Health-related and socio-demographic factors associated with frailty in the elderly: a systematic literature review

Fatores sociodemográficos e de saúde associados à fragilidade em idosos: uma revisão sistemática de literatura

Factores sociodemográficos y de salud asociados con la fragilidad en ancianos: una revisión sistemática de la literatura

Abstract

Frailty is a syndrome that leads to practical harm in the lives of elders, since it is related to increased risk of dependency, falls, hospitalization, institutionalization, and death. The objective of this systematic review was to identify the socio-demographic, psycho-behavioral, health-related, nutritional, and lifestyle factors associated with frailty in the elderly. A total of 4,183 studies published from 2001 to 2013 were detected in the databases, and 182 complete articles were selected. After a comprehensive reading and application of selection criteria, 35 eligible articles remained for analysis. The main factors associated with frailty were: age, female gender, black race/color, schooling, income, cardiovascular diseases, number of comorbidities/diseases, functional incapacity, poor self-rated health, depressive symptoms, cognitive function, body mass index, smoking, and alcohol use. Knowledge of the complexity of determinants of frailty can assist the formulation of measures for prevention and early intervention, thereby contributing to better quality of life for the elderly.
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Resumo

A fragilidade é uma síndrome que gera prejuízos práticos à vida do idoso, pois está relacionada à maior risco de dependência, quedas, hospitalização, institucionalização e morte. O objetivo desta revisão sistemática foi identificar os fatores sociodemográficos, psicocomportamentais, de condições de saúde, estado nutricional e estilo de vida associados à fragilidade em idosos. Quatro mil cento e oitenta e três trabalhos publicados entre 2001 e 2013 foram detectados nas bases bibliográficas e selecionados 182 artigos completos. Após a leitura integral e aplicação dos critérios de seleção, restaram 35 artigos elegíveis para análise. Os principais fatores associados foram: idade, sexo feminino, raça cor da pele preta, escolaridade, renda, doenças cardiovasculares, número de comorbidades, incapacidade funcional, autoavaliação de saúde ruim, sintomas depressivos, função cognitiva, índice de massa corporal, tabagismo e uso de álcool. O conhecimento da complexidade dos determinantes da fragilidade auxilia na formulação de ações de prevenção e intervenção precoce, garantindo maior qualidade de vida.
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Introduction

Frailty in the elderly is defined as a clinical syndrome characterized by a decrease in energy reserve, strength, and performance, resulting in a cumulative decline in multiple physiological systems, leading to a state of greater vulnerability. This condition causes practical harm to the life of elders and their families, both clinically and psychosocially, since it is associated with greater risk of adverse consequences such as dependency, falls, hospitalization, institutionalization, and death. Prevalence in Americans is 6.3% and in Brazilians it varies from 10 to 25% in persons above 65 years and 46% above 85 years.

The syndrome should not be confused with functional dependency or comorbidity. Frailty refers to the fact that a person needs help or requires assistance to perform an activity, or fails to perform it. Individuals are classified as dependent when they need help from someone else or are unable to perform a task. Meanwhile, comorbidity is a general concept that encompasses the presence of several diagnosed illnesses.

Studies have focused on understanding the causes and pathophysiology of frailty, defining and improving criteria to identify elderly at risk and analyzing factors that influence development of the syndrome. There are different definitions for the identification of frailty. The most widely used is that of Fried et al., who define frail elderly as those with three or more of the following indicators: unintentional weight loss, low level of physical activity, reduced grip strength, reduced gait speed, and self-reported fatigue. Another criterion that has been discussed in the scientific literature is that of Rockwood et al., which adds cognitive and emotional aspects to the diagnostic indicators. There are still other criteria, with no consensus in the academic community on the best approach to diagnosis; however, in a recently published report, experts agreed that health professionals should choose a well-validated model among the existing ones.

Since the factors related to the syndrome are not fully known, it is extremely important to understand it in order for targeted measures to be planned and implemented. Many of these health problems can be prevented at the primary care level, as long as healthcare professionals are alert to the determinant factors for the syndrome and aware of the importance of early detection. Studies have shown that various physiological, socio-demographic, psychological, and nutritional factors may be involved in the origin of frailty, in addition to related comorbidities.

The current systematic review aims to identify socio-demographic, health-related, nutritional, and lifestyle factors associated with frailty in the elderly.

Methods

Databases and search strategy

Articles published from 2001 to 2013 were selected from the following databases: MEDLINE via PubMed, Scopus, LILACS, and ISI Web of Knowledge. The descriptors and MeSH terms consulted in the search engines were: "age factors" OR "risk factors" OR "socioeconomic factors" OR "demographic factors" OR "clinical factors", "biological factors" OR "behavior factors" OR "elderly nutrition" OR "nutrition", "health status" OR "epidemiological factors" OR "elder nutritional physiological phenomena" in the field all words in the literature bases, in combination using the Boolean connector AND with "aging" OR "aged" OR "elderly" OR "senescence", in the field all words and associated by the Boolean connector AND with "frail elderly" OR "frailty" OR "fragility" OR "elderly frail" OR "frail older adults" in the field Title and/or abstract + key words. Articles in English, Spanish, and Portuguese were selected.

