Sensitivity and specificity of the body mass index for the diagnosis of overweight/obesity in elderly

Sensibilidade e especificidade do índice de massa corporal no diagnóstico de sobrepeso/obesidade em idosos

Abstract

The aim of this article was to verify the sensitivity and specificity of the body mass index (BMI) cut-off points proposed by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Nutrition Screening Initiative (NSI) for the diagnosis of obesity in the elderly. A cross-sectional study was made with 180 healthy elderly subjects from Florianópolis, Santa Catarina State, Brazil. Body fat percentage (%BF) was determined using DEXA (dual energy X-ray absorptiometry). The BMI cut-off point of the NSI offers better sensitivity and specificity for men (73.7% and 72.5% respectively). For women, the lower the cut-off point the better the sensitivity, with a BMI of 25kg/m² (sensitivity of 76.3% and specificity of 100%) being the most accurate for diagnosing obesity in elderly women. The WHO cut-off point offered very low sensitivity (28.9%). The results of this investigation lead to the conclusion that the cut-off points proposed by the WHO and the ones adopted by the NSI and by Lipschitz are not good indicators of obesity for the elderly of either sex, since they offer low sensitivity.
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Introduction

The aging process causes nutritional, morphological and physiological modifications, such as reductions in height and body weight, reduction of fat-free mass and increase in fat mass. The increase in fat mass, particularly in the trunk region, occurs especially after the age of 45. It is related to the redistribution of fat mass, with a reduction of fat in the limbs being followed by an increase in trunk fat, due to hormonal factors.

The aging process also affects men differently to women. Men have a smaller absolute loss of muscle mass when compared with elderly women. Elderly women, on the other hand, exhibit an increase in fat mass and a reduction in bone mass.

Excessive fat mass may be defined as obesity, a chronic disease directly or indirectly related to other pathological situations which contribute to morbidity and mortality, such as cardiovascular, bone-muscular and neoplastic diseases.

Nevertheless, the methods available for diagnosing obesity in the elderly are still not specific, considering the modifications in body composition which occur during the aging process. The most widely used method is the one proposed by the World Health Organization (WHO) based on body mass index (BMI) for the adult population. According to this method, an individual is considered pre-obese when having a BMI equal to or above 25kg/m² and below 30kg/m² and obese.
when having a BMI equal to or above 30 kg/m², regardless of age or sex. It is worth emphasizing that these cut-off points for BMI were originally established based on the risk of developing associated morbidities or chronic diseases such as hypertension, high blood cholesterol, type 2 diabetes, coronary heart disease, and other diseases.

In the 1990s, another classification based on BMI was proposed for the diagnosis of obesity, adapted to the elderly population. This classification is used by the Nutrition Screening Initiative (NSI) and adopted by Lipschitz, in which seniors with a BMI above 27 kg/m² are classified as overweight, while those with a BMI below 22 kg/m² are classified as thin. Lipschitz says that the use of these values from the lesser mortality of the elderly in this BMI range, however, does not refer to changes of aging.

Some limitations, however, have been identified when using these diagnostic procedures with the elderly population, including studies conducted in Brazil. Lohman points out that BMI can be influenced by muscle mass, organs, bone structure and fat mass. Therefore, an individual with great quantities of fat free mass could exhibit the BMI of an obese person, but not have excessive fat mass. Similarly, an individual with small bone structure or reduced muscular mass, but with a great quantity of fat mass, could be misclassified as normal (eutrophic) when actually overweight.

Therefore, when the distinct changes to the body that occur in aging are taken into consideration, it is possible that two people with the same BMI may actually have different percentage fat mass. This is why BMI should be specific for age groups and differentiated among populations and ethnic groups.

On the other hand, Lohman states that the cut-off points for the diagnosis of obesity based on percentage body fat for adult population, which places an individual at risk is above 25% of body fat for men and 32% for women.

Considering the changes in body composition during aging and the importance of an accurate diagnosis of obesity in the elderly, the objective of this study was to verify the sensitivity and specificity of the BMI cut-off points proposed by WHO and NSI for diagnosing obesity in the elderly.

**Materials and methods**

This was a cross-sectional study. The sample was composed of 180 elderly subjects (60 men and 120 women), considered healthy or without evidence of serious chronic diseases, all of them living in the municipality of Florianópolis in the State of Santa Catarina, Southern Brazil, in 2005. Details about the development and design of the study have been described elsewhere.

