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ABSTRACT
INTRODUCTION Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, traditionally performed in Cuba in specialized hospitals, was decentralized to the primary health care level in 2004 to make it more patient-accessible.

OBJECTIVES Describe frequency and distribution of the principal symptomatic diseases of the upper gastrointestinal tract and their relation to the main risk factors associated with each in a sample of urban adults who underwent upper gastrointestinal endoscopy in primary care facilities in Havana in selected months of 2007.

METHODS A multicenter cross-sectional study was conducted, including 3556 patients seen in the primary health care network of Havana from May through November 2007. The endoscopies were performed at the 22 polyclinics (community health centers) providing this service. Diagnostic quality and accuracy were assessed by experienced gastroenterologists using a validated tool. Patients responded to a questionnaire with clinical, epidemiologic, and sociodemographic variables. Univariate and multivariate analyses (unconditional logistical regression) were used to identify associated risk factors. The significance level was set at p < 0.05 (or confidence interval excluding 1.0).

RESULTS The diagnoses were: gastritis (91.6%), duodenitis (57.8%), hiatal hernia (46.5%), esophagitis (25.2%), duodenal ulcer (15.8%), gastric ulcer (6.2%) and malignant-appearing lesions (0.4%). Overall prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection was 58.4%. The main risk factors for duodenal ulcer were H. pylori infection (OR 2.70, CI 2.17–3.36) and smoking (OR 2.08, CI 1.68–2.58); and for gastric ulcer, H. pylori (OR 1.58, CI 1.17–2.15) and age ≥60 years (OR 1.78, CI 1.28–2.47). H. pylori infection was the main risk factor for gastritis (OR 2.29, CI 1.79–2.95) and duodenitis (OR 1.58, CI 1.38–1.82); and age ≥40 years for hiatal hernia (OR 1.57, CI 1.33–1.84). External evaluation was “very good” or “good” for 99.3% of endoscopic procedures and 97.9% of reports issued.

CONCLUSIONS Gastrointestinal endoscopy performed in primary care yielded high quality results and important information about prevalence of the most common diseases of the upper GI tract and associated risk factors. This study provides a reference for new research and can inform objective recommendations for community-based interventions to prevent and control these diseases. The existence of a network of universally accessible diagnostic endoscopy services at the primary care level, will contribute to conducting further research.
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INTRODUCTION
In Cuba and most countries, prevalence studies of upper gastrointestinal (GI) tract diseases in the general population are virtually nonexistent; reports generally refer to frequency of these diseases in case series on endoscopies and hospital admissions, which are not representative of what actually occurs in the general population. In such studies, the diseases most commonly found are gastritis, peptic ulcer (both duodenal and gastric), hiatal hernia and gastric cancer.[1–5]

Availability of endoscopy at a primary care facility provides greater population access and thus enables earlier diagnosis of digestive tract diseases.[6] The international literature contains some evidence regarding endoscopic diagnosis performed at the primary care level—for example, in the United States and the United Kingdom, where the majority of procedures were done at the initiative of physicians in the public sector, but not as part of an integrated population-wide program.[7–10] In this respect, the Cuban experience appears to be unique.

In the early years of the 21st century, critical changes in the health sector have been introduced at all levels in Cuba. Of particular interest are changes in primary health care (PHC), where new technologies have been introduced to make diagnostic procedures more accessible, among them upper GI endoscopy.[6]

In 2004 the decision was made to offer upper GI endoscopy for diagnostic purposes in community-based polyclinics throughout Havana, to be extended later to those in the rest of the country. However, there were not enough endoscopists to materialize this idea: at the time, there were some 200 gastroenterologist–endoscopists, only enough for performing the procedure in hospital. As a solution, family physicians were trained as endoscopists, creating a network of endoscopy services in PHC (Nodarse PO. Personal communication).

This study’s objective is to describe the prevalence of the main upper GI tract diseases and their principal risk factors in patients seen in primary care facilities in Havana.

