Divorce in families of children with Down Syndrome or Rett Syndrome

Abstract  This study evaluates the impact in the stability and management of the marriage of parents of a child with Down or Rett Syndrome. Morbidity of the syndromes and the marital status of the couples before and after the birth of the affected children were considered variables. The divorce rate in families with Down syndrome was 10%, similar to the Brazilian rate population. In Rett Syndrome, the divorce rate was significantly higher, 23.5%. The higher morbidity of Rett Syndrome, and the moment of diagnosis could be relevant factors for the increased divorce rate related to this syndrome.
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Introduction

The birth of a baby is a fact laden with specific psychological repercussions, demanding a certain rearrangement of family dynamics\(^1\). The presence of a disabled child in the family is an additional motive for marital tension\(^2\). Before the birth of a disabled child, the family faces the loss of an ideal child, requiring them to experience this grief in order to accept this real child\(^3,4\). The family’s reactions towards the disabled child and the interpretation of this deficiency reflect their own socio-historical context\(^5\). The family’s acknowledgement that their child has learning disabilities displays the beginning of a path that can bring challenges along with rewards\(^5\). The adjustment process to this new situation presents the family with both the opportunity for growth, maturation, and fortification, as well as the danger of deviations from their family members and ties\(^6\).

Down syndrome (DS) is a genetic syndrome with frequent mental disability and is caused by trisomy of chromosome 21. In most cases, the diagnosis is made at birth. The classic morphological phenotype of DS enables this recognition. Thus, it can be said that the parents of a child with DS already know that the child will be born with the condition or will have the confirmed diagnosis in the neonatal period\(^6\). Morbidity is represented by changes in cardiovascular, respiratory, endocrine, eye, ear, gastrointestinal, sleep disorder, premature aging, among others\(^7\). Nevertheless, survival, quality of life, and the social and educational inclusion of children with DS has significantly increased\(^8\).

Rett syndrome (RS) is a genetic syndrome caused by a mutation, predominantly in the MeCP2 gene located on the X chromosome, following the transmission model linked to the dominant X, and the phenotype characteristic is expressed in females\(^9\). The child’s neurological and psychological development appear normal; however, between 5 to 30 months of age, developmental delay is noticeable with head growth deceleration, remarkable intellectual retardation, and the association of seizures. Then, we observe the loss of voluntary manipulation of objects, presence of stereotypies in the upper limbs, and ataxia of the trunk and gait. After a period of apparent stability, other neurological abnormalities appear, such as vasomotor instability, respiratory disorders, scoliosis, and epilepsy\(^10,11\). Overall, it is treated as a disease with high morbidity and mortality, high impact on the quality of life, and extremely difficult in social and educational inclusion\(^12\).

It is expected that parents of children with disabilities and special needs throughout life have high levels of stress\(^13\). The family, as a group made of subsystems, may have its function changed by several factors such as: social support, marital adjustment, and socio-economic situation\(^14\).

Few studies in literature have reported the marital status of these families, in relation to the general population. In Brazil, there is no similar study.

This study evaluated the impact of the birth of a child with DS or RS on the stability and preservation of the marriage.

Method

Participants invited to partake in this study included 100 families of individuals with DS, who attended the Association of Parents and Friends of Intellectually Disabled People in São Paulo (APAE) with a clinically confirmed diagnosis, and 136 families of individuals with RS, registered at the Brazilian Rett Syndrome Association (ABRE-TE) in São Paulo. All of the individuals contacted agreed to participate in the study. The RS cases were investigated by the ABRE-TE, and diagnostics were performed by the same physician accustomed to treating patients with this syndrome. Sixty-six of the patients included in the RS sample had confirmed mutations in the MECP2 gene. Cases with a clinical diagnosis, but negative molecular for RS, were not included. All patients included feature the classic form of RS.

The responses were analyzed from the parents and guardians of 62 males and 38 females with DS and a mean age of 13 years (+/- 8.38). Only one male individual with RS participated in the survey, and the remaining 135 participants were female, with the mean age of 15 years (+/- 9.20). There was no significant difference between the mean ages of the two syndromes. The data for DS were collected in 2008 and the RS data in 2013. All ages were updated in March 2014.

All of the individuals live with their families, and in the cases of separated couples, the individual resides with the mother. Cases where the individual resides with their father or another close relative only occurred in cases of parental death (three in DS and seven in RS). None of the individuals in the research are institutionalized.

The morbidity of each syndrome was clinically evaluated, considering the five most common complications and characteristics of each syndrome. Thus, the following were considered...
for DS: cardiac abnormality, hematologic disease, hearing impairment, thyroid problems, and seizures. RS considered the following: lack of verbal communication and mobility, presence of stereotypy, scoliosis, and seizures. The information was collected through questionnaires, specifically developed for interviews conducted in-person (with 99 mothers and one sister responsible for the individuals with DS), via telephone, or e-mail (with 127 mothers, nine fathers, three grandparents, and three sisters responsible for the individuals with RS). Besides the matters described above, only the parents were asked about the following: marital status, quality of parental relationship before the birth of the individual with the syndrome, and change in the quality of the parental relationship after the birth of the individual. Sisters and grandparents did not answer the questions related to the quality of the relationship of the individual’s parents. The in-person and telephone interviews were conducted by two of the authors of this study, familiar with the questionnaire. The phone interviews were conducted in an empathetic manner, where the interviewer heard the participants’ stories, as well as their-person interviews, besides the questionnaire responses. In the questionnaires that were sent and answered by email (15% of the total), the same researcher who conducted the phone call exchanged a sufficient number of messages in each case to resolve the respondents’ questions.