Briefly, the subjects were selected by invitation among participants of four elderly leisure groups. These groups were selected among people living close to the places where the measurements were carried out, thus avoiding major discomfort for the subjects.

A total of 266 elderly subjects (115 men and 151 women) were contacted by telephone and interviewed. 86 subjects (57 men and 29 women) did not fulfill the criteria for inclusion in the sample since they presented symptoms of high blood pressure, had some type of cancer, amputation and pacemaker, were younger than 60 years, were taking diuretics, had a fixed prosthesis or were not interested in participating in the study. During the telephone contact, the subjects also responded to a questionnaire regarding demographic and socioeconomic data and the dates for the anthropometric, dietetic, bioelectrical impedance and dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) measurements were also scheduled.

Research was conducted according to the ethical principles regarding an individual’s autonomy, as per Resolution nº. 196/96 of the National Health Council. The project was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee at the Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC), under protocol nº. 063/05.

Anthropometric measures (weight and height) were taken during the morning (between 8 and 10am), at the Physical Effort Laboratory, part of the UFSC Sports Center. All data were collected by one person, with error of measurement of between 0.24% and 2.62%, who had been given appropriate training in the techniques and standards employed. The BMI (weight [kg]/height [m²]) was classified by using two criteria: (1) the WHO cut-off points, considering pre-obese those subjects with BMI above 25 kg/m² and below 30 kg/m²; and obese those with BMI above 30 kg/m²; (2) the cut-off point adapted for the elderly and used by the NSI, whereby overweight individuals were considered to be those with a BMI above 27 kg/m².

Percentage body fat and fat free mass were measured by whole-body scanning with a Lunar Prodigy DF + 14.319 radiation densitometer (GE Medical, Madison, USA) using the DPX-L software version 7.52.002 (SONITEC, Florianópolis, Brazil) for quantification. During evaluation, each senior wore just an apron, was barefoot without earrings, rings, dental prosthetics or other materials, and was immobilized in dorsal decubitus, keeping the arms and legs away from the body.
the body. Each examination took around 10-15 minutes. The equipment was calibrated daily, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Evaluations were conducted by a technician in medical radiology, at the Diagnostic Imaging Center (SONITEC), in the city of Florianópolis, during the afternoon, between 4pm and 6.30pm.

Both anthropometric and DEXA data were collected in two different hours on the same day, due to the distance between the places for data collection and the schedules offered by the clinic. This does not generate a bias, since there is no comparison between different methods of body fat percentage and there is no significant change in body composition in a few hours.

Data were analyzed using the SPSS, version 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA), adopting a level of significance of p < 0.05.

Student's t test for independent variables was used to compare age, weight, height, BMI and percentage of body fat between men and women and between obese and non-obese groups.

The sensitivity and specificity of the different cut-off points for obesity diagnosis using BMI were estimated. These tests are described as methods that are theoretically capable of indicating the presence or absence of a given disease, with a certain chance of error. The probability of a diagnostic test producing a positive result, when the individual does indeed have a given disease, is called test sensitivity; and the probability of the test producing a negative result, when the individual does not have the disease, is called specificity.

In order to estimate sensitivity and specificity, it was necessary to create tables of crossed tabulation comparing the different cut-off points to reference values, based on percentage of body fat. For this procedure the percentage of body fat obtained by DEXA was adopted as gold standard for the diagnosis of obesity, according to various investigations made with the elderly population. The values proposed by Lohman for adult population, of 25% of body fat for men and 32% of body fat for women, was adopted as reference cut-offs.

To determine the most adequate BMI cut-off points for the diagnosis of obesity in the elderly, a statistic procedure known as ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curve was employed. In this procedure, the area under the curve (AUC) can be used to quantify how well a predictor discriminates between individuals with and without a disease, with the disease in this case being obesity. The AUC was then calculated to determine the most sensitive and specific cut-off points for obesity in the population studied.

## Results

Table 1 lists the physical characteristics of the elderly subjects in the form of descriptive statistics. The sample consisted of 180 healthy elderly subjects (60 men and 120 women) ranging in age from 60 to 81 years. Men had greater mean age, weight and height. The men and women had similar BMI values, but the difference between them was significant (p < 0.05). Women had higher percentages of body fat and had lower fat free mass than men, suggesting that sex can be an important variable in determining obesity cut-off points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Men (n = 60)</th>
<th>Women (n = 120)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) *</td>
<td>69.14 ± 5.6</td>
<td>67.2 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg) *</td>
<td>74.3 ± 10.7</td>
<td>65.7 ± 11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm) *</td>
<td>167.2 ± 7.4</td>
<td>154.5 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²) *</td>
<td>26.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>27.4 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat free mass [DEXA] (kg) *</td>
<td>54.9 ± 6.8</td>
<td>38.9 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of body fat [DEXA] *</td>
<td>23.02 ± 5.8</td>
<td>37.3 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; DEXA: dual energy X-ray absorptiometry. * Significant difference between sexes (p < 0.05), Student’s t test for independent variables.

According to a previous article, an interesting socioeconomic demographic characteristic was that 60% (n = 108) of the subjects were between 60 and 70 years of age, characterizing the sample as a young elderly population. Regarding marital status, 88.3% (n = 53) of men and 65% (n = 78) of women were married. The educational level was considered to be above national standards: 42.8% of the elderly subjects had completed middle school or completed high school, and 57.2% had completed elementary school (until 8th grade). Most elderly subjects (84.3%) performed some type of physical activity (more than twice a week). Men were found to be less active than women (p < 0.05, \( \chi^2 = 2.35 \)). The main activities reported by subjects practicing physical exercise were walking, localized gymnastics, working out, water gymnastics and dancing.

There was a higher prevalence of obesity among the women than among the men (Table 2), in all BMI categories and by percentage of...
body fat. When determined by percentage of body fat measured by DEXA, 32.2% of the men were obese, against 95.8% of the women. When the WHO cut-off point (BMI ≥ 30kg/m²) was used, 11.9% of the men and 27.7% of the women were considered obese. A total of 42.4% of the men were classified as obese when the NSI cut-off point (BMI > 27kg/m²) was used; for women, this percentage was 53.8%. This shows that the prevalence of obesity was higher with the lower BMI cut-off points.

When different BMI cut-off points proposed by WHO for diagnosing obesity were used, there was a difference between sexes only for those elderly with BMI less than 25kg/m². When percentage of body fat was compared between sexes, the difference was not significant for any BMI category, but when mean of percentage of body fat values were compared in different categories for the same sex, a significant difference was detected (p < 0.001). For the women, considering percentage of body fat by BMI categories, even those women whose BMI was between 25kg/m² and 30kg/m² had mean percentage of body fat above 32%, classified as obesity for this sex. In contrast, only those men whose BMI was above 30kg/m² were classified as obese by their percentage of body fat (data not shown).

Table 3 presents the sensitivity and specificity values for BMI cut-off points, according to fat mass measurement (DEXA) in elderly subjects of both sexes. For the men, the BMI values used by NSI and by Lipschitz offered better sensitivity and specificity and can be considered the most accurate. The BMI value of 25kg/m² offers high sensitivity (94.7%), but low specificity (40%), which translates into an increase in the amount of false-positives, that is, a higher prevalence of individuals wrongly diagnosed with obesity. A BMI of 30kg/m² had low sensitivity (31.6%), but high specificity (97.5%), which translates into a high number of false-negatives, that is, a higher prevalence of individuals being misdiagnosed as normal (eutofic) when in fact they are obese. With women, the lower the cut-off point, the better the sensitivity, bearing in mind that specificity did not change. In this case, a BMI of 25kg/m² would be more accurate for diagnosing obesity in elderly women when compared to other values, exactly because it is the lowest value. The cut-off point for BMI of 30kg/m² proposed by WHO had very low sensitivity (28.9%), causing a great number of people to be diagnosed as normal when they had excessive fat mass, or were obese, according to the DEXA gold standard.

The ROC curve is presented in Figures 1 and 2, as well as the corresponding AUC values.

In this study, the value of BMI with better sensitivity and specificity for men was 27.58kg/m². This cut-off point provides a sensitivity of 73.7 (95% confidence interval – 95%CI: 48.8-90.8) and a specificity of 85.0 (95%CI: 70.2-94.3). The value of BMI with better sensitivity and specificity for women was 22.89kg/m². This cut-off point provides a sensitivity of 88.6 (95%CI: 81.3-93.8) and a specificity of 100.0 (95%CI: 48.0-100.0) (data not shown).
Table 3

Sensitivity and specificity of body mass index (BMI) cut-off points in relation to fat mass measured by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) * in elderly of both sexes. Florianópolis, Santa Catarina State, Brazil, 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>False-positives</th>
<th>False-negatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (n = 60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥ 25kg/m² **</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &gt; 27kg/m² ***</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥ 30kg/m² **</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n = 120)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥ 25kg/m² **</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &gt; 27kg/m² ***</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥ 30kg/m² **</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Considering the cut-off points proposed by Lohman 14 (25% for men and 32% for women) as the gold standard for the diagnosis of obesity;
** According to the World Health Organization 8;
*** According to the Nutrition Screening Initiative 9.