METHODS
Study design A multicenter cross-sectional study was conducted that included 3556 patients undergoing upper GI endoscopy in 22 endoscopy services at polyclinics in the 15 municipalities of Havana City province from May through November 2007. The National Gastroenterology Institute (IGE, its Spanish acronym) served as the study’s coordinating center.

In the preparatory stage, training workshops were held on research methods and procedures to standardize diagnostic criteria and procedures. Participants included endoscopists, interviewers and senior management from each of the polyclinics involved.

The minimum sample size necessary for a simple proportion was calculated using the EpiTable calculator from Epilnfo 6.1, yielding a requirement for 3082 persons undergoing upper GI endoscopy for the first time that year. Calculations assumed an estimated 10,000 endoscopies per year in Havana’s PHC facilities, approximately 8000 of which would be performed on patients for the first
time that year; a 5% prevalence of the least frequent disease; a 
2% design effect; desired precision of 1.5%; and a 95% con-

dence level (CI).

Participant selection began in all settings on the same randomly-
chosen date in May 2007, and patients were consecutively added 
to the study over the next six months. The target number for each 
participating unit was 140.

Inclusion criteria Participants aged ≥18 years who had not previ-
ously undergone upper GI endoscopy that year.

Exclusion criteria Prior endoscopy that year; being monitored 
endoscopically or with conditions that could result in emergency 
endoscopies (e.g., gastroenterologic hemorrhage and ingestion 
of caustic substances or foreign bodies).

Study variables Dependent variables were clinical diagnoses of 
esophagitis, hiatal hernia, gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer, gastritis, 
duodenitis, and malignant-appearing lesion. Independent vari-
dables are listed in Table 1. Height and weight were measured for 
calculation of body mass index (BMI).

Endoscopy The procedure was performed with Olympus (Japan) 
fiberoptic endoscopes, model GIF-E. Patient preparation con-
sisted of a 6-hour fast prior to endoscopy. A local pharyngeal 
anesthetic was administered (2% xylocaaine spray) prior to the 
procedure. The patient was placed in the left lateral decubitus 
position and a mouth guard inserted. The endoscopist passed the 
extreme distal end of the endoscope through the mouth guard, 
observing through the eyepiece or monitor its passage over the 
tongue, then the epiglottis and vocal cords, the interior of the 
esophagus, continuing in this manner until reaching the second 
segment of the duodenum. On reaching this point, the endoscope 
was slowly retracted, the endoscopist examining the entire trajec-
tory through which the instrument had advanced.[11]

Helicobacter pylori infection To assess prevalence of H. pylori 
infection (overall and specific by age and disease), a mucus speci-
men was taken from the gastric antrum at the incisura angularis 
of every participant, regardless of endoscopic indication or diagnosis. 
H. pylori was identified with a urease test using Urepyl (a reagent 
produced and standardized at the research laboratory of Cuba’s 
IGE and distributed to all endoscopy services in the country).[12,13]

Quality Endoscopists’ competence was evaluated with a vali-
dated questionnaire covering technical quality of the endoscopy 
and diagnostic accuracy.[14] Assessment was by an external 
committee of evaluators assembled for this purpose, compris-
ing 10 professors of gastroenterology with recognized expertise, 
who evaluated in situ at all of the polyclinics a simple random 
Sample of 280 patients, or 8% of study participants. A five-point 
scale—very good, good, fair, poor, very poor—was used to classi-
fy endoscopists’ work on six dimensions considered fundamental 
in upper GI endoscopy: (1) appropriateness of the indication; (2) 
time between patient arrival and procedure; (3) procedure expla-
nation given the patient by the attending physician; (4) technical 
Quality of the endoscopy; (5) quality of diagnostic report; and (6) 
equipment cleaning and disinfection.

