted in a community clinic in Bangladesh 9 and in a study in Egypt in which it was compared with a new ELISA not yet commercially available. 10 Rapid typhoid tests have not been evaluated in sub-Saharan Africa, where the typhoid fever burden may be smaller than in Asia. 11, 12 The World Health Organization (WHO) has issued no recommendations on the use of typhoid rapid antibody tests. 13 Accurate diagnostics for typhoid fever could provide valuable diagnostic information for patient management and make it possible to estimate the incidence of typhoid fever in low-resource settings.

We evaluated three diagnostic kits that are commercially available internationally using four rapid methods for detecting antibodies to Salmonella Typhi (typhoid rapid antibody tests) and used blood culture as the standard for comparison.

Methods

Participants

Patients were recruited from two sub-Saharan African sites: Mpumalanga province, South Africa, and Moshi, United Republic of Tanzania. They were selected to represent patients from southern and eastern Africa, respectively.

The recruitment method differed between sites. In South Africa, we enrolled subjects suspected of having typhoid fever; in the United Republic of Tanzania, we enrolled patients who were participants in a study on the etiology of febrile illness.
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Objective To evaluate three commercial typhoid rapid antibody tests for Salmonella Typhi antibodies in patients suspected of having typhoid fever in Mpumalanga, South Africa, and Moshi, United Republic of Tanzania.

Methods The diagnostic accuracy of Cromotest® (semiquantitative slide agglutination and single tube Widal test), TUBEX® and Typhidot® was assessed against that of blood culture. Performance was modelled for scenarios with pretest probabilities of 5% and 50%.

Findings In total 92 patients enrolled: 53 (57.6%) from South Africa and 39 (42.4%) from the United Republic of Tanzania. Salmonella Typhi was isolated from the blood of 28 (30.4%) patients. The semiquantitative slide agglutination and single-tube Widal tests had positive predictive values (PPVs) of 25.0% (95% confidence interval, CI: 0.6–80.6) and 20.0% (95% CI: 2.5–55.6), respectively. The newer typhoid rapid antibody tests had comparable PPVs: TUBEX®, 54.1% (95% CI: 36.9–70.5); Typhidot® IgM, 56.7% (95% CI: 37.4–74.5); and Typhidot® IgG, 54.3% (95% CI: 36.6–71.2). For a pretest probability of 5%, PPVs were: TUBEX®, 11.0% (95% CI: 6.6–17.9); Typhidot® IgM, 9.1% (95% CI: 5.8–14.0); and Typhidot® IgG, 11.0% (6.3–18.4). For a pretest probability of 50%, PPVs were: TUBEX®, 70.2% (95% CI: 57.3–80.5); Typhidot® IgM, 65.6% (95% CI: 54.0–75.6); and Typhidot® IgG, 70.0% (95% CI: 56.0–81.1).

Conclusion Semiquantitative slide agglutination and single-tube Widal tests performed poorly. TUBEX® and Typhidot® may be suitable when pretest probability is high and blood cultures are unavailable, but their performance does not justify deployment in routine care settings in sub-Saharan Africa.
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Patients were recruited at both sites between 2007 and 2009. In the South African site we obtained blood from suspected typhoid fever cases reporting no current use of antimicrobials who presented to Rob Ferreira Hospital (RFH), in Nelspruit, Mpumalanga province, or to hospitals referring patients to RFH. In the United Republic of Tanzania site, we obtained blood from consecutive febrile inpatients admitted to Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre (KCMC) and Mawenzi Regional Hospital. At both sites we incubated the blood in a continuously monitored blood culture system (Bac-T Alert, bioMérieux, Marcy L’Étoile, France). Bottles flagged as positive by the instrument were removed for subculture and identification by standard techniques. In both study sites, we enrolled patients who presented with a febrile illness suspected of being typhoid fever. We collected data on those patients who fulfilled the clinical criteria for suspected typhoid fever (a history of fever or demonstrated pyrexia [body temperature > 38 °C.]) before performing the index test and blood culture.