Figure 1

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of body mass index (BMI) by percentage of body fat using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) of the elderly men investigated, 95% confidence interval (95%CI) for the area under the curve (AUC). Florianópolis, Santa Catarina State, Brazil, 2005.
Discussion

In this study, significant differences in physical variables were observed between elderly men and women. Men had higher mean age, weight, height and fat free mass, and lower percentage of body fat, demonstrating that sex can be an important variable in the determination of cut-off points for obesity. Such differentiation between men and women, during aging, is common in the literature.6,7,11,12

There was a significant difference (p < 0.05) between the BMI values of the men and the women. The results of this study differ from those of Sampaio & Figueiredo.25 In their study, conducted with 316 elderly subjects aged 70.5 ± 7.5 years (men) and 69.9 ± 6.3 years (women), they stated that there was no significant difference between the mean BMI values of elderly men and women.

Conversely, Santos et al.26, in a study conducted in Chile with 1,220 men and women aged between 60 and 99 years old, showed that men were heavier than women, but that the latter had higher BMI. Perissinotto et al.2 conducted a study with 3,356 elderly Italians and found that BMI was significantly higher among the women than the men (27.6 ± 5.7 kg/m² and 26.4 ± 3.7 kg/m² respectively, p < 0.001). There was a high prevalence of obesity, and higher values were observed among the women (28% against 16% in men, respectively).

In this investigation, the prevalence of obese women was higher when compared to men, according to the BMI classification, in common with other authors’ findings.2,26 Similar results were observed for the percentage of body fat measured by DEXA, comparable with findings published by Perissinotto et al.2

Several studies have found evidence of the association of mortality with increased BMI values. For instance, Ajani et al.27 reported (through multivariate analyses adjusted for age, alcohol intake and physical activity), that the relative risk for mortality directly increases when BMI values escalate. They claimed that, for all age strata analyzed (40-54, 55-69 and 70-84 years), even those who never smoked but had a BMI higher than 30 kg/m² had a death risk of approximately 70% when compared with groups with a BMI between 22.5 and 24.9 kg/m².
Increased BMI values are also directly related to increased risk of developing cardiovascular diseases and mortality.\textsuperscript{28,29}

Several authors have discussed the diagnosis of obesity based either on percentage of body fat or BMI. In a study by Kontogianni et al.\textsuperscript{30}, conducted with post-menopausal women, the BMI cut-off point for obesity (＞30kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) detected a prevalence of 30.6%, while all participants (n = 115) were classified as obese when the percentage of body fat criterion was used (＞32%). These results are similar to those presented in this article, where the prevalence of obese women, according to percentage of body fat was 95.8%.

Regarding the possible variation of percentage of body fat among individuals with the same BMI values, Svendsen\textsuperscript{31} compared subjects with BMI values of 25kg/m\textsuperscript{2} and observed that they exhibited a variation in percentage of body fat from 20% to 50%.

Hortobágyi et al.\textsuperscript{32}, in a study that analyzed BMI’s sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of obesity in men and women with mean ages of 39.3 ± 10.16 and 38.8 ± 10.54 years of age, respectively, detected that only 28% of men were classified as obese according to BMI, but 42% according to percentage of body fat. In women, 12% were diagnosed as obese by the BMI, and 40% according to percentage of body fat.

Sardinha & Teixeira\textsuperscript{15}, in a study conducted with women aged 60.5 ± 7.1 years and using as cut-off points values above 35% of body fat to determine obesity, showed that mean BMI was of 27.8 ± 4.2kg/m\textsuperscript{2} and the mean percentage of body fat was 42.6%. If we consider the sample used by these authors, the mean BMI would classify this population as pre-obese, while the percentage of body fat would define it as obese.