Information gathering General information about the partici-
pants, their clinical and epidemiologic data, information on expo-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years)</td>
<td>&lt;20, 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79, ≥80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male, female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin color</td>
<td>Black, mestizo, white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>Single, With a partner, Married, Divorced, Widowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education completed</td>
<td>Illiterate, Primary school, Middle school, Secondary (high) school, University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>Professional, Technical, Laborer, Student, Homemaker, Retiree, Military, Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (BMI in kg/m²)</td>
<td>Overweight or obese ≥25, Not obese &lt;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of disease of segment studied</td>
<td>Diagnosis in a first-degree relative of: Gastrointestinal cancer, Ulcer, Gastritis, Hiatal hernia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors</td>
<td>Self-reported: Current smoking, Current alcohol use, Coffee &gt;2 cups a day, ASA, current use, Other NSAIDs, current use, Steroids, current use, H. pylori</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial factors</td>
<td>Self-report in the previous six months of: Death of a close relative, Problems with spouse or partner, Legal charges, Job change, Loneliness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASA: Acetylsalicylic acid
BMI: Body Mass Index
NSAIDs: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

sure to risk factors and endoscopy results were recorded on 
standardized forms, which were sent to IGE for processing and 
analysis once completed in the polyclinics.

Data analysis Information from the forms was entered in a data-
base using Epilinfo 6.04 and statistical analysis performed using 
the same software and its EPI 2000 version for Windows. Preval-
ences of dependent and independent variables in the study 
population were calculated as percentages. Analysis of potential 
sociodemographic, hereditary and psychosocial predictors, as
well as others such as *H. pylori* infection and use of medications, and their association with positive endoscopic diagnoses, was performed using univariate statistical techniques (chi-square test and Fisher’s exact tests); and resulting independent variables associated (p < 0.05) were included in a model for multivariate analysis (unconditional logistic regression). The measure of association strength was the odds ratio (OR), with significance level set at p < 0.05 (or 95% confidence interval excluding 1.0).

**Ethical considerations** All patients provided written informed consent for participation. The study was approved by the IGE Research Ethics Committee.

**RESULTS**

The study sample used was 115% of the minimum necessary for estimates of the desired precision and confidence, representing approximately 50% of all people undergoing upper GI endoscopy for the first time in 2007 in Havana polyclinics. Seventeen polyclinics surpassed their sample goal and the remaining five achieved a sample greater than 80% of target.

Of the 3556 participants, 63% (2239) were women and 37% (1317) men; 72% (2560) were of working age (18–59 years) (Table 2). Of the total, 55.6% (1976) had completed secondary school; 59% (1871) had no schooling; 55% (1976) were white; and 24.4% (867) were laborers.

In the study population, 31.5% smoked; 28.5% drank alcohol; 58.7% drank more than two cups of coffee a day; 2.6% took aspirin, 10% other nonsteroid anti-inflammatories (NSAIDs), and 1.2% steroids. Some 30.1% reported having experienced gastritis at some point in their life, 18.3% peptic ulcers, and 3.1% esophagitis; while 24.3% had a first-degree family history of gastritis, 22.4% peptic ulcers, 10.5% hiatal hernias and 7.9% GI cancers.

Slightly over half (57.1%) complained of being "stressed," and 21.8% said that they had experienced important life changes in the previous six months. Specifically, 19.9% said they felt lonely; 13.9% had recently lost a close relative; 12.2% were having problems with spouses or partners; 11.1% had recently switched jobs; and 1% had recently faced legal charges.

Most commonly reported symptoms were epigastric pain, 71.4% (2540); acid indigestion, 56.8% (2019); dyspepsia—assorted symptoms, problems, or discomfort related to the upper GI tract—43.7% (1555); heartburn, 24.2% (859); abdominal pain, 17.1% (608); and reflux, 14.1% (502). A triad of symptoms consisting of epigastralgia, acid indigestion and dyspepsia (nonspecific symptoms or a sensation of discomfort) was observed quite frequently.