Selection criteria

The review used the following selection criteria: original scientific articles published in Brazilian or international periodicals; publication from 2001 to March 2013; study population 60 years or older; observational study design (cross-sectional, cohort, or case-control); individual selection by probabilistic sample or article showing the sampling design; and identification of factors associated with frailty in the elderly as the principal or secondary objective.

Importantly, there are different diagnostic criteria for frailty, with no consensus in the literature as to the most adequate markers for its identification. However a widely used and well-accepted criterion in the scientific community is that of Fried et al., published in 2001. Based on a study of Americans participating in the Cardiovascular Health Study, the group proposed that the syndrome’s pathophysiology can be identified by a phenotype, using five measurable components:

- Self-reported unintentional weight loss of 4.5kg or 5% of body weight in the previous year;
- Self-reported fatigue assessed by the following: “I felt tired all the time” and “I could not get
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The presence of three or more components defines a frail elder. The presence of one to two identifies those at high risk of developing the syndrome (pre-frail). We only selected articles that reported using this criterion to identify frailty, so the article search began in 2001, the year this definition was published.

Data extraction

Article selection and data extraction were performed independently by three reviewers, using a standardized instrument containing: country and study site; sampling number; type of sample; study design; characteristics of sample member; study variables; criterion used to identify frailty; statistical technique; principal results; and limitations.

Assessment of risk of bias

Assessment of the articles included in the analysis used verification of the risk of bias, as suggested by the Cochrane Collaboration. To orient the assessment of this risk, an adapted version of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was used (Table 1).

The original Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was developed to assess the quality of observational studies and contains eight items that analyze three dimensions: selection, comparability, and outcome (in the case of cohort studies) or exposure (case-control). For each item there is a series of options in which the one that best reflects quality is marked with a star; the more the stars, the higher the study’s quality.

* Represents an item for classification of low risk of bias.

Table 1

Adaptation of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale to assess quality of studies using the definition of frailty according to Fried et al. as the outcome variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Independent variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| a) Secure recording + primary measurements * (low risk of bias)
| b) Structured interview + primary measurements, without knowledge of outcome * (low risk of bias)
| c) Interview with knowledge of outcome (high risk of bias)
| d) Non-secures sources and self-assessment (high risk of bias)
| e) Does not describe clearly (uncertain risk of bias) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the assessment of frailty adequate?</td>
<td>a) Yes, according to Fried et al. * (low risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Yes, with some changes (2 or 1 components) (uncertain risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Yes, with many changes (3 or more components) (high risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) Does not describe clearly (uncertain risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representativeness of sample</td>
<td>a) Representative of local population * (low risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Possibility of selection bias (high risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Does not describe clearly (uncertain risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection of participants</td>
<td>a) Community * (low risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Hospital or part of hospital sample (high risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Does not describe clearly (uncertain risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of the control group or cohort (only for longitudinal studies)</td>
<td>a) Without previous history of the syndrome * (low risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Does not describe clearly (uncertain risk of bias)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
by Fried et al. 2), and risk of bias was divided into low risk of bias, uncertain risk of bias, and high risk of bias, according to the item being assessed. Each star represents an item for classification of low risk of bias.

Results

The literature search identified 4,183 publications. Of these, we eliminated 629 duplicates that came from two or more databases, and after reading the titles and abstracts, 3,372 were ruled out because frailty was an independent variable, the study was designed as an intervention, review, or validation of a diagnostic criterion, or the publication was a book or journal commentary or editorial. A total of 182 complete articles were selected for analysis. After reading them and applying the selection criteria, 35 eligible articles were left. Figure 1 shows the study selection flow.

Overall study characteristics

In the 35 studies, the data collection period ranged from 1989 to 2011. The year with the most publications was 2012 (n = 10). Most studies were on North American participants (n = 12), followed by Europeans (n = 11), Latin Americans (n = 9), and Asians (n = 3). The number of subjects varied from 77 to 40,657, and most studies had samples greater than 600 individuals. Age of

Figure 1

Flow chart for selection of articles for analysis.
the elderly was greater than 65 years, except for three studies, in which it was greater than 60. The results analyzed in this article are mainly from cross-sectional studies (n = 27).

Table 2 shows details on the main characteristics of the 35 studies, with the design, independent variables, statistical technique, principal results, and limitations listed by authors.

The most widely studied independent variables were demographic (n = 33), diseases and health conditions (n = 30), socioeconomic (n = 30), psycho-behavioral (n = 23), and nutritional (n = 17), and the least studied were lifestyle variables (n = 11). The majority of the studies used logistic regression models (n = 24). All the results presented here were statistically significant.