The data presented in this article show that mean BMI of the men was 26.5 ± 2.8kg/m\textsuperscript{2} and for the women it was 27.4 ± 3.9kg/m\textsuperscript{2}. These BMI values would classify this population as pre-obese, according to the WHO\textsuperscript{8} criterion, but when analyzed by percentage of body fat, men would be diagnosed as non-obese (23.02 ± 5.8%), while the women would be classed as obese (37.3 ± 6.9%).

In this study, when the cut-off point for BMI > 30kg/m\textsuperscript{2} proposed by the WHO\textsuperscript{8} for the diagnosis of obesity was used, a sensitivity of 28.9% was obtained for women. Similar results were found by Sardinha & Teixeira\textsuperscript{15}, where the same point presented a sensitivity of 26.8%, considered low by the authors, since it represented a high proportion of false-negatives (73.2%). That study differed from this one in terms of the population studied and the percentage of body fat adopted (35%), and it is probably because of this that their sensitivity values were not even more similar.

Smalley et al.\textsuperscript{33}, in 1990, had already stated that the problem with BMI rests in the sensitivity of the method, since only 55.4% of women and 44.3% of men studied were correctly diagnosed as obese according to BMI, when compared to percentage of body fat. Their study comprised 213 women and 150 men aged 15-68 and 18-82 years old, respectively.

According to Goh et al.\textsuperscript{16}, the cut-off point for BMI proposed by the WHO\textsuperscript{8} should be specific for each age group and population, since it presents low sensitivity (6.7%) and specificity (13.4%) indexes for diagnosing obesity in Asian populations.

Sensitivity and specificity were also analyzed by Blew et al.\textsuperscript{22} According to these authors, in a study conducted with a population of post-menopausal women aged 54.8 ± 4.8 years, the most accurate BMI cut-off point for the diagnosis of obesity was 24.9kg/m\textsuperscript{2}. In this study, the values of BMI with better sensitivity and specificity for women and men were 22.89kg/m\textsuperscript{2} and 27.58kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, respectively.

Other authors have observed that the percentages of body fat to define overweight and obesity vary with age, being necessary to establish specific cut-off points for different stages of life.\textsuperscript{34} Besides this, ethnic and cultural differences should also be considered, since they can be associated with BMI and percentage of body fat variables and may lead to misclassification of obesity and, consequently, of health prognosis.\textsuperscript{35}

The results of this investigation allow for the conclusion that the cut-off points proposed by the WHO\textsuperscript{8} and the ones adopted by NSI\textsuperscript{9} and by Lipschitz\textsuperscript{10} are not good indicators of obesity for the elderly of either sex, since they offer low sensitivity. Such low sensitivity would not classify as obese a great number of individuals with elevated percentage of body fat and, consequently, at risk of developing the pathologies associated.

In Brazil, the cut-off points for BMI established by the Ministry of Health for the elderly population are based on values recommended by Lipschitz\textsuperscript{10}. The results of this research, although similar to those recommended by Ministry of Health, indicate that caution is needed in the use of diagnostic criteria of obesity in the elderly population. Thus, from the viewpoint of public policies aimed at meeting the nutritional needs of the elderly population, we suggest a revision of such criteria, particularly in relation to the differences observed between the sexes.

Therefore, we affirm the need to develop and validate new BMI criteria for diagnosis of obesity in the elderly.
Resumo

O objetivo foi verificar a sensibilidade e especificidade dos pontos de corte do índice de massa corporal (IMC) propostos pela Organização Mundial da Saúde (OMS) e Nutrition Screening Initiative (NSI) no diagnóstico da obesidade em idosos. O estudo foi realizado com 180 idosos de Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brasil. O percentual de gordura corporal foi mensurado por absortometria radiológica de dupla energia. O IMC da NSI apresenta melhores valores de sensibilidade e especificidade para homens (73,7% e 72,5% respectivamente). Para os homens o IMC de 25kg/m² apresentou elevada sensibilidade (94,7%) e baixa especificidade (40%). Enquanto o IMC de 30kg/m² possui baixa sensibilidade (31,6%) e elevada especificidade (97,5%). Nas mulheres, o IMC de 25kg/m² (sensibilidade de 76,3% e especificidade de 100%) foi o mais acurado. O ponto de corte da OMS mostrou sensibilidade muito baixa (28,9%). Os resultados desta investigação permitem concluir que os pontos de corte propostos pela OMS e NSI não são bons indicadores de sobrepeso/obesidade para idosos de ambos os sexos.
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