The marriage, divorce, and separation rates of the Brazilian population were obtained from the data presented in the 2010 Census by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) and updated from the data provided by the IBGE for marriages, divorces, and separations in 2011 and 2012, from the 2010 Census. The marriage, divorce, and separations sample rates were obtained by dividing the number of married or divorced/separated couples by the total sample of each syndrome. A descriptive analysis was performed to characterize the sample, and the chi-square test to compare proportions using a significance level of 5%.

The project was approved by the both ethical review committees, Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie and Universidade Federal de São Paulo.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the marital status of parents of individuals with DS and RS. Seventy-three percent of DS parents showed a stable relationship at the time of the interview (42% legally married), while 65.4% of RS parents showed a stable relationship (56.6% legally married).

The percentage of separations for DS parents was 10% and 23.5% for RS, included are those separated, with or without divorce, or in the separation process, the difference being significant.

When asked about the quality of the couple’s relationship before the child’s birth (Table 2), 75% of DS parents responded as excellent/good, and 68% of RS responded the same.

The parents’ assessment about their relationship after the child’s birth (Table 3) showed no significant differences between the two syndromes.

However, when only considering the population of divorced parents, we found that 80% of DS mothers already considered the relationship bad before the birth of the child with the syndrome, with no change afterwards. The RS situation is more complex; 41% considered the relationship bad before the birth of the affected child, and the rest considered the relationship good. Although 9% considered that the child born with RS worsened the marital relationship.

### Table 1. Marital status of Down and Rett couples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Down</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Rett</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample N°</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legally Married</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable relationship</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never lived together</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown father</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated°</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased spouse°</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*°Yates’ Chi-square = 6.242; 0.02 < p < 0.0. †legally married before death.

### Table 2. Marital relationship before child born with the syndrome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Down</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Rett</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample N°</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad / Terrible</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Questionnaires not answered by the progenitors."
When the parents separated, the mean age of DS individuals was 17-years-old, and 19-years-old for RS individuals, with no significant difference between them.

The morbidity rate found for DS was 25.6% and 71.34% for RS. When analyzing each complication, DS individuals presented the following: 52% with heart problems, 41% with thyroid problems, 20% with hearing problems, 9% with blood disorders, and only 6% with seizures. RS individuals demonstrated that 99% had stereotypies, 86% do not speak, 70% have scoliosis, 56% have seizures, and 45% do not walk. It is noteworthy that 22% of RS individuals have all five complications, 35% have four of the complications, and 4% have only one complication. In contrast, 42% of individuals with DS have only one complication, 26% have two complications, and only 2% have all five complications described.

Discussion

This study found a significantly different divorce rate between the two syndromes studied. In 2008, the separation rate was 10% for couples of children with DS, comparable to the divorce and legal separation rate of 13.7% in Brazil, presented in the 2010 Census from IBGE. On the other hand, the divorce rates collected in 2013 regarding couples with daughters with RS was 23.5%, significantly higher than the rate shown in 2012 of 14.72%, which was adjusted from the data provided by the IBGE of marriages, divorces and separations in 2011 and 2012, according to the 2010 Census. Previous studies have identified lower divorce rates in families that have children with DS, in relation to families that have children with other disabilities or similar rates to the general population. Due to certain characteristics of DS itself, the term “Down Syndrome Advantage”, as it is perceived along with its existing support, indicates that parents of children with DS are able to cope better with the losses involved, compared to other syndromes. In our study, DS parents were more likely to answer questions related to the quality of the marital relationship before and after the birth of the child with the syndrome than the RS families, perhaps reflecting DS as a better condition to deal with.

It was also observed that 80% of the sampled DS couples considered their relationship bad before the child’s birth. Although their opinions that the relationship worsened with the difficulties imposed by the child’s syndrome, their marital status prior to the birth seems to be a determinant. This result is aligned with data found in other studies, including factors such as low-income, rural housing, low education level, and young parents, which are presented as factors related to divorce. Since the result found in our sample was consistent with the divorce rate in the Brazilian population at the time, we can consider that the disability itself would not be the cause of divorce.