Demographic and socioeconomic factors and frailty

Of the 35 studies, demographic factors were assessed by 33 and socioeconomic factors by 30. The most frequently assessed demographic variable was age (n = 31) and the most common socioeconomic value was schooling or educational level (n = 27). In general, age, black race/color, and female gender showed a positive association with frailty, while there was an inverse association between frailty and schooling and income.

Diseases, health conditions, and frailty

The principal diseases assessed by the studies were cardiovascular diseases (CVD) (n = 17), diabetes mellitus (n = 17), systemic arterial hypertension (SAH) (n = 14), pulmonary diseases (n = 10), arthritis (n = 11), cancer (n = 8), and stroke (n = 7). Fourteen studies also included comorbidities/diseases as an independent variable. Frailty showed a positive association especially with CVD and number of comorbidities/diseases. As predicted, no disease showed an inverse association with frailty or was considered to have a protective effect.

Sixteen studies investigated functional status, measured mainly by activities of daily living (ADL) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL), and diagnosis of functional incapacity showed a positive association with frailty in nine.

Eight studies analyzed self-rated health and found a positive association between poor self-rated health and frailty.

Psycho-behavioral factors and frailty

Depressive symptoms were assessed in 17 studies, and cognitive function was tested in 15. The instrument most widely used to assess cognitive function was the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) 13, and the elderly that tested highest were considered to have the best cognitive function. An inverse association was found between cognitive function and frailty, while depressive symptoms showed a positive association with the syndrome.

Nutritional status, lifestyle and frailty

The most widely assessed nutritional variable was BMI (n = 13). Lifestyle factors were the least analyzed in the selected articles and included smoking (n = 10), alcohol consumption (n = 6), and physical activity (n = 2). A positive association was found between smoking and frailty in two studies, and an inverse association was observed between alcohol and frailty in three studies. Most of the studies found a positive association between frailty and BMI, and two studies showed that underweight elderly according to BMI had a positive association with frailty.

Limitations listed by the authors of the articles analyzed in the final sample

The limitations most frequently cited by the authors of the selected articles were: cross-sectional design (not allowing causal inferences); adaptations of scales suggested by Fried et al. 2; and self-reporting of data.

Assessment of risk of bias

Table 3 summarizes the assessment of risk of bias in the studies, and Figure 2 shows the graph for each question in the adapted Newcastle-Ottawa Scale 13.

In relation to analysis of the risk of bias, 34 studies collected information on the independent variables using a structured interview, anthropometric measurements, and clinical tests (low risk of bias), and only one failed to clearly describe the method (uncertain risk of bias).

As for participant selection, all were local community-dwelling, non-institutionalized elders (low risk of bias).

More than half of the studies (n = 19) showed changes in three or more of the components in the criterion adopted by Fried et al. 2 (high risk of bias).

Only one article mentioned that the sample was representative of the local population (low risk of bias).

Only one of the longitudinal studies (n = 7) specified that the sample did not present the syndrome at the beginning of the cohort (low risk of bias).
Table 2
Factors associated with frailty in the elderly according to observational studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results</th>
<th>Inverse association with frailty</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Fried et al. 2 (2001) | Longitudinal | Demographic: age, gender, race  
Socioeconomic: schooling, income, living alone  
Diseases and health conditions: number of diseases, comorbidities (CVD, COPD, SAH, diabetes mellitus, arthritis, cancer)  
Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function, depressive symptoms  
Other: ADL, IADL, self-rated health, functional incapacity | Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test | Age  
Female gender, black race, poor self-rated health, living alone, number of diseases (2 or more), CVD, lung diseases, arthritis and diabetes, functional incapacity and depressive symptoms | Income, schooling and cognitive function | Measures used in operationalization of the frailty criterion were limited to those used during the data collection for other study purposes; weight at the beginning of study was self-reported |
| Newman et al. 27 (2001) | Longitudinal | Demographic: age, gender, race  
Socioeconomic: schooling, income  
Diseases and health conditions: CVD  
Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function, depressive symptoms  
Other: ADL, IADL, self-rated health, functional incapacity | Bivariate analysis and multinomial logistic regression | Age, gender and race  
Female gender, black race, CVD | Income, schooling and cognitive function | Use of self-reported measures; few details on fatigue and energy expenditure (Kcal); cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences |

(continues)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Woods et al. 29 (2005)</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>Demographic: age, race Socioeconomic: schooling, income, living alone Diseases and health conditions: comorbidity (CVD, SAH, diabetes mellitus, fractures, COPD, arthritis, stroke) Lifestyle: smoking, alcohol use Nutritional status: BMI Psycho-behavioral: depressive symptoms Other: functional status (ADL)</td>
<td>Bivariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Age, black and Hispanic race, underweight and overweight/obesity (BMI), comorbidities, depressive symptoms, history of fall, functional incapacity, poor self-rated health, smoking</td>
<td>Income, schooling, living alone, alcohol use Lack of information on physical activity and unintentional weight loss; study limited to sample of women, not possible to make inferences for men; low cognitive function may be confounder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirsch et al. 25 (2006)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Demographic: age, gender, race Socioeconomic: schooling, income Diseases and health conditions: CVD, SAH, COPD, diabetes mellitus and arthritis Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function Nutritional status: BMI</td>
<td>Multinomial logistic regression</td>
<td>Gender and obesity</td>
<td>Non-obese blacks</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences; possible selection bias in subject recruitment; introduction of bias by excluding individuals with missing data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article (year)</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Independent variables</td>
<td>Statistical technique</td>
<td>Variables used in adjustment of final model</td>
<td>Principal results Positive association with frailty</td>
<td>Inverse association with frailty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Michelon et al. 17 (2006) | Cross-sectional  | Demographic: age, race, marital status  
Socioeconomic: schooling, income  
Lifestyle: smoking, alcohol use  
Nutritional status: BMI, self-reported weight loss and low appetite  
Other: functional incapacity, self-rated health | Bivariate analysis | -                                           | Age, black race, widowhood, smoking, self-reported weight loss, low appetite, use of dentures, problems with swallowing, poor self-rated health, BMI, functional incapacity | Income, schooling, alcohol use | Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences; non-inclusion of dietary analyses |
| Semba et al. 34 (2006) | Longitudinal     | Demographic: age, race, marital status  
Socioeconomic: schooling, income  
Lifestyle: smoking, alcohol use  
Nutritional status: BMI, self-reported weight loss and low appetite  
Other: functional incapacity, self-rated health | Bivariate analysis | -                                           | Age, CVD, low appetite | BMI, schooling | - |
| Ávila-Funes et al. 16 (2008) | Longitudinal     | Demographic: age, gender, race, marital status  
Socioeconomic: schooling, income, living alone  
Diseases and health conditions: CVD, COPD, diabetes mellitus, SAH, cancer and arthrosis  
Lifestyle: smoking, alcohol use  
Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function and depressive symptoms  
Nutritional status: food intake  
Other: functional incapacity, self-rated health | Bivariate analysis | -                                           | Age, female gender, chronic diseases, functional incapacity, depressive symptoms, poor self-rated health | Schooling, income, cognitive function, alcohol use and smoking | Adaptation of scale from Fried et al. 2 for weight loss and grip strength |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Socioeconomic: schooling, socioeconomic status  
Diseases and health conditions: comorbidities, childhood and adulthood diseases  
Lifestyle: physical activity  
Other: social history | Logistic regression | Social history, comorbidity, gender, childhood diseases, schooling, occupation, marital status, socioeconomic status | Female gender  
Living conditions in childhood | Adaptation of scale from Fried et al. for weight and gait speed; absence of mortality study; recall bias for information on poverty in childhood |
| Chaves et al. 35  (2008) | Cross-sectional  | Demographic: age, race  
Diseases and health conditions: CVD, diabetes mellitus  
Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function, depressive symptoms  
Other: self-rated health | Multivariate logistic regression | -  
Age, depressive symptoms, CHF | - | Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences |
| Endeshaw et al. 40  (2009) | Cross-sectional  | Demographic: age, race  
Diseases and health conditions: SAH, diabetes mellitus, CVD, stroke  
Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function  
Nutritional status: BMI  
Other: functional status (ADL) | Multivariate logistic regression | -  
In men: age, black race, CVD, stroke  
In women: age, obesity | - | Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences |
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results</th>
<th>Inverse association with frailty</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Socioeconomic: schooling, difficulty paying expenses  
Diseases and health conditions: CVD, stroke, arthrosis, SAH, diabetes mellitus, fractures, number of comorbidities  
Nutritional status: BMI  
Other: quality of life | Bivariate analysis | - | Age, chronic diseases, arthritis, overweight (BMI), low quality of life | Married, schooling, overweight (BMI) | Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences; ethnic homogeneity; some variables self-reported |
| Ottenbacher et al. 41  (2009) | Longitudinal | Demographic: age, gender, marital status  
Socioeconomic: financial status, schooling  
Diseases and health conditions: CVD, stroke, arthrosis, cancer, diabetes mellitus  
Lifestyle: smoking  
Nutritional status: weight, height  
Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function and depressive symptoms  
Other: functional status (ADL, IADL) | Multiple linear regression | All, in 3 models | Age, history of smoking, diabetes mellitus, arthritis, BMI, depression, number of comorbidities | Cognitive function | Conditions and comorbidities self-reported; original sample excludes individuals that did not complete the performance tests required to calculate frailty construct. Persons that remained in the study represented the healthier members of the original sample |
| Szanton et al. 36 (2009) | Cross-sectional | Demographic: age, race  
Socioeconomic: schooling  
Diseases and health conditions: number of chronic diseases  
Nutritional status: BMI  
Lifestyle: smoking | Bivariate analysis | - | Age, BMI | Schooling | Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences |

(continues)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. 42</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Demographic: age and health conditions: SAH, diabetes mellitus, CHF, osteoarthritis Psycho-behavioral: depression Nutritional status: BMI, waist/hips ratio</td>
<td>Bivariate analysis and logistic regression</td>
<td>Age, depression, waist/hips ratio (&gt; 95cm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences; sample considered small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcalá et al. 18</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Demographic: age, gender, marital status Socioeconomic: schooling Diseases and health conditions: chronic diseases Other: functional status (ADL, IADL)</td>
<td>Logistic regression</td>
<td>Age, schooling, comorbidities, functional incapacity</td>
<td>Age (&gt; 85 years), comorbidities, functional incapacity</td>
<td>Schooling Advanced age of participants (&gt; 71 years), different socioeconomic characteristics and lack of homogeneity in measurement of frailty criteria may have influenced the observed differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al. 37</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Demographic: age, race Socioeconomic: schooling Diseases and health conditions: CKD, lung disease, CVD, diabetes mellitus, anemia, arthritis, peripheral artery disease, total number of inflammatory diseases Psycho-behavioral: depressive symptoms</td>
<td>Multivariate logistic regression</td>
<td>Age, race, schooling</td>
<td>All diseases, depressive symptoms</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences; study limited to sample of women, cannot make inferences for men; sample with higher percentage of black women than the general population; imprecise estimate of CKD due to the diagnostic method used</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continues)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results</th>
<th>Inverse association with frailty</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Chen et al. 28 (2010) | Cross-sectional | Demographic: age, gender, marital status  
Socioeconomic: schooling  
Diseases and health conditions: CVD, gout, diabetes mellitus, kidney disease, COPD, osteoarthritis, osteoporosis, arthritis, osteoporosis, ulcer, cancer, SAH, cataract, liver and gall bladder disease, number of comorbidities, geriatric syndromes  
Psycho-behavioral: depressive symptoms  
Other: functional incapacity | Bivariate analysis | - | Age, female gender, absence of spouse, functional incapacity, comorbidity for chronic diseases, depression, geriatric syndromes | Schooling | - |
| Hubbard et al. 43 (2010) | Cross-sectional | Nutritional status: BMI, WC | Logistic regression | Age, gender, schooling, wealth/assets, smoking | WC ≥ 88cm in women and ≥ 102cm in men | - | Adaptation of scale from Fried et al. 2 on criterion for weight loss |
| Syddaal et al. 44 (2010) | Longitudinal | Demographic: age, gender  
Socioeconomic: socioeconomic status, schooling, number of cars, own home  
Lifestyle: physical activity, smoking, alcohol use | Multivariate logistic regression | Age, comorbidity, lifestyle, socioeconomic status, car | In men: number of cars, age  
In men: schooling, own home  
In women: without own home | - | Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences; did not assess other socioeconomic variables like income; analysis of information bias: age and behaviors such as smoking and social factors such as home ownership suffer neighborhood influence |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results Positive association with frailty</th>
<th>Inverse association with frailty</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Socioeconomics: schooling, income, number of home, poverty  
Diseases and health conditions: number of chronic diseases  
Lifestyle: smoking | Multinomial logistic regression | Race, schooling, smoking, state of insecurity, number of chronic diseases | Black race | Schooling, income | Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences; only considered white and black races |
| Drey et al. 45 (2011) | Cross-sectional | Demographic: age, gender  
Socioeconomic: schooling  
Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function, depressive symptoms  
Other: quality of life | Bivariate analysis | - | Number of diseases, depressive symptoms | Cognitive function, quality of life | - |
| Giménez et al. 46 (2011) | Cross-sectional | Demographic: age, gender  
Socioeconomic: marital status, schooling, income, living alone  
Nutritional status: not malnourished / malnourished (MNA)  
Diseases and health conditions: comorbidity  
Psychosocial: depressive symptoms  
Other: functional status (ADL, IADL) | Bivariate analysis | - | Female gender, comorbidity, depressive symptoms, functional dependency, malnutrition | - | Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences |

(continues)
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results</th>
<th>Inverse association with frailty</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Garcia et al. 47 (2011)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Demographic: age, gender</td>
<td>Bivariate analysis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Positive association with frailty</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Socioeconomic: conjugal status, mean years of schooling, educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diseases and health conditions: comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(SAH, diabetes mellitus, CVD, COPD, peptic ulcer, fractures, osteoporosis, arthritis, dementia, Parkinson, cancer, osteoporosis, osteoarthritis, kidney, thyroid, and liver diseases, high cholesterol, stroke)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function, depressive symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other: functional status (ADL, IADL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoeck et al. 48 (2011)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Demographic: age, gender</td>
<td>Bivariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression</td>
<td>Age, gender, comorbidity</td>
<td>Comorbidities, Wallonia (Belgium), rented housing</td>
<td>More recent interview year, schooling, family income</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Socioeconomic: socioeconomic status, schooling, income familiar, housing situation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diseases and health conditions: comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other: year of interview</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continues)
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danon-Hersch et al.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Demographic: year of birth, gender Diseases and health conditions: number of chronic diseases (CVD, stroke, diabetes mellitus, SAH, cancer, chronic respiratory disease, arthritis), self-reported disease Other: functional status (ADL, IADL)</td>
<td>Bivariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression</td>
<td>Gender, components of frailty</td>
<td>Number of chronic diseases, diseases self-reported (except hypercholesterolemia)</td>
<td>Since the analysis was from a cohort, losses of information may have occurred; differences in operationalization of the components in criterion from Fried et al. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakey et al.</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>Psycho-behavioral: depressive symptoms</td>
<td>Multinomial logistic regression</td>
<td>Age, income, schooling, race, living alone, BMI, self-rated health, ADL, smoking, alcohol consumption, hormone replacement therapy, SAH, diabetes mellitus, CVD, COPD, hip fracture, falls, arthritis, cancer, stroke, number of comorbidities</td>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>Lack of information on indication for antidepressants, dosage, and treatment adherence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continues)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Bastos-Barbosa et al. 51 (2012) | Cross-sectional | Demographic: age, gender, race  
Socioeconomic: schooling, income, conjugal status, work  
Diseases and health conditions: blood pressure, number of diseases, comorbidities (SAH, dyslipidemia, osteoporosis, osteoarthritis, diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism)  
Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function  
Nutritional status: BMI, waist circumference | Fixed-effects linear models and ANOVA | Age, gender  
Systolic and diastolic pressure, waist circumference | - | Selection of frail and non-frail participants according to specific criteria, which does not allow extrapolating to other elderly; small sample: results cannot be extrapolated to populations with very different living conditions |
| Jürschik et al. 52 (2012) | Cross-sectional | Demographic: age, gender, race  
Socioeconomic: schooling, income, conjugal status, work, living alone  
Diseases and health conditions: number of comorbidities  
Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function, depressive symptoms, quality of life, social relations  
Lifestyle: smoking, alcohol consumption  
Nutritional status: nutritional risk (MNA), BMI, waist circumference  
Other: functional status (ADL, IADL), self-rated health | Bivariate analysis and logistic regression | Age, female gender, conjugal status widowed or single, smoking, alcohol consumption, number of comorbidities, functional incapacity, depressive symptoms, cognitive decline, risk of malnutrition, visual impairment, poor self-rated health | Social interaction, quality of life | Modification of criterion for unintentional weight loss; cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences |

(continues)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results</th>
<th>Inverse association with frailty</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al. 53 (2012)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Demographic: age, gender Socioeconomic: Living alone Diseases and health conditions: blood pressure, number of comorbidities, diseases (SAH, diabetes mellitus, CVD, arthritis, peptic ulcer) Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function, depressive symptoms, health-related quality of life, social relations</td>
<td>Bivariate analysis and multivariate regression analysis</td>
<td>Age, number of comorbidities, living alone, falls in previous year, arthritis, peptic ulcer, depression</td>
<td>Positive association with frailty</td>
<td>Age, number of comorbidities, living alone, arthritis, peptic ulcer, depression</td>
<td>Components of health-related quality of life scale, like physical and mental cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences; study population predominantly urban, which does not allow extrapolating the findings to the rural population; small sample of frail elderly; lack of specific cutoff points for components of the diagnostic criterion for frailty in the study population; low response rate due to exclusion of many comorbidities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continues)
| Article (year) | Study design | Independent variables                                                                 | Statistical technique                  | Variables used in adjustment of final model | Principal results                                      | Methodological limitations according to the authors |
|---------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Neri et al. 55 (2012) | Cross-sectional | Demographic: age, gender; Socioeconomic: family income, family arrangement; Diseases and health conditions: number of chronic diseases (SAH, diabetes mellitus, CVD, cancer, arthritis or rheumatism, ischemia, stroke, depression, osteoporosis); Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function, depressive symptoms; Other: perception of social support, social isolation | Bivariate analysis and univariate and multivariate logistic regression | -                                           | No factors significantly associated with frailty were found | Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences; difficulty in controlling each variable's effect; limitations in design and sample size; unequal number of men and women in sample; lack of information on care |
| Schnittger et al. 56 (2012) | Cross-sectional | Demographic: age, gender; Socioeconomic: educational level, living alone; Diseases and health conditions: age-adjusted comorbidity index; Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function, psychological status; Nutritional status: nutritional risk (MNA), BMI; Other: functional status (ADL, IADL) | Bivariate analysis and Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin test | -                                           | Psychological distress (mood, stress, neuroticism, and emotional loneliness) | Cross-sectional study, does not allow causal inferences; dimensions of psychological distress measured are specific to the study population, and cannot be generalized to other population |