Test methods

In both study sites a typhoid fever case was defined as being a patient whose blood culture was positive for Salmonella Typhi. Patients whose blood cultures were negative or yielded pathogens other than Salmonella Typhi were used as controls. We drew additional blood and separated the serum, which was stored at −20 or −80 °C in cryotubes and shipped on dry ice to the Enteric Diseases Reference Unit, National Institute of Communicable Diseases (Sandringham, South Africa), for evaluation with typhoid rapid antibody tests. We screened the serum using the semiquantitative slide agglutination and tube Widal tests, TUBEX® and the typhidot test. Laboratory staff were blinded to the blood culture results, which were reviewed only after testing was completed.

Typhoid rapid antibody tests were carried out according to manufacturers’ instructions. Test characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Laboratory personnel, trained in the use of all tests, recorded information about the ease of use and non-kit consumables and equipment required for each test. Because the cost of consumables, equipment and personnel differed between the two study sites, we did not calculate the cost of the tests.

**Linear Cromotest** (Linear Chemicals, Barcelona, Spain)

This test, derived from *Salmonella* Typhi O and H antigens, was performed in two ways: (i) as a semiquantitative slide agglutination test with visual examination as per the package insert; (ii) as a Widal test performed with a single tube, as described by Parry et al. The presence or absence of visible agglutination indicates the presence or absence of the corresponding antibody to the O and H antigens of *Salmonella* Typhi. We defined the positivity cut-off point for the slide and tube agglutination reactions for both O and H antigens as antibody titres ≥ 1:80.

**IDL TUBEX** TF (IDL Biotech AB, Bromma, Sweden)

This semiquantitative colorimetric test detects anti-O:9 antibody titres in patient specimens on visual examination. A positive TUBEX® result was defined as a reading of ≥ 4, as per manufacturer’s instructions. The manufacturers warn that the test may have to be repeated after 48 hours if indeterminate results are obtained.

**Typhidot** (Malaysian Biodiagnostic Research, Bangi, Malaysia)

This qualitative antibody detects the presence of IgM and IgG antibodies to a 50kDa outer membrane protein. A positive Typhidot® result (IgG and IgM) was defined as a visible reaction of an intensity equal to or greater than that of the control reaction on the commercially prepared filter paper. The manufacturers warn that if indeterminate results are obtained, the test may have to be repeated after 48 hours.

**Statistical methods**

Data were captured into Excel 2003 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, United States of America) and converted to STATA version 11 (StataCorp. LP, College Station, USA), in which analysis was performed by Stat/Transfer version 10 (Circle Systems, Seattle, USA). Stata’s diag command was used to determine each test’s sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values (PPV and NPV, respectively), which are presented with the understanding that exposure to antimicrobials could have affected the final results. Analysis was performed at a two-sided significance level of 5%. Pretest probabilities of background typhoid fever rates were also calculated at 5% and 50% to ensure that the results were applicable even in conditions of few typhoid fever outbreaks – since incidence would be higher during outbreaks – and of lower endemicity, given that study patients were selected on the probability of having typhoid fever.

**Results**

**Participants**

Ninety-two patients were enrolled: 53 (58%) in South Africa (between 25 May 2007 and 10 November 2009) and 39 (42%) in the United Republic of Tanzania (between 17 September 2007 and 25 August 2008). Participants had a median age of 24 years (range: <1 to 96). Twenty-five (27%) patients (23 South African and 2 Tanzanian) were under the age of 15 years; the ages of two participants (2.2%) were unknown. Forty-two (46%) patients were female; the sex of two (2%) was not available. Thirty-six (39%) blood cultures grew a pathogen; 28 (78%) of these cultures grew *Salmonella* Typhi. Other pathogens isolated included *Salmonella* Typhimurium, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (one culture each) and *Cryptococcus neoformans* (four cultures). Of the 92 blood cultures, 52 (57%) were negative and 4 (4%) grew organisms considered to be contaminants.

In compliance with eligibility criteria, no South African patient was taking antimicrobials at the time of the blood culture. Of the 20 Tanzanian patients...
whose blood cultures were negative for *Salmonella Typhi*, 2 (10%) had received trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole and 8 (40%) had received quinine or sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine or had an unknown history of antimicrobial exposure. Of 19 Tanzanian patients with blood cultures positive for *Salmonella Typhi*, 17 (89%) had received antibacterials or antimalarials or had an unknown history of antimicrobial exposure.