The urease test for detecting *H. pylori* infection was performed on specimens from all patients; analysis was based on 3444 satisfactory specimens for which there were complete data, 96.9% of total; of these, 2011 (58.4%) were positive. *H. pylori* positivity was significantly more frequent (p < 0.001) in men (62%) than in women (56.2%), and prevalence increased in the fourth to sixth decades of life, declining slightly in those aged ≥70 years (Figure 1). Prevalences of *H. pylori* infection by diagnosis were: duodenal ulcer, 77.7%; gastric ulcer, 69.4%; duodenitis, 63%; gastritis, 60.1%; and esophagitis, 55.2%.

**Table 2: Age and sex distribution of patients receiving endoscopy in primary care in Havana polyclinics, May–November, 2007 (n=3556)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥80</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age unknown</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Women 63% of total; Men 37%; Ratio F/M = 1.7
Average age: W 48.3 years; M 47.4 years

**Frequency and distribution of upper GI diseases and associated risk factors**

The most frequent diagnoses were: gastritis (91.6%), duodenitis (57.8%) and hiatal hernia (46.5%) (Table 3). In general, duodenitis, duodenal ulcer and gastric ulcer were significantly more frequent in men (p < 0.001), while esophagitis (p = 0.03) and hiatal hernia (p = 0.02) were more frequent in women. Prevalence of gastritis was similar in both sexes. Table 3 displays the total number of diagnoses for each condition in men and women, average age, the population subgroups with higher prevalence and the frequency of associations among the different diagnoses.

**Esophagitis** Some 73.3% of the cases were considered reflux esophagitis; 1.9% were classified as monilial and in 24.8%, no probable etiology was specified. None of the variables included in the model behaved as a predictor for esophagitis, and only coffee drinking turned out to be a protective factor (Table 4).

**Hiatal hernia** Family history was reported by 13.9%. Risk of hiatal hernia almost doubled in the presence of family history (OR 1.96, CI 1.56–2.45). This effect disappeared in multivariate analysis.
Table 3: Common upper GI tract conditions in patients receiving endoscopy in Havana polyclinics, May–November, 2007 (n=3556)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Subgroups with highest prevalence (%)</th>
<th>GI comorbidities (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Extreme values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagitis</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiatal hernia</td>
<td>1653</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastritis</td>
<td>3256</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>77.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric ulcer</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenal ulcer</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenitis</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excluding malignant-appearing lesions; N Total= 3556; Women 2239; Men 1317

1. Occupation: Students vs. other occupations for esophagitis (p < 0.001); Military vs. other for duodenal ulcer (p < 0.001)

2. Marital status: Single and divorced people vs. married people and people living with a partner for esophagitis (p = 0.017)

3. Education completed: People with a university education vs. people with lower levels of education for esophagitis (p < 0.001) and people with primary level for duodenitis (p < 0.001)

4. Coffee: as a protective factor for hiatal hernia (p < 0.001); gastritis (p = 0.023)

5. Sex: Women vs. men for esophagitis (p = 0.03) and hiatal hernia (p = 0.017)

6. Family history: Duodenal ulcer and hiatal hernia (p < 0.001)

7. Smoking: Gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer and duodenitis (p < 0.001)

8. Alcohol use: Duodenal ulcer (p = 0.007)

Overweight–obesity (BMI > 24.99) did not behave as a predictor of hiatal hernia (p > 0.05); univariate analysis found discrete positive associations with H. pylori infection (OR 1.24, CI 1.08–1.42) and coffee drinking (OR 1.42, CI 1.24–1.63). Multivariate analysis reaffirmed H. pylori infection as an independent predictor, as well as age ≥40 years, female and white skin color (Table 4).