(continues)
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article (year)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Statistical technique</th>
<th>Variables used in adjustment of final model</th>
<th>Principal results</th>
<th>Methodological limitations according to the authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Casale-Martínez et al. 57 (2012)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Socioeconomic: educational level, parents’ educational level, conjugal status, household assets and situation, socioeconomic status, employment benefits, occupational history, friends and family members living in the same neighborhood, financial support Diseases and health conditions: childhood history Psycho-behavioral: ability to make important decisions Other: religion, volunteer work, abuse</td>
<td>Multinomial logistic regression</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Not having companion, not making important decisions, poor economic status, abuse</td>
<td>Employment benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macuco et al. 58 (2012)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Demographic: age, gender Socioeconomic: years of schooling, monthly family income Psycho-behavioral: cognitive function, loneliness, adverse life events Other: functional status (IADL)</td>
<td>Bivariate analysis and univariate and multivariate linear regression</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Cognitive function, years of schooling, monthly family income</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: the variables and results presented here are those related to this study’s objective.
Discussion

The principal socio-demographic, psycho-behavioral, health-related, nutritional, and lifestyle factors positively associated with frailty were: age, female gender, black race/color, cardiovascular diseases, number of comorbidities/diseases, functional incapacity, poor self-rated health, depressive symptoms, BMI, and smoking. Inversely associated factors were schooling, income, cognitive function, and alcohol use.

Although the selected studies had different designs, sample sizes, and locations, they showed homogeneity in the relations between the demographic and socioeconomic variables and frailty. A longitudinal study of 5,317 North Americans over 65 years of age showed that prevalence of frailty was higher in the oldest old, women,
blacks, and low-income individuals. This association was also found in a longitudinal study of French elderly and in cross-sectional studies of both American and Spanish elderly. At more advanced ages there is an increase in prefrail and frail elderly, suggesting that frailty is a progressive condition; the phenomenon occurs more significantly after 80 years of age. One hypothesis for this relationship between increasing age and frailty lies in the cellular oxidative stress that accumulates over the years, modulated by exogenous and endogenous agents that influence the production of reactive oxygen species, leading to DNA damage. Such damage induces alterations at the cellular and systemic levels, with deregulations in the processes of inflammation, apoptosis, necrosis, and proliferation that result in various adverse conditions that increase over the years, such as loss of muscle mass (sarcopenia), diabetes, cancer, and frailty.

The higher prevalence of frailty in women can be explained by the greater physiological muscle mass loss in females during aging, in addition to their being more prone to the development of sarcopenia, an intrinsic risk for developing the frailty syndrome. Other hypotheses included women’s greater longevity and the fact that they show a higher prevalence of chronic illnesses than men.

Race is a strong conditioning factor for health status, since blacks are at a disadvantage in relation to whites. Studies have shown that black race/color is an important indicator of low socioeconomic status and is associated with deficient health and high mortality risk, contributing indirectly and directly to development of the syndrome. Furthermore, some authors believe that race is a marker for genetic polymorphisms that have an influence on the emergence of frailty.

Income and schooling do not act directly in the pathophysiology of frailty, but interfere in the individual’s lifestyle and quality of life and thus in factors that vary with socioeconomic status, including gender and age, which can influence the frailty process.

As for diseases associated with frailty, CVD and the presence of two or more comorbidities are relevant for the occurrence of this syndrome in the elderly. In a cross-sectional study of 1,008 elderly Mexicans, self-reported chronic diseases such as CVD, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and arthritis were associated with frailty. A cross-sectional and longitudinal study in North Americans, but with diagnosis by clinical examination, showed an equivalent association. Some researchers contend that CVD and some comorbidities are related to atherosclerosis, a chronic inflammatory state that can result in systemic catabolism and other pathophysiological changes, which can contribute to the clinical manifestations of frailty.
A direct association was also observed between functional incapacity and frailty. Recent cross-sectional studies in both Chinese and Spanish subjects showed that a major portion of the frail elderly show functional incapacity. A longitudinal study in a robust sample of 5,317 elderly also showed this relationship. The authors contend that frailty can be a precursor of functional incapacity. However, one cannot overlook the possibility of reverse causality between functional capacity and frailty.

In the area of psycho-behavioral variables, decreased cognitive function and the presence of depressive symptoms have been related to frailty. Studies with different samples, (American, Mexican, and French elderly) showed increased prevalence of frailty in elders who differ from different questionnaires with scales for depression or cognitive function and that presented depressive symptoms or cognitive impairment according to the tests. Elderly with cognitive impairment probably experience greater difficulty in eating, exercising, and walking, which can lead to weight loss and decreased motor function and favor the syndrome's onset and progression. As for depressive symptoms, the literature shows that the relationship to frailty is biologically plausible, since depressed persons normally present weight loss, limited activity, and isolation, thus predisposing to progressive loss of muscle mass and strength, conditions that accelerate the establishment of the syndrome.