**Test results**

Blood cultures were done as soon as patients were admitted to hospital. Serological tests were performed within the subsequent 6 months at the South African site and within 2 years at the Tanzanian site. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the three assays, the equipment required to perform each test and the results of the technologists’ assessments regarding ease of use and perceived laboratory costs. None of the sera gave indeterminate results.

**Estimates**

Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values are shown in Table 2. Of 28 patients with a blood culture positive for *Salmonella Typhi*, 1 (4%) was positive on the Cromotest® semiquantitative slide O test; 14 (50%) were positive on the Cromotest® semiquantitative slide H test; 2 (7%) were positive on the Cromotest® Widal O agglutination test; 4 (14%) were positive on the Cromotest® Widal H agglutination test; and 19 (68%) were positive on the TUBEX® test. Of 27 patients with a blood culture positive for *Salmonella Typhi* with sufficient serum available for testing, 19 (70%) were positive on the Typhidot® IgG test and 17 (63%) on the Typhidot® IgM test. The positive and negative predictive values for each of the pretest probability calculations are presented in Table 3.

**Discussion**

All four typhoid rapid antibody tests performed poorly compared with blood culture. Some tests performed better than others, but none stood out in all respects. In sub-Saharan Africa, cost and ease of use are important considerations in addition to diagnostic accuracy.

The single-tube Widal and Typhidot® tests were found to require the most non-kit laboratory supplies, consumables...
Specificity of the Widal test containing O and H antigens. Others have noted the inconsistent results obtained with the Widal test, but they had considerably greater specificity. In our setting, TUBEX® had marginally less sensitivity but more specificity than the Typhidot® IgM test and it had a slightly better PPV. Typhidot® IgG was comparable to TUBEX® with respect to sensitivity, specificity and PPV, but none of these tests performed as well as the blood culture comparator assay.

This study had several limitations. Typhoid fever was confirmed by blood culture in almost one third of the study participants, a much larger proportion than expected under field conditions in sub-Saharan Africa, where typhoid fever is relatively uncommon.12,23 Lowering the pretest probability for typhoid fever to 5% further degraded the performance characteristics of the typhoid rapid antibody tests (Table 3), which suggests that these tests would not be useful in routine diagnostic situations. At a pretest probability of 50%, higher than the actual fraction of blood-culture-positive cases used in this evaluation, the performance of the new rapid antibody tests improved. Hence, these tests can perhaps be judiciously used during outbreaks.

The time elapsed between the onset of symptoms and serum collection can affect the performance of antibody-based tests.21 We did not analyse this aspect to reflect how the tests would be used under routine health-care conditions in sub-Saharan Africa. Similarly, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection is highly prevalent in sub-Saharan Africa26 and we enrolled participants without and equipment, and this increased the overall cost of the test. The semiquantitative slide agglutination and TUBEX® tests had shorter turnaround times than the Widal tube and Typhidot® tests. However, the results of all the tests were available the same day the specimen was received in the laboratory.

Of the four tests evaluated, the semiquantitative slide agglutination test performed the worst. It had very poor specificity and low PPV and NPV, even though it was performed under optimal conditions in a national reference laboratory. This poor performance was further compounded by substantial inter-test variability, which suggests that in a field situation results would not be comparable between sites.21 Hence, the slide agglutination test should not be used as a diagnostic tool. Although the sensitivity and specificity of the H slide agglutination test appeared to be greater, this was offset by the inconsistent results obtained with the O slide agglutination. Others have noted this disparity between the sensitivity and specificity of the Widal test containing O and H antigens.19

The single-tube Widal agglutination test also performed poorly. The original Widal agglutination test was described using paired sera obtained 10 days to 2 weeks apart and examined for a twofold or greater change in titre.18 It is possible that the Widal test would have performed better in our study had we used paired sera, but we chose to apply the test under the conditions normally found in clinical practice. In our experience, patients rarely return for outpatient follow-up once treated, so that obtaining paired sera in a routine clinical setting is unlikely. Recently, the use of paired sera has been re-examined and has been shown to improve both the sensitivity and specificity of serological tests for typhoid fever.24

Both the TUBEX® and Typhidot® tests had lower sensitivity than the semiquantitative slide agglutination and the Widal tests, but they had considerably greater specificity. In our setting, TUBEX® had marginally less sensitivity but more specificity than the Typhidot® IgM test and it had a slightly better PPV. Typhidot® IgG was comparable to TUBEX® with respect to sensitivity, specificity and PPV, but none of these tests performed as well as the blood culture comparator assay.