Gastritis. Some 63.8% of the gastritis cases were classified as erythematous, 18.2% as erosive and 14.7% as reflux; type was not reported in the remaining 3.3%. Regarding location, 55.5% of diagnoses were limited exclusively to the gastric antrum, 5.4% to the corpus and 33.4% affected the entire stomach; for 5.7% there was no indication.

In those with gastritis, 59.3% were coffee drinkers and 33.1% smokers; 28.9% drank alcohol; 10.3% took NSAIDs with certain regularity, 2.7%, aspirin and 1.3%, steroids; and 60.1% of all tests were positive for H. pylori.

Univariate analysis showed that H. pylori infection was a significant risk factor for gastritis (OR 2.28, CI 1.77–2.94) and there was a slight association with coffee drinking (OR 1.33, CI 1.04–1.69). Using multivariate analysis to study all these independent variables yielded similar results for H. pylori, and over age 40 appeared as another associated risk factor; however, coffee drinking disappeared as a potential predictor of gastritis (Table 4).

Univariate analysis showed that H. pylori infection (OR 1.58, CI 1.38–1.82) and smoking (OR 1.46, CI 1.17–1.56) behaved as risk factors, and this was confirmed in multivariate analysis. Moreover, being male and aged ≥60 years also had an effect. Alcohol consumption behaved as a discrete protective factor (Table 4).

Duodenitis. Exposure to potential predictive or risk factors was as follows: 59.1% drank coffee; 35.6% smoked, and 28.7% drank alcohol; 10% regularly took NSAIDs, 2.9% aspirin and 1.1% steroids; and 63.1% tested positive for H. pylori.

Gastric ulcer. The triad of symptoms most commonly associated with diagnosis of duodenal ulcer was epigastric pain (77.9%), acid indigestion (64.4%) and dyspepsia (40.4%); however, other symptoms were also present to a lesser extent, among them heartburn (26.7%), nonspecific abdominal pain (21.5%) and reflux (13.2%).

This diagnosis was accompanied by gastritis in 90% of cases, duodenitis in 77.4%, hiatal hernia in 46.4% and esophagitis in 20% (Table 3).
Potential risk factors considered predictive of duodenal ulcer were as follows: 59.3% of patients were coffee drinkers and 47.9% smokers; 35.1% drank alcohol; 8.7% regularly took NSAIDs; 3% took aspirin and 1.1% steroids. Among the psychosocial factors involved in the etiology of peptic ulcers (especially ulcers in the duodenum), most acting as co-factors or triggers of their clinical manifestation, it was found that of the 562 patients with duodenal ulcer, 56.5% indicated that they were under “considerable” stress, 22.4% indicated that they had experienced major life changes in the six months prior to the endoscopy, 15.1% had recently lost a close relative, 13.4% were having problems with their spouse or partner and 1.3% said they had recently faced legal charges. Despite the relatively high frequency of some of these variables, statistical analysis did not show a significant association between diagnosis of duodenal ulcer and any of them.

Potential factors considered predictive of duodenal ulcer were as follows: 59.3% of patients were coffee drinkers and 47.9% smokers; 35.1% drank alcohol; 8.7% regularly took NSAIDs; 3% took aspirin and 1.1% steroids. Among the psychosocial factors involved in the etiology of peptic ulcers (especially ulcers in the duodenum), most acting as co-factors or triggers of their clinical manifestation, it was found that of the 562 patients with duodenal ulcer, 56.5% indicated that they were under “considerable” stress, 22.4% indicated that they had experienced major life changes in the six months prior to the endoscopy, 15.1% had recently lost a close relative, 13.4% were having problems with their spouse or partner and 1.3% said they had recently faced legal charges. Despite the relatively high frequency of some of these variables, statistical analysis did not show a significant association between diagnosis of duodenal ulcer and any of them.

Potential factors considered predictive of duodenal ulcer were as follows: 59.3% of patients were coffee drinkers and 47.9% smokers; 35.1% drank alcohol; 8.7% regularly took NSAIDs; 3% took aspirin and 1.1% steroids. Among the psychosocial factors involved in the etiology of peptic ulcers (especially ulcers in the duodenum), most acting as co-factors or triggers of their clinical manifestation, it was found that of the 562 patients with duodenal ulcer, 56.5% indicated that they were under “considerable” stress, 22.4% indicated that they had experienced major life changes in the six months prior to the endoscopy, 15.1% had recently lost a close relative, 13.4% were having problems with their spouse or partner and 1.3% said they had recently faced legal charges. Despite the relatively high frequency of some of these variables, statistical analysis did not show a significant association between diagnosis of duodenal ulcer and any of them.

Family history of peptic ulcer was present in 29.5% of patients with duodenal ulcer and behaved as a potential predictor (OR 1.57, CI 1.28–1.93), but when persons with duodenal ulcers positive for H. pylori were disaggregated from those negative for this agent, family history of peptic ulcer remained predictive for H. pylori-positive (OR 1.52, CI 1.19–1.95), but not H. pylori-negative cases (OR 1.51, CI 0.97–2.33).

In univariate analysis, the strongest associations with duodenal ulcer were for H. pylori (OR 2.76, CI 2.23–3.44) and smoking (OR 2.14, CI 1.77–2.58) and, to a lesser extent, alcohol consumption (OR 1.44, CI 1.18–1.75). Multivariate analysis using a model including these variables reaffirmed H. pylori infection as the most important predictor of duodenal ulcer (OR 2.70, CI 2.17–3.36). Alcohol no longer behaved as a risk factor, and coffee appeared to have a slight protective effect (Table 4).

Gastric ulcer. Symptoms most often mentioned were epigastric pain (81.8%), acid indigestion (57.3%) and dyspepsia (42.3%). Fifty percent of patients reported epigastric pain and acid indigestion combined; and the epigastric pain–acid indigestion–dyspepsia symptom triad was present in 25% of patients.

Antral location (81.4%), round shape (56.6%) and a single lesion were the descriptions most often reported. Some 69.4% were superficial, 56.1% were <1 cm in any dimension, 76.4% were classified as “active ulcers,” and only four cases (1.8%) were described as “appearing malignant.”

Exposure to potential factors predictive of gastric ulcer behaved as follows: 67.7% were coffee drinkers; 41.8% were smokers; 30.9% drank alcohol; 10.9% regularly took NSAIDs, 3.6% aspirin, and 0.5% steroids; and 69.4% were positive for H. pylori.

Of the 220 with gastric ulcer, 53.2% stated that they were under stress; 17.9% said they had experienced major life changes in the six months prior to endoscopy; 14.2% had recently lost a close relative; 11.5% were having problems with their spouse or partner and 1.4% indicated they had recently faced legal charges. Moreover, one in five patients with gastric ulcers (19.3%) said they felt lonely all the time and 8.7% indicated they no longer had anyone to provide emotional support in highly stressful situations. None of these variables showed a significant association with the diagnosis of gastric ulcer.

A history of peptic ulcer in a first-degree relative was present in 22.7% of persons with gastric ulcer, but estimating an increased risk for the subgroup of persons with a family history was not possible (OR 1.02, CI 0.73–1.43).

Through univariate analysis the following were identified as independent variables for gastric ulcer: H. pylori infection (OR 1.66, CI 1.22–2.28), smoking (OR 1.51, CI 1.13–2.01) and coffee drinking (OR 1.51, CI 1.12–2.05), while multivariate analysis showed H. pylori infection and smoking retained their effect, and age and male sex became important (Table 4).

Cancer and malignant-appearing lesions in the upper GI tract. Since positive diagnosis of malignant lesions is histological, this section includes only endoscopic suspicions of cancer and malignant-appearing lesions.

A total of three patients (0.1%) received a presumptive diagnosis of esophageal cancer, eight (0.2%) of stomach cancer and four (0.1%) of malignant-appearing gastric ulcers—in all, 15 persons with an endoscopic diagnosis of “malignant-appearing lesion,” for the first time in 2007 (multivariate analysis, unconditional logistic regression)
a prevalence of 0.4%; that is, one presumptively malignant lesion was found per 237 endoscopies.

The three cases identified as presumptive esophageal cancer were men aged 41, 58, and 80; among the presumptive cases of stomach cancer, four were men and four women with ages ranging from 42 to 75. Of the latter, two were diagnosed in the early stage and six in advanced stages. The risk for GI cancer was estimated as three times higher for men than for women (OR 3.42, CI 1.06–12.8).

**DISCUSSION**

The decision of the Ministry of Public Health to provide GI endoscopy services in the primary health care network in Cuba made this study possible, and with it, acquisition of information on the frequency of and risk factors associated with the most common diseases of the digestive tract in the health services level most accessible to patients. This resulted in indicators that were closer to their real distribution in the population, in comparison with those obtained until now in hospitals, where a more narrowly-defined subset of patients is seen, often those more seriously ill.

In this regard, our literature review yielded only a few studies from the United States and the United Kingdom on GI endoscopies performed by physicians at the primary care level and on nurses working at that level; however, none of them described results on the frequency and distribution of the principal diseases of the upper GI tract.[7–9] The unique characteristics of Cuba’s primary health care subsystem made it possible to obtain this information more readily and precisely[15] and to provide rates for a specific geographically-defined population.

Studies in the United States[7,16] conclude that it is probable that endoscopies performed at the primary care level do not always comply with the national technical recommendations; thus, the number of inappropriate indications tends to be higher in comparison with that of specialized health services. These studies conclude that allowing primary care physicians to perform endoscopies should be reevaluated. In contrast, some studies in the United Kingdom conclude that there are no significant differences in results of upper GI endoscopies done at the primary care level, even when performed by nurses instead of physicians.[8,17]

In Cuba, PHC endoscopy services are coordinated by and closely connected with hospital gastroenterology services where upper GI endoscopy is not only diagnostic, but prognostic and therapeutic. Thus, specialist competition with PHC services is not a factor in patient care, since the two are complementary.[18]

The relative frequencies of diagnosis of the principal diseases of the upper GI tract obtained in primary care were the ones expected when compared with a series of consecutive endoscopies performed at IGE in 2007. The frequency of diagnosis in a series of 1575 patients seen consecutively in IGE between September 2007 and May 2008 showed that gastritis was also the most frequent (82%), followed by hiatal hernia (31.6%), duodenitis (31.1%), duodenal ulcer (9.7%), esophagitis (9.4%), gastric ulcer (3.4%) and malignant lesions (0.9%).[18] In general, there is a higher prevalence of inflammation and benign ulcers in patients seen in primary care, while malignant-appearing lesions are seen almost twice as often in IGE, consistent with the types of patients that should be seen in primary care and tertiary care levels.

The prevalence of *H. pylori* infection varies with population socioeconomic status, with lower-income countries exhibiting higher rates than higher-income countries.[1] Infection is very high in Africa, Asia, and many parts of Central and South America (≥90%), while it is relatively low in northern and eastern Europe, North America, and Australia (<50%).[1] This infection is contracted early in life; over 50% of children worldwide are infected by the age of 10.[19–22] and, once again, the most important risk factor for contracting *H. pylori* infection appears to be the low socioeconomic status of the child’s family.[23]

Studies by Gutiérrez et al. on *H. pylori* prevalence in Cuba in the normal population and dyspeptic patients in Havana, using a set of serologic diagnostic reagents for the detection of *H. pylori* IgG antibodies, show prevalences of infection ranging from 75% to 100%, although data were obtained from relatively small population samples. The prevalence values for *H. pylori* infection found in each of the diseases in this study are within usual range observed with the urease test; values may vary with the location of the mucosa, the number of specimens taken and the waiting time for observing the change in color.[1,2]

Our study presents the first epidemiologic data on diseases of the upper GI tract for a geographically-defined population in Cuba. Gastritis, the diagnosis most frequently encountered in PHC in Havana, is also the most common diagnosis in the majority of upper GI endoscopy series published in the literature. In a study that included patients from four countries on three continents (Japan, China, Tanzania, and the Dominican Republic), Aoki et al. reported gastritis prevalence rates ranging from 23.5% to 96.5%.[22]

The prevalence of esophagitis found in our study is quite similar to that reported by Du in China.[23] who found a rate of 20.8% among 2231 patients. This in turn was higher than the 15.8% also reported in China by Tseng[24] and the 12% reported by Kim in a major study conducted in South Korea with 25,536 subjects[25] and by Chen in Taiwan (12%).[26]

A Swedish endoscopy study of peptic ulcer[27] in a random sample of 1001 persons from two communities found a relatively low prevalence (4.1%). However, other non–population-level studies involving series of patients spontaneously presenting in hospitals found higher prevalences: 7% in Finland,[28] 8.3% in Peru,[4] 11.5% in Kuwait,[29] 15% in the United States (Alaska),[30] 17.2% in China[31] and 31.8% in Poland.[32] The prevalence found in Havana is within the range of reported values.

Risk factors associated with diseases of the upper GI tract in this study generally coincide with those reported by other authors. A selection of published articles on studies in Europe, Asia, and the Americas shows that *H. pylori* infection is the main predictor of peptic ulcer disease,[4,25,31–34] followed by smoking,[31,32,35–37] although some authors do not consider the latter an independent predictor but rather a synergistic co-factor with *H. pylori* infection.[33,35] Other risk factors associated with peptic ulcer found in the literature are: male sex,[23,36] advanced age,[23,28] prior history of ulcer,[21] use of aspirin or other NSAIDs,[28,32,36,37] and being divorced.[23] Alcohol consumption is reported as a risk factor in some studies[23] and as a protective factor in others.[36] Moderate
physical exercise, a variable not included in our study, has been cited as an independent protective factor against peptic ulcer.[31]

Drugs such as aspirin and other NSAIDs are considered predictors of significant risk for gastric ulcer,[31,32] but this study was not able to show that association.

Without a doubt, _H. pylori_ infection is the main predictor of gastritis,[21,34] just as hiatal hernia, male sex, smoking, drinking alcohol, and overweight—obesity are for esophagitis.[26]

Limitations of this study include use of a diagnostic test for _H. pylori_ that, while highly sensitive and specific with positive predictive values of >90% when compared with histological diagnosis, has not been validated against another similar, commercially available test; thus, the existence of a certain degree of underreporting of _H. pylori_ prevalence cannot be ruled out. Another limitation is that pathology confirmation was not obtained for some diagnoses, especially those related to malignant—appearing lesions. The failure to show the use of aspirin or other NSAIDs as an important factor for development of gastric ulcers may be associated with the low sensitivity of the questionnaire employed in terms of how questions about their use were formulated, and perhaps greater use of buffered varieties of these types of drugs in our environment in recent years.

CONCLUSIONS

This study’s greatest merit is that it contributes information on the frequency of a group of diseases whose prevalence is virtually unknown in Cuba and most countries. Its implementation in a sample population residing in a well-defined territory with good and similar guarantees of access to diagnostic services and prompt data acquisition offer greater guarantees of accuracy and precision of epidemiologic results, compared to traditional studies published in the international literature that focus on the population seeking care at hospitals.

For the first time in Cuba, important population-based data were obtained on frequency of the most common diseases of the upper GI tract and their main risk factors. This information, together with a network of patient-accessible diagnostic endoscopy services at the primary care level, lays the foundation for future studies and facilitates objective recommendations on community-based interventions for prevention and control of these diseases.
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