As for nutritional and lifestyle variables, underweight elderly according to BMI and those with a higher proportion of overweight according to BMI showed a higher prevalence of frailty. In a study of elderly Mexicans, Masel et al. found that underweight was related to frailty. However, although Woods et al. found the same association, they showed that frailty could also be associated with overweight and obesity. The association between frailty and underweight may be related to the common loss of muscle mass in individuals with unintentional weight loss. Meanwhile, the relationship between frailty and overweight and obesity may be due to the fact that excess weight can be associated with activation of inflammatory processes, which trigger systemic alterations, which in turn can influence the onset of frailty. Still, other authors speculate that weight extremes in the elderly are related to loss of muscle mass in arms and legs, and that the phenomenon of “sarcopenic obesity”, referring to weight gain concurrent with loss of muscle mass, leads to difficulty in mobility, reduced strength, and thus physical inactivity, one of the elements in the frailty cycle.
results to those of other studies. Meanwhile, the instruments proposed to assess some component, as for example the questionnaire proposed by Fried et al. 2 to estimate low level of physical activity, may contain items that do not agree with the study’s local reality, which would probably lead the authors to adapt the questionnaire to obtain a more adequate and true response. Furthermore, other authors adopted other criteria and validated such changes in relation to the proposal by Fried et al. 2, while still others did not conduct a validation process or failed to report it. Thus, common sense is recommended when analyzing articles for comparison with data from other authors.

In addition, some studies drawing on the same cohort 17,33,34,35,36,37,38 used different descriptions of the instruments used to measure the component of the criterion used by Fried et al. 2, which raises doubts in their analysis.

This review presents some limitations. First, by limiting the languages of the publications to English, Portuguese, or Spanish and the databases for the article search, some relevant study may have been left out. The second relates to the limiting the diagnostic criterion for frailty according to Fried et al. 2. The scientific literature provides different instruments with various markers, which are being tested in international studies. Thus, some outstanding studies may have been lost. Another limitation relates to restricting the presentation of results to those with statistical significance. This decision was due to the fact that one cannot reach conclusions on associations that are not statistically significant, and due to the number and scope of the target variables.

Final remarks

The worldwide increase in prevalence of frailty among the elderly raises challenges for all countries. Knowledge of the factors associated with the syndrome and the complexity of its determinants helps formulate measures for prevention and early intervention, thus fostering aging with better quality of life and greater dignity. Although the studies and their comparison present limitations, this review highlights a series of socio-demographic, psycho-behavioral, health-related, and nutritional factors that assist the identification of more vulnerable groups and that are amenable to intervention.

Importantly, although demographic determinants showed a relationship to frailty in the elderly, some determinants are not subject to changes and interventions. For example, it is impossible to alter age or gender, but they should be considered anyway, since various health conditions increase with age and occur differently between men and women.

Thus, planning of individual and collective health measures for the elderly should consider the factors identified here as related to the frailty syndrome, such as: age, black race/color, female gender, CVD, number of comorbidities/diseases, functional incapacity, poor self-rated health, depressive symptoms, BMI, smoking, schooling, income, cognitive function, and alcohol consumption (the latter with caution). It is also important to investigate other factors not explored in this review, besides conducting meta-analyses aimed at a critical assessment of the evidence and a discussion of the possible heterogeneity of results, in addition to an analysis of the strength of available evidence on the association found between a given variable and frailty in order to better understand how the way of living can interfere in the way of aging and favor the establishment of this syndrome.
Resumen

La fragilidad es un síndrome que causa daño en la vida práctica de ancianos, ya que está relacionada con un mayor riesgo de dependencia, caídas, hospitalización, institucionalización y muerte. El objetivo de esta revisión sistemática fue identificar factores sociodemográficos, psicoconductuales, de condiciones de salud, nutrición y estilo de vida asociados a fragilidad en ancianos. Se detectaron 4.183 estudios publicados entre 2001 y 2013 en las bases bibliográficas y se seleccionaron 182 artículos completos. Después de la lectura y aplicación de los criterios de selección, quedaron 35 artículos elegibles para análisis. Los principales factores asociados fueron edad, sexo femenino, raza negra, educación, ingresos, enfermedad cardiovascular, número de comorbididades/enfermedad, incapacidad funcional, autopercepción de mala salud, síntomas depresivos, función cognitiva, índice de masa corporal, tabaquismo y consumo de alcohol. El conocimiento de la complejidad de los determinantes de fragilidad ayuda en la formulación de medidas preventivas e intervención temprana, asegurando mejor calidad de vida.
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