We found different situations among the RS couples that showed a significantly higher number of divorces, and in 9% of the cases, the relationship worsened after the child’s birth. The high morbidity rate of 71.34% and health complications that compromise mobility and communication, in addition to the impact on clinical situations, i.e., seizures, create a strenuous family routine and certainly contribute to increased family stress. The comparison of children with Rett to those with DS, when using the Functional Independence Measure instrument, presented lower rates, requiring significantly more help in all of the areas assessed. Among the disadvantages cited in a comparative study of Australian families that have children with DS and RS, the RS group had more complaints about the restriction of family life and socialization, possibly due to the great amount of care that the syndrome requires and the low mobility of the affected individual. Although in both groups, the greater the economic advantage, the more noticeable the disadvantages of having a child with disabilities. Twelve percent of parents of children with DS presented advantages in having a child with a disability, compared to only 6% of parents of children with RS. Even couples that have Rett daughters and remain married, reported limited independence for their own needs and social isolation, and much of the couple’s energy is spent...
on caring for the child and not the relationship. The demand for long, extensive care may contribute to the decline in marital satisfaction over the years. The fact that all children of divorced parents remain with their mothers aligns with the discussion of Perry et al., that although the whole family is affected, the burden of having a disabled child falls more so on the mother, who is often responsible for their care.

One should also consider the late diagnosis of this syndrome. While the diagnosis of DS is usually announced to parents at the hospital or even during the prenatal period, RS usually manifests itself after 18 months. Parents of children with DS reported disorientation, shock, loss of an idealized situation, and anxiety about the future – primarily due to misinformation about the child’s clinical condition upon diagnosis at the hospital. In order to understand this process, we should observe that from conception, parents dream of an ideal child, and attribute him/her with every perfection and expectation to accomplish all of the desires that they could not achieve. This occurs during pregnancy and intensifies after birth. It becomes necessary to cope with the loss of the imaginary child to get in touch with the real child, especially when this idealization is so different from the conceived. Just after the birth of a baby with DS, these representations are reassessed, and the new roles should be worked on by the parents in the following months; while in RS, parents have a closer to ideal son and live this process up to 18 months, only to deconstruct it.

Parents should then deal with the frustration of knowing that their previously healthy child will now present a series of deficiencies, the severity of which will only be set after several years of neurological impairment. Added to this is the constant fear of losing their child due to the high mortality of the disease. The fact that the child initially appears healthy, allowing the parents to create expectations for the future, and the subsequent confrontation with the diagnosis of RS, appears to contribute to increased stress.

The potentially negative consequences, resulting from additional stress of a child with a developmental disorder, are measured by the quality of the marital relationship before the child’s diagnosis, social support, personality, beliefs, and the parents’ personal abilities. Professionals responsible for communicating the diagnosis should help make this moment less traumatic, assisting the family to overcome this stage better. Ways to support these families may include: family counseling at the time of diagnosis about the difficulties and marital stress that follow, therapeutic support for parents, support from the extended family – such as grandparents, aunts, and uncles – as well as a support network in the community.

Consider that the differences presented between DS and RS couples can be attributed not only to the particular characteristics of each syndrome, in relation to the severity of the conditions, but also the more accessible conditions and opportunities of social and educational services and therapeutic support offered to the DS group. The interest group formed by parents and professionals in the Brazilian society has been constituted over time, and greater incentive to include the DS group in school and non-school spaces is now a fact. Unlike the RS group, which shows lower prevalence in the population and greater severity of the condition, including being classified as multiple disabilities, thus requiring more intense and complex care and greater dependence on the family, especially the mother.

Limitations of this study point out the lack of data regarding the timing of the divorce (how old the child with the syndrome was when it occurred, and how many years the couple had been married), age and education level of mothers, as well as the social class to which they belong. These data would supplement our findings, by allowing better definitions of the factors that contribute to the preservation or termination of the marriage, helping support the family.

Some biases could have occurred, due to the characteristics of the sample, such as the fact that among the RS couples, nine fathers responded. The perception of fathers and mothers in relation to the relationship might be different. However, excluding the sample questionnaires of the nine fathers from the RS group and re-analyzing all of the variables, the results do not change, neither the percentage of separations nor the perception of the relationship.

Another methodological characteristic was the difference in the administration of the questionnaires. The RS group responded to the questions via email and phone, while the DS group responded in-person. We applied this method because while the children with DS were being examined, we proceeded to administer the questionnaire in-person with the mothers. In the RS group, we used the database of an association that assesses children from several Brazilian cities, which hindered direct contact with the parents. The possible influence generated by this approach was minimized because only one person made contact with the RS families. The interviewer, the
first author of this article, knew the questionnaire in detail and sought to keep the conversation in a more natural manner by establishing a good relationship with the same empathy. The messages exchanged via e-mail tried to clarify all of the questions mentioned by the respondents.

All of the investigated families were offered genetic counseling. Although genetic counseling services in Brazil are insufficient, they are of good quality. They explain about the therapeutic resources available and ensure that the family understands the risks of recurrence27.

Our study points out a significant difference between divorce rates found in the two surveyed syndromes. While the divorce rate for families that have children with DS follows the rate of the Brazilian population, families that have children with RS have significantly higher rates. It is believed that what contributes to this differential is the high morbidity of RS and the time of diagnosis, starting from 18 months of age, while for DS is at birth. Family support at the time of diagnosis, which this data takes into account, may help the families.
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