### Table 2. Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of four rapid diagnostic tests for typhoid fever as determined by comparison with blood culture results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kit</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
<td>% (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromotest® O : semiquantitative slide agglutination</td>
<td>95.2 (86.5–99.0)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.1–18.3)</td>
<td>25.0 (6.0–80.6)</td>
<td>68.6 (57.7–78.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromotest® H : semiquantitative slide agglutination</td>
<td>80.3 (68.2–89.4)</td>
<td>50.0 (30.6–69.4)</td>
<td>53.8 (33.4–73.4)</td>
<td>77.8 (65.5–87.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromotest® O : single tube Widal</td>
<td>87.3 (76.5–94.4)</td>
<td>6.9 (0.8–22.8)</td>
<td>20.0 (2.5–55.6)</td>
<td>67.1 (55.8–77.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromotest® H : single tube Widal</td>
<td>95.2 (86.5–99.0)</td>
<td>13.8 (3.9–31.7)</td>
<td>57.1 (18.4–90.1)</td>
<td>70.2 (59.3–79.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUBEX®</td>
<td>73.0 (60.3–83.4)</td>
<td>69.0 (49.2–84.7)</td>
<td>54.1 (36.9–70.5)</td>
<td>83.6 (71.2–92.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhidot® IgM</td>
<td>75.0 (61.1–86.0)</td>
<td>60.7 (40.6–78.5)</td>
<td>56.7 (37.4–74.5)</td>
<td>78.0 (64.0–88.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhidot® IgG</td>
<td>69.2 (54.9–81.3)</td>
<td>70.4 (49.8–86.2)</td>
<td>54.3 (36.6–71.2)</td>
<td>81.8 (67.3–91.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.

### Table 3. Predictive values of four rapid diagnostic tests for typhoid fever as determined by comparison with blood culture results under assumed pretest probabilities of 5% and 50%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kit</th>
<th>Pretest probability</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5% (95% CI)</td>
<td>50% (95% CI)</td>
<td>5% (95% CI)</td>
<td>50% (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromotest® O : semiquantitative slide agglutination</td>
<td>4.9 (4.5–5.4)</td>
<td>93.3 (60.4–99.2)</td>
<td>49.7 (47.4–51.9)</td>
<td>42.5 (7.4–87.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromotest® H : semiquantitative slide agglutination</td>
<td>7.8 (5.4–11.1)</td>
<td>98.0 (96.3–98.9)</td>
<td>61.6 (52.1–70.4)</td>
<td>71.8 (57.6–82.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromotest® O : single tube Widal</td>
<td>4.7 (4.1–5.4)</td>
<td>91.2 (70.0–97.9)</td>
<td>48.4 (45.0–51.8)</td>
<td>35.2 (10.9–70.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cromotest® H : single tube Widal</td>
<td>5.5 (4.7–6.4)</td>
<td>98.2 (92.8–99.6)</td>
<td>52.5 (48.6–56.3)</td>
<td>74.0 (40.5–2.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUBEX®</td>
<td>11.0 (6.6–17.9)</td>
<td>98.0 (96.3–98.7)</td>
<td>70.2 (67.3–80.5)</td>
<td>71.9 (61.4–80.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhidot® IgM</td>
<td>9.1 (5.8–14.0)</td>
<td>97.9 (96.4–98.8)</td>
<td>65.6 (54.0–75.6)</td>
<td>70.8 (58.2–80.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhidot® IgG</td>
<td>11.0 (6.3–18.4)</td>
<td>97.8 (96.4–98.6)</td>
<td>70.0 (56.0–81.1)</td>
<td>69.6 (58.7–78.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.
reference to their HIV serostatus to reflect field conditions, although many of our patients could have been HIV-infected. HIV infection rates among 1504 adult outpatients tested in Nelspruit (RFH) were reportedly as high as 45% in 2010 (G Hoyland, personal communication). The prevalence of HIV infection among participants in the study on febrile illness at KCMC and Mawenzi Regional Hospital was 39% for adolescents and adults and 12% for infants and children.15,16 Although recent studies at KCMC have shown that HIV appears to protect against typhoid fever, disease may still occur in HIV-infected individuals.15 It is possible that HIV-associated immune dysregulation affects the production of antibodies specific to Salmonella Typhi outer membrane proteins, present in both the Typhidot® and the older Widal tests. This has been observed in patients infected with invasive non-typhoidal Salmonella (NTS).27 This theoretical effect can also impair antibody binding in the TUBEX® test, which is based on the O9 antigen. The production of antibodies against Salmonella lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is increased in patients with invasive NTS infection who are also HIV-infected. If antibody production were also higher in HIV+ typhoid fever patients, TUBEX® would have performed better than the other typhoid rapid antibody tests, but it did not.

The sensitivity of blood culture is known to be less than 100%, even in the absence of antimicrobial exposure, and is further reduced by patient antimicrobial use. Although two Tanzanian patients had been exposed to antibacterials, they represented only 3.8% of the 52 patients whose blood cultures were negative for Salmonella Typhi. These patients probably affected our results very little. Furthermore, blood culture sensitivity was optimized in our study because we used modern blood culture techniques.24 Our findings on the Widal test and the newer typhoid rapid antibody tests are similar to those from studies conducted in Asia and Egypt,8–10,25,29,30 none of the rapid tests performed nearly as well as blood culture for the diagnosis of typhoid fever. Some reports suggest that the Typhidot® test may be more useful in Asia.31,32 However, the true incidence of typhoid fever in the catchment population differed in these studies and in ours. The pretest probability of typhoid fever was artificially elevated in our evaluation because we included South African patients suspected of having typhoid fever and specifically analysed a subset of antisera from the United Republic of Tanzania in which half of the cases were known to have typhoid fever. The earlier studies also focused on paediatric populations and allowed for inclusion of microbiologically unconfirmed typhoid fever.31,32 NTS bacteraemia, which is predominately caused by Salmonella serotypes Typhimurium and Enteritidis, is much more common in sub-Saharan Africa than typhoid fever.12,13 An important limitation of our study is the absence of cases of NTS in the control group; one patient in our study had Salmonella Typhimurium bacteraemia and none had Salmonella Enteritidis bacteraemia. It has been observed in previous studies that bacteraemia due to Salmonella Enteritidis may result in false-positive results with TUBEX® because they have an O9 antigen in common.33 Although the patient with Salmonella Typhimurium had negative typhoid rapid antibody tests, we could not examine the rate of false positives for the TUBEX® test in patients with Salmonella Enteritidis bacteraemia.

In conclusion, typhoid rapid antibody tests appear to correlate poorly with blood culture results in sub-Saharan Africa, even in a study with inflated pretest probability. While such tests may be useful for rapidly diagnosing typhoid fever in emergencies – e.g. during outbreaks, when pretest probability would be high, and following blood culture confirmation of initial cases – their performance is unlikely to justify deployment in routine care settings in sub-Saharan Africa. TUBEX® and Typhidot® appeared to have comparable performance and were more specific although less sensitive than the semiquantitative slide agglutination test and the unpaired Widal test. Unpaired Widal and semiquantitative slide agglutination are unreliable, with poor specificity and PPV. It is important to remember that antimicrobial susceptibility testing and molecular epidemiological linkage cannot be elicited on serological diagnosis. Blood culture before initiating antimicrobial therapy remains the diagnostic method of choice.
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Sensibilité et spécificité des tests d’anticorps rapides de la fièvre typhoïde pour le diagnostic biologique de deux sites d’Afrique subsaharienne

Objet
Évaluer trois tests d’anticorps rapides de la typhoïde commercialisés pour rechercher les anticorps de type Salmonella typhi chez des patients souçonnés d’avoir contracté la fièvre typhoïde à Mpuimalanga, en Afrique du Sud, et à Moshi, en République-Unie de Tanzanie.

Méthodes
La précision du diagnostic de Cromotest® (test d’agglutination sur lame semi-quantitative et test de Widal à tube unique), de TUBEX® et de Typhidot® a été évaluée par rapport à celle de l’hémoculture. Les résultats ont été modélisés pour des scénarios avec des probabilités pré-test de 5% et 50%.

Résultats
Un total de 92 patients ont participé: 53 (57.6%) d’Afrique du Sud et 39 (42.4%) de la République-Unie de Tanzanie. Le germe Salmonella typhi a été isolé dans le sang de 28 (30.4%) patients. Les tests d’anticorps sur lame semi-quantitative et de Widal à tube unique présentaient des valeurs prédictives positives (VPP) de 25% (intervalle de confiance, IC, de 95%: 0.6-80.6) et de 20% (IC de 95%: 2.5-55.6), respectivement. Les tests d’anticorps rapides de la typhoïde les plus récents présentaient des VPP comparables: TUBEX®, 54.1% (IC de 95%: 36.6-71.2); Typhidot® IgM, 56.7% (IC de 95%: 34.7-74.5); Typhidot® IgG, 54.3% (IC de 95%: 36.6-71.2). Si on prend en compte le test de Widal, on obtient des VPP de 9.1% (IC de 95%: 6.3-14.8) pour Typhidot® IgM, 65.6% (IC de 95%: 54.0-75.6) pour Typhidot® IgG, 70.6% (IC de 95%: 56.0-81.1) et 11.0% (IC de 95%: 6.3-18.4) pour Typhidot® IgM.

Conclusion
Les tests d’agglutination sur lame semi-quantitative et de Widal à tube unique ont donné des résultats médiocres. TUBEX® et Typhidot® peuvent être adaptés lorsqu’une probabilité pré-test est élevée et que les hémocultures ne sont pas disponibles, mais leurs résultats ne justifient pas le déploiement d’un environnement de soins de santé en Afrique subsaharienne.
Resumen

Sensibilidad y especificidad de las pruebas rápidas de anticuerpos de fiebre tifoidea para el diagnóstico clínico en dos centros del África subsahariana

Objetivo Evaluar tres pruebas comerciales rápidas de anticuerpos tifoideos para detectar anticuerpos de Salmonella typhi en pacientes de los que se sospecha que sufren fiebre tifoidea en Mpuamanga, Sudáfrica y Moshi, República Unida de Tanzania.

Métodos Se evaluó la precisión diagnóstica del Cromotest® (pruebas semicuantitativas de aglutinación en lámina y de Widal en tubo único), el TUBEX® y el Typhidot® fue sopesada con la tasa de análisis aumen-culturales. El hecho fue modelado para el cálculo de los VPP y VSN de acuerdo con las sensibilidades y especificidades de los ensayos con probabilidad de la prueba inicial del 5% y el 50%.

Resultados Se reclutó a un total de 92 pacientes: 53 (57,6%) de Sudáfrica y 39 (42,4%) de Tanzania. La Salmonella Typhi fue aislada en la sangre de 28 de estos pacientes (30,4%). Para una probabilidad de la prueba inicial del 5%, se obtuvieron los siguientes resultados: TUBEX®, 11,0% (IC del 95%: 6,6–17,9); Typhidot® IgM, 9,1% (IC del 95%: 5,8–14,0); Typhidot® IgG, 11,0% (IC del 95%: 6,3–18,4). Para una probabilidad de la prueba inicial del 50%, los VPP fueron: TUBEX®, 70,2% (IC del 95%: 57,3–80,5); Typhidot® IgM, 65,6% (IC del 95%: 54,0–75,6); y Typhidot® IgG, 70,0% (IC del 95%: 56,0–81,1).

Conclusión Las pruebas semicuantitativas de aglutinación en lámina y de Widal en tubo único no funcionaron bien. TUBEX® y Typhidot® podrían ser aceptables cuando la probabilidad de la prueba inicial es alta y los hemocultivos no están disponibles, pero su funcionamiento no justifica su uso en los centros de asistencia sanitaria habituales en el África subsahariana.
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