Knowledge about mammography and associated factors: population surveys with female adults and elderly

Conhecimento sobre mamografia e fatores associados: inquéritos de base populacional com mulheres adultas e idosas

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to describe the knowledge about mammography and to identify associated factors in female adults and elderly. Data were obtained from two population surveys, one with female adults and another with elderly women from Florianópolis (SC) in 2009 – 2010. A descriptive analysis of the variables was carried out, the appropriate mean of responses about mammography was estimated and crude and adjusted Poisson regression was conducted to identify associated factors. Among adults, 23.1% answered all of the questions appropriately and the appropriate average responses was 7.2 (95%CI 7.1 – 7.3) in a total of 9. In the adjusted model, older age, higher education and income were associated with knowledge about mammography. For the elderly, 15.3% answered all questions appropriately and the average of appropriate responses was 6.4 (95%CI 5.2 – 6.5) and the factors associated with knowledge about mammography in the adjusted model were younger age groups, increased education and income, and identification of mammography as the main diagnostic method for breast cancer. Information about mammography can neither be transmitted in a clear way nor be easily understood; there are also demographic and socioeconomic differences concerning the knowledge about the exam.
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O objetivo deste estudo é descrever o conhecimento sobre mamografia e identificar os fatores associados em mulheres adultas e idosas. Os dados foram obtidos em dois inquéritos populacionais, um com mulheres adultas e outro com idosas, na cidade de Florianópolis (SC) em 2009 – 2010. Foi realizada a análise descritiva das variáveis, estimada a média de respostas adequadas do conhecimento sobre mamografia e regressão bruta e ajustada de Poisson para identificar os fatores associados. Entre as adultas, 23,1% responderam adequadamente todas as questões e a média de respostas adequadas foi 7,2 (IC95% 7,1 – 7,3) em um total de 9. No modelo ajustado, maior faixa etária, escolaridade e renda foram associados ao conhecimento sobre mamografia. Para as idosas, 15,3% responderam todas as questões adequadamente, a média de respostas adequadas foi 6,4 (IC95% 5,2 – 6,5) e os fatores associados ao conhecimento sobre mamografia no modelo ajustado foram faixas etárias menores, aumento da escolaridade e da renda e a identificação da mamografia como principal método diagnóstico do câncer de mama. A informação sobre mamografia pode não estar sendo transmitida de maneira clara e de fácil compreensão, existindo diferenças demográficas e socioeconômicas no conhecimento sobre o exame.


INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most prevalent tumor affecting women in the world. For 2008, the appearance of 1.38 million new cases was estimated, and the annual value can surpass 1.78 million in 2020. It is estimated that, throughout the world, there are more than 5 million women diagnosed with this tumor in the past 5 years. Even though the survival rate of patients with breast cancer is considered high, it is one of the main causes of death among women all over the world, being responsible for 458 thousand deaths in 2008 and with the possibility of causing 600 thousand deaths in 2020.

In Brazil, approximately 50 thousand cases of breast cancer are diagnosed and about 12 thousand women die every year because of the tumor. After analyzing the mortality rate caused by this cancer, Freitas et al. demonstrated that it has been stable since 1994. However, Silva et al. used the correction of this rate by deaths caused by poorly defined causes and showed the tendency of increased mortality between 1980 and 2006, unlike developed countries, which have been presenting reduction since the 1980s.

Brazilian public policies demonstrated more emphasis on the control of breast cancer only from 1997 on, with the Program Viva Mulher and, since then, they aim at increasing the mammographic coverage and, consequently, the reduction of mortality caused by breast cancer. The expectation is that the increased mammographic coverage would lead to higher rates of early diagnosis of breast cancer (stages I and II), which would reduce the mortality caused by the disease.

In fact, this diagnostic method has been effective for the early discovery of breast cancer, and it also promotes the reduction of mortality among women aged 50 years old or more. In Brazil, the Consensus of Control for Breast Cancer points out mammography and clinical examination as tracking methods in the routine of women health care.

Despite the increased access to mammography, in 2009 the coverage of this examination for women aged from 50 to 69 years old living in Brazilian capitals was estimated in 72.2% in...
the two years prior to the research\textsuperscript{9}. Despite the increased coverage of mammography in the past years, there are still both social and geographic difficulties to access the examination\textsuperscript{9}. Besides the difficulties related to access, among the most common reasons why women do not do a mammography are the comprehension that the examination is not necessary and the unawareness as to the importance of the examination for the early diagnosis of breast cancer\textsuperscript{10,11}. Studies that assessed the knowledge of women about the early diagnosis of breast cancer demonstrate that they have heard about mammography\textsuperscript{12,13}, they know it detects mammary changes\textsuperscript{12,14}, but the general knowledge about the test is low\textsuperscript{12,13}. Among the knowledge gaps about the examination are: its periodicity\textsuperscript{15,16}, the fact that it is useful to track breast cancer, and not only to follow-up pre-existing lesions\textsuperscript{15}, and that it is important for early detection\textsuperscript{17}, especially at the absence of symptoms\textsuperscript{11,18,19}, and the awareness that the mammography can prevent breast cancer\textsuperscript{14}.

Women who claim to be well-informed about breast cancer are significantly more adherent to tracking recommendations\textsuperscript{20}, however, the fact that women undergo mammography does not imply the knowledge or the understanding about the purpose of the examination\textsuperscript{21}. A basic level of knowledge is necessary to implement the specific policies for cancer control\textsuperscript{12}. Knowing the level of awareness of women in relation to mammography is important to increase the prevalence of the performance of the examination in the proper periodicity\textsuperscript{22}. The awareness about mammography is a little explored subject in literature, and improving it can help the strategies of early diagnosis of breast cancer. This article aims at describing the knowledge about mammography and at identifying the associated factors among women living in Florianópolis, Santa Catarina.

Methods

In 2009 and 2010 two population surveys were conducted simultaneously and independently in the city of Florianópolis, capital of the State of Santa Catarina, called Adult EpiFloripa and Elderly EpiFloripa, which aimed at studying the health conditions of the adult (20 to 59 years old) and elderly (60 years old or more) population in the city. The estimated population of the city in 2009 was of 408,163 inhabitants; approximately 60% were adults (51.7% were women) and 10.8% were elderly (57% of women).

The sample size for the study about the knowledge of mammography and associated factors was calculated independently for each study with the software OpenEpi, Version 2. The considered parameters were: prevalence estimate of 50%, 95% confidence level, sample error of 5 percentage points for female adults and 4.7 for elderly women, design effect of 2, size of the sample of female adults of 129,035, and of elderly women, 25,616. Finally, in the survey with the adult women, 10% were added to compensate for the predicted losses and 20% for the control of confusion factors; and among the elderly women, 15% for losses and 20% for confusion factors. Therefore, the minimum sample was defined with 997 female adults and 1,155 elderly women.

The selection process of the sample was composed of conglomerates in two stages. In the first one, census sectors were systematically sorted out, stratified in deciles according to the monthly mean income of the head of the family and, in the second one, the households. The update of the number of occupied private households was carried out. In the Adult EpiFloripa, 60 census sectors were sorted out (6 per income decile), while in the Elderly EpiFloripa, 80 census sectors were sorted out (8 per income decile). Due to the grouping of census sectors with less than 150 households and the division of those with more than 500 households, by respecting the corresponding income decile and geographic proximity, there were 63 sectors in the study with adults (32.0% variation coefficient) and 83 sectors in the study with the elderly population (35.2% variation coefficient). Afterwards, 18 households were systematically sorted out.
in the study with adults, and 64 households in the study with the elderly.

All of the residents of the chosen households, in the age group of each study, were invited to participate. People whose household was visited at least four times were considered as losses. Women who reported the previous diagnosis of breast cancer, those with self-reported skin color being yellow or indigenous and interviews answered by informers were excluded from the analysis.

Data collection was performed by a standardized and pre-tested instrument applied with face to face interviews with the Personal Digital Assistants (PDA). The pilot studies were conducted in sectors that were sorted out for this purpose and were not included in the studies. Data collection of the elderly took place in the second semester of 2009 and in the first semester of 2010, while for the adults it took place in the second semester of 2009.

Data consistency and quality control were weekly verified with the application of a reduced questionnaire by telephone. The reproducibility of the questions presented good to excellent concordance.

In this study, only the concept of awareness was used, from the CAP methodology (knowledge, attitude and practice), characterized as the correct answer to the statements about the use and conduction of mammography.

With regard to mammography, nine questions were asked to the interviewed women. If the first questions, “Have you ever heard of a test called mammography?”, was answered negatively, the eight following questions were not asked. The answers to these questions were categorized into adequate and inadequate (Table 1). The dependent variable of the study, the awareness about mammography, was built from the sum of adequate answers for the nine questions about mammography, and the value ranged from zero to nine. An adequate knowledge was considered when the woman answered all of the questions correctly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Inadequate</th>
<th>Adequate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have you ever heard of an examination called mammography?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammography is a method to know if there is or there is not a problem in the breasts</td>
<td>Wrong/Doesn’t know/NA</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With this examination, the woman can prevent breast cancer</td>
<td>Right/Doesn’t Know/NA</td>
<td>Wrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is only important for women aged more than 50 years old</td>
<td>Right/Doesn’t Know/NA</td>
<td>Wrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is only important for women who have had a case of breast cancer in the family</td>
<td>Right/Doesn’t Know/NA</td>
<td>Wrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is an important examination that should be conducted besides the one made by the doctor and the woman herself</td>
<td>Wrong/Doesn’t know/NA</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In mammography, the health professional places the breast of the woman in a x-ray device, where the breast is pressed, and then the doctor makes the image</td>
<td>Disagree/Doesn’t Know/NA</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In mammography, the doctors rubs a jelly product on the breast and, then, with a device on the breast, the doctor follows the images on a small television screen</td>
<td>Agree/Doesn’t Know/NA</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In mammography, the doctor examines the breast of the woman and delimits a location to stick a thin needle and try to extract some liquid from inside the breast</td>
<td>Agree/Doesn’t Know/NA</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from Marinho. NSA: non applicable.
Fonte: Adaptado de Marinho. NSA: não se aplica.
Independent variables were: age group (less than 40, 40 – 49, and 50 – 59 years old for the adult women; and 60 – 69, 70 – 79, 80 years old or more for the elderly women), marital status (with a partner, without a partner), Family per capita income (in income quartiles), schooling (0 to 4 years old, 5 to 8, 9 to 11, 12 or more for the adults, and 0 year, 1 to 4, 5 to 8, 9 to 11 and 12 or more for the elderly), self-reported skin color (white, black, mulatto, yellow skin color and indigenous people were excluded from the analysis because the sample was small), paid job in the last month (yes, no), having a private health insurance plan (yes, no), and identification of mammography as the main test to diagnose breast cancer (yes, no).

Statistical analyses were conducted separately for adult (20 to 59 years old) and elderly women (60 years or more). They were performed in the software STATA/SE 9.0 for Windows (Stata Corp., College Station, United States), considering the complex sample and incorporating sample weights. A descriptive analysis of the questions that compose the variable knowledge about mammography and independent variables was conducted. The mean of the variable knowledge about mammography and its respective confidence interval were described. Since the dependent variable was discreet, the counting model was used with the Poisson regression, both for the crude and the adjusted analyses, in order to estimate the changes in independent variables that affect the conditional mean and the counting probabilities. P value was estimated by the F test. In the adjusted analysis, the variables that presented p < 0.20 in the crude analysis were included one by one in the model; variables that reached p < 0.05 and/or adjusted the analysis remained in the model.

Surveys were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (UFSC), protocol n. 351/08 and 352/2008, adult and elderly, respectively.

Results

Out of the 959 female adults participating in the survey, 7 were excluded for not answering the block women’s health, 13 for having been diagnosed with breast cancer, 15 with yellow skin color and 12 indigenous people, accounting for 914 women (response rate: 91.6%). Out of these, approximately 30% were less than 30 years old, and most reported having white color/ethnicity (86.5%), 12 schooling years or more (44.1%), living with a partner (61.1%), with a job (71.1%) and with a private health insurance plan (60.5%) (Table 2). Most of them (71.0%) knew that mammography was the main method used to diagnose breast cancer. Among the 29% who mentioned other diagnostic methods, 185 believed it was the breast self-examination.

Among the adults, 75% answered up to 8 questions correctly and 23.1% answered all of them correctly. The mean of adequate responses in relation to the knowledge about mammography was of 7.2 among the adults (95%CI 7.1 – 7.3). In the adjusted analysis, age group, schooling and income were associated with the knowledge about mammography. The mean of adequate answers of women aged 40 to 49 years old was 14% higher in relation to women aged 20 – 29 years old. The ones with 12 schooling years or more presented 21% more adequate responses than the ones with 0 to 4 schooling years. The increased income quartile promoted the increased mean of adequate responses in comparison to the first quartile (Table 2).

The description of questions that formed the variable knowledge about mammography is in Table 3. It was observed that 97.3% of the adult women had heard of mammography; approximately 95% answered adequately that the examination identifies breast-related problems. The question with the lower percentage of adequate answers was the one about mammography preventing breast cancer, since only 48.7% recognized the statement as being correct.

Among the 1,089 participating elderly women, 32 were excluded for interviews that were answered by informers, 35 for not answering the questions related to women’s health, 29 who were diagnosed with cancer, 7 indigenous participants and 7 with yellow skin color; therefore, 985 elderly women participated in this study (response rate: 85.3%). Elderly women were mostly 60 to 69 years old (49.6%),
Table 2 - Univariate analysis and bivariate analysis, crude and adjusted regression about the knowledge of mammography for adult women. Florianópolis, 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Mean of adequate responses (95%CI)</th>
<th>Crude analysis (95%CI)</th>
<th>p-value (F test)</th>
<th>Adjusted analysis (95%CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age Group (n = 914)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 29</td>
<td>272 (29.8)</td>
<td>6.87 (6.56 – 7.19)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 39</td>
<td>209 (22.9)</td>
<td>7.03 (6.54 – 7.52)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.96 – 1.09)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.98 – 1.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 49</td>
<td>248 (27.1)</td>
<td>7.70 (7.48 – 7.92)</td>
<td>1.12 (1.08 – 1.16)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.10 – 1.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 59</td>
<td>185 (20.2)</td>
<td>7.20 (6.87 – 7.54)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.00 – 1.10)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.04 – 1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skin color (n = 910)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>52 (5.7)</td>
<td>6.58 (6.21 – 6.95)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>787 (86.5)</td>
<td>7.21 (6.90 – 7.52)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.03 – 1.17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>71 (7.8)</td>
<td>7.31 (6.97 – 7.64)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.03 – 1.20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schooling in years of study (n = 913)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 to 4 years</td>
<td>83 (9.1)</td>
<td>6.29 (5.70 – 6.87)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 8 years</td>
<td>139 (15.2)</td>
<td>5.82 (5.12 – 6.52)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.85 – 1.01)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.87 – 1.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 to 11 years</td>
<td>287 (31.4)</td>
<td>7.26 (6.98 – 7.53)</td>
<td>1.15 (1.07 – 1.24)</td>
<td>1.17 (1.08 – 1.26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 years old or more</td>
<td>404 (44.1)</td>
<td>7.73 (7.56 – 7.91)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.12 – 1.35)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.11 – 1.34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status (n = 914)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With partner</td>
<td>558 (61.1)</td>
<td>7.18 (6.85 – 7.52)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without partner</td>
<td>356 (38.9)</td>
<td>7.20 (6.95 – 7.45)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.97 – 1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income in quartiles (n = 898)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st} Quartile</td>
<td>241 (26.8)</td>
<td>6.28 (5.77 – 6.79)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd} Quartile</td>
<td>225 (25.1)</td>
<td>7.14 (6.83 – 7.46)</td>
<td>1.24 (1.06 – 1.22)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.02 – 1.15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\textsuperscript{rd} Quartile</td>
<td>219 (24.4)</td>
<td>7.49 (7.28 – 7.72)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.11 – 1.28)</td>
<td>1.09 (1.03 – 1.15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4\textsuperscript{th} Quartile</td>
<td>213 (23.7)</td>
<td>7.89 (7.64 – 8.13)</td>
<td>1.26 (1.16 – 1.37)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.04 – 1.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current job (n = 914)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>655 (71.6)</td>
<td>6.97 (6.61 – 7.34)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>259 (28.4)</td>
<td>7.28 (7.00 – 7.55)</td>
<td>1.04 (1.00 – 1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health insurance (n = 912)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>360 (39.5)</td>
<td>6.65 (6.19 – 7.11)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>552 (60.5)</td>
<td>7.54 (7.35 – 7.73)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.07 – 1.20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mammography as the main method to diagnose breast cancer (n = 914)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>265 (29.0)</td>
<td>7.14 (6.70 – 7.59)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>649 (71.0)</td>
<td>7.21 (6.96 – 7.46)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.96 – 1.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Without significance in adjusted model.  
*Sem significância no modelo ajustado.
reported having white color/ethnicity (87.4%),
with 1 to 4 schooling years (36.3%), living without
a partner (54.3%), with private health insurance
plan (63.7%), and without a paid job at the time
of the interview (90.4%). Mammography was
identified as the main method to diagnose breast
cancer by 75.9% of the participants, but among the
ones who did not mention this method (24.1%),
114 claimed that the breast self-examination was
the most adequate method (Table 3).

Among the elderly, 75% answered up to
8 questions correctly, and 15.3% answered
all of the questions adequately. The mean
of adequate responses in the questions con-
cerning the knowledge about mammography
was of 6.4% (95%CI 5.2 – 6.5). In the adjusted
analysis, age group, schooling, income quar-
tiles and the main method to diagnose breast
cancer were factors independent of knowl-
edge. Belonging to the age groups of 60 to
69 years old and 70 to 79 years old has been
associated with the higher mean of adequate
responses in comparison to women aged 80
years old or more. The increased schooling
was associated to the increased adequate
answers in relation to knowledge, as well as
the higher income. The mean of adequate
responses among those that identified mam-
mothry as the main method to diagnose
breast cancer was 19% higher than for those
who reported other methods (Table 4).

With regard to the questions that com-
posed the knowledge about mammography
(Table 3), most elderly women had heard of
the examination (96.5%) and they knew it
identifies breast-related problems (90.6%). The
lowest percentage of adequate responses was
found in the question about mammography
preventing breast cancer, since only 31.5%
of the participants answered this statement
was incorrect. About the importance of mam-
mothry, 72.6% adequately considered that
it is useful for women aged less than 50 years
old; 79.3% claimed it is only not indicated for
women with family history of cancer, and
78.1% responded correctly that, besides the
clinical examination of the breast, the self-
examination is also important.

**Table 3 - Frequency of appropriate responses to each question about knowledge of mammography among adults and elderly women. Florianópolis, 2009 – 2010.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have you ever heard of mammography?</td>
<td>893 (97.3)</td>
<td>95.0 –</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>950 (96.5)</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is mammography a way to know if there is or is not a problem in the breast</td>
<td>868 (94.9)</td>
<td>92.3 –</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>889 (90.6)</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With this examination, will the woman prevent breast cancer</td>
<td>435 (48.7)</td>
<td>43.5 –</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>303 (31.5)</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is only important for women aged 50 years old or more</td>
<td>829 (90.5)</td>
<td>87.3 –</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>702 (72.6)</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is only important for women with cases of breast cancer in the family</td>
<td>849 (93.0)</td>
<td>89.5 –</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>773 (79.3)</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is an important examination that should be conducted besides the one made by the doctor and by the woman herself</td>
<td>865 (94.1)</td>
<td>91.3 –</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>783 (78.1)</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In mammography, the health professional places the breast of the woman in a x-ray device, where the breast is pressed, and then the doctor makes the image</td>
<td>750 (81.2)</td>
<td>78.1 –</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>854 (87.5)</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In mammography, the doctors rubs a jelly product on the breast and, then, with a device on the breast, the doctor follows the images on a small television screen</td>
<td>474 (51.7)</td>
<td>45.4 –</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>465 (44.9)</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In mammography, the doctor examines the breast of the woman and delimits a location to stick a thin needle and try to extract some liquid from inside the breast</td>
<td>613 (67.8)</td>
<td>61.4 –</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>574 (55.4)</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of correct answers (9 adequate responses)</td>
<td>211 (23.1)</td>
<td>20.3 –</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>150 (15.2)</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 - Univariate analysis and bivariate analysis, crude and adjusted regression about the knowledge of mammography for elderly women. Florianópolis, 2009-2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Mean of adequate responses (95%CI)</th>
<th>Crude analysis (95%CI)</th>
<th>p-value (F test)</th>
<th>Adjusted analysis (95%CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age group (n = 985)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 years old or more</td>
<td>127 (12.9)</td>
<td>4.81 (4.14 – 5.48)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 to 79 years old</td>
<td>369 (37.5)</td>
<td>6.34 (6.01 – 6.68)</td>
<td>1.32 (1.16 – 1.50)</td>
<td>1.25 (1.10 – 1.41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 to 69 years old</td>
<td>489 (49.6)</td>
<td>6.78 (6.53 – 7.03)</td>
<td>1.41 (1.23 – 1.62)</td>
<td>1.30 (1.14 – 1.48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-reported skin color (n = 984)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>45 (4.6)</td>
<td>5.97 (4.76 – 7.17)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulatto</td>
<td>79 (8.0)</td>
<td>6.20 (5.71 – 6.69)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.84 – 1.28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>860 (87.4)</td>
<td>6.41 (6.15 – 6.69)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.87 – 1.32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schooling in years of study = y (n = 981)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>95 (9.7)</td>
<td>4.86 (4.36 – 5.37)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 4 years</td>
<td>356 (36.3)</td>
<td>5.88 (5.46 – 6.30)</td>
<td>1.21 (1.07 – 1.36)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.01 – 1.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 8 years</td>
<td>206 (21.0)</td>
<td>6.70 (6.37 – 7.03)</td>
<td>1.38 (1.24 – 1.53)</td>
<td>1.26 (1.13 – 1.40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 to 11 years</td>
<td>147 (15.0)</td>
<td>6.65 (6.20 – 7.10)</td>
<td>1.37 (1.20 – 1.56)</td>
<td>1.26 (1.10 – 1.44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 years or more</td>
<td>177 (18.0)</td>
<td>7.31 (7.01 – 7.60)</td>
<td>1.50 (1.35 – 1.68)</td>
<td>1.34 (1.19 – 1.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income in quartiles (n = 985)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; Quartile</td>
<td>270 (27.4)</td>
<td>5.98 (5.58 – 6.37)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; Quartile</td>
<td>258 (26.2)</td>
<td>5.94 (5.56 – 6.33)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.93 – 1.06)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.94 – 1.06)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; Quartile</td>
<td>235 (23.9)</td>
<td>6.69 (6.34 – 7.05)</td>
<td>1.12 (1.03 – 1.22)</td>
<td>1.09 (1.01 – 1.18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Quartile</td>
<td>222 (22.5)</td>
<td>6.91 (6.58 – 7.24)</td>
<td>1.16 (1.07 – 1.24)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.01 – 1.15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status (n = 985)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without a partner</td>
<td>535 (54.3)</td>
<td>6.08 (5.79 – 6.38)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With a partner</td>
<td>450 (45.7)</td>
<td>6.71 (6.41 – 7.00)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.05 – 1.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health insurance (n = 985)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>358 (36.3)</td>
<td>5.85 (5.45 – 6.25)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>627 (63.7)</td>
<td>6.63 (6.39 – 6.88)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.06 – 1.21)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current paid job (n = 985)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>890 (90.4)</td>
<td>6.34 (6.10 – 6.59)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>95 (9.6)</td>
<td>6.58 (6.06 – 7.10)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.97 – 1.11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mammography as the main method to diagnose breast cancer (n = 923)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>237 (24.1)</td>
<td>5.38 (4.94 – 5.81)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>748 (75.9)</td>
<td>6.67 (6.38 – 6.95)</td>
<td>1.24 (1.13 – 1.36)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.10 – 1.29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Without significance in adjusted model.
*Sem significância no modelo ajustado.
Discussion

This study enabled to explore the knowledge about mammography and the associated factors both in adult and elderly women. Out of the 9 questions about knowledge, the adult women answered an average of 7.2 questions correctly, and the elderly women, 6.4. Women aged between 40 and 59 years old among the adults and 60 to 79 years old among the elderly presented more knowledge and, in both groups, also those with 8 schooling years or more and with higher per capita income. Among the elderly participants, besides these factors, identifying mammography as the main method to diagnose breast cancer has also been associated with knowledge.

Marinho et al.23 studied the knowledge about mammography among women aged more than 40 years old who were users of public health services in Campinas (SP), and found that only 7.4% answered all of the questions adequately. In this study, with a similar questionnaire, 23.1% of the adult women and 15.3% of the elderly women answered all of the questions correctly. In the study by Brito et al.12, conducted in São Luís (MA), the percentage of adequate knowledge was of 24%, considered low by the authors. Even though these studies presented different methodologies, which limit direct comparisons, the percentage found in this study is higher than the one from Campinas (SP) and lower than the one from São Luís (MA).

The study by Brito et al.12 also found an association between schooling with the knowledge about mammography, in which women with higher educational level had 50% more chances of presenting adequate knowledge. In Malaysia, besides the increased educational level, the higher income was also associated with knowledge, and women aged 50 to 59 years old had 50% more chances of having adequate knowledge in comparison to those aged 60 years old or more19. A study by Amin et al.15, in Saudi Arabia, showed that besides educational level, having a family history of breast cancer, having a job and being exposed to breast clinical examinations were factors associated with the increased level of knowledge15. The study by Marinho et al.23 did not find any association with sociodemographic factors. This study was developed with a sample of low income and low schooling women, in a public service of Campinas (SP), which limits direct comparisons with this study.

The knowledge about the importance of mammography and who should be able to perform it can influence women in the adherence to tracking. In this study, the percentage of women who have heard of mammography was similar to that found in the study conducted in the Northeast of Brazil (91.9%)12 and in health services of Campinas (SP) (93.5%)23. In relation to the use of mammography, in this study most of the participants knew it can identify breast-related issues, while in the study by Brito et al.12, 78.1% knew that the examination was used to identify neoplastic lesions in the breast. In Brazil, more than half of the women aged 40 to 69 years old have had a mammography at least once9. Takechi25 claims that the efficacy of the examination is usually recognized by those who have undergone it.

Mammography was identified by more than 70% of the women, both adults and elderly, as the main method to diagnose breast cancer and, among the elderly, it has been associated to the knowledge about the examination. The percentage of recognition was high when compared to women living in Australia; despite the free offer of mammography for women aged 40 years old or more in that country, only 29.1% of the interviewees recognized mammography as a method to diagnose breast cancer, pointing out to the need for clarifications for the population26.

In relation to the other methods apart from mammography as the main forms of diagnosing breast cancer, the most frequent one was the breast self-examination, mentioned by approximately 11% of the elderly women and 20% of the adult women. Sim et al.19 showed that there is insufficient understanding among Asian women about the risk factors and errors in relation to tracking and treatment of breast cancer. Between the findings of the study, 27% of the people think that if the breast self-examination is normal, there is no need for mammography. In the study by Santos et al.27 conducted with elderly women from the community centers of São...
Paulo, 29.7% answered that the breast self-examination is the main method to diagnose breast cancer. These findings can be due to the obsolete recommendation of this method is the adequate one for the early detection of breast cancer for more than 70 years.

Still, there are issues about mammography that are unknown by the women. One of them is that mammography prevents breast cancer, since in this study it was observed that only 48.7% of the adult women and 31.5% of the elderly women answered this statement adequately, as being incorrect. This was also pointed out by Webster and Austoker, who showed that 94% of the women correctly answered that mammographic tracking leads to the early detection, but 45% believed that mammography prevents breast cancer. Believing that mammography prevents breast cancer can lead women to ignore the symptoms in the interval between examinations, which restates the need to inform about the importance of mammography as a diagnostic method.

Another question to be enlightened is that many women think that mammography is only important for those with a Family history of breast cancer. This wrong comprehension can have a negative influence on the practice of mammography, since they interpret that they have low risk of being diagnosed with breast cancer only because there is no family history. Even though women with family history of cancer have more chances of progressing with the disease, only 5% to 10% of the cases occur among these women.

In relation to the factors associated with knowledge, the age groups that are the focus of mammographic tracking — adults aged from 40 to 59 years old and elderly women aged 60 to 69 years old — had significantly higher mean of adequate answers. The importance of age can be mainly observed in the group of young women, for which the information should be publicized and clarified concerning the diagnosis of breast cancer. This way, when they become part of the priority age groups for tracking, they will be informed enough to see a doctor and undergo routine examinations; if they are diagnosed early, it leads to reduced mortality.

Women aged from 40 to 49 years old presented more average of knowledge about mammography, regardless of income and schooling, which is in accordance with the findings by Amim et al. They are the most well-informed ones, despite the divergences found in the age for the beginning of tracking by mammography. In Brazil, The Consensus of Control for Breast Cancer states that tracking mammography in women without family history of breast cancer should only be conducted in women aged more than 50 years old. However, the promulgation of Law 11.664, in 2008, ensures the performance of mammography from the age of 40, but it does not mention tracking among women aged 40 to 49 years old. The tracking in these women, according to the Consensus, should be conducted by the clinical examination of the breast, but 67% of them had already had a mammography and represent more than 20% of the breast cancer diagnoses. It is known that, after participating in the mammographic tracking, they present with significant reduction of mortality, similarly to women aged 50 to 59 years old; however, the first ones present the highest rates of false-positive tests and the need for additional imaging examinations.

The findings referring to the association of low schooling and the reduction of knowledge about cancer and early diagnosis, as well as low income, also shown in this study, suggest that the lack of knowledge in these groups can be a result of inadequate educational material, making them incomprehensible, which shows important social inequities in the access to information concerning the early diagnosis of breast cancer.

Studies show that the printed and television media are the main source of information for women. In national studies, Brito et al. demonstrated that the media was the second source of information about mammography (17.1%), and Santos et al. showed they were the third main source of information (10.9%). However, in international studies more than 50% of the women mention the means of communication...
as the main source of information. The way
the media transmits the information about the
early diagnosis can be incomprehensible; even
though the central theme is clear, the questions
related to it are nolist25. Among the campaigns of
early diagnoses publicized in some of the
main newspapers and magazines in Brazil
between June 2006 and July 2007, more than
80% were about breast cancer, with the objective
of raising awareness of the population
about the subject14. This can be the reason
why women with low income and schooling
present lower rates of adequate answers. Many
of the campaign materials are printed, and
there is high percentage of women with less
than eight schooling years — and they may
not understand or have access to the infor-
mation in this type of publication.

Health professionals are also mentioned as
important sources of information about cancer12,20,27,32,33. Together with the media, they can
explain these questions to the women, such as
mammography preventing breast cancer and
its importance regardless of the family history
of cancer, and to encourage them to undergo
tracking examinations. Women who attended
educational interventions about breast can-
cer and tracking have significantly increased
knowledge, and also significant reduction in
the barriers to undergo mammography35.

Besides, according to the World Health
Organization36, social mobilization assists
the planning and implementation of cancer
control actions. Campaigns about the import-
ance of mammography, together with the
government, the civil society, and groups of
patients and the community can lead to the
increased knowledge about the examination.

The effective communication between health
professionals and the women, with the help of
media campaigns, can ensure that the woman
has access to knowledge about the importance
of mammography as a routine examination,
regardless of risk factors or symptoms, so she
can feel motivated to do it and have access to it in
the proper periodicity. Therefore, the improved
knowledge is expected, thus reducing one of the
barriers for the early diagnosis of breast cancer.

In this study, despite the control of confu-
sion factors, by means of the adjusted analysis,
there might be some residual confusion
due to the impossibility of controlling all of
the relations that contribute with knowledge,
such as knowing someone with breast can-
cer, having family history of breast cancer or
attending places with specific information
about diagnosis, such as routine appoint-
ments with gynecologists and mastologists.
Besides, the lack of a standardized instrument
to assess the knowledge about breast cancer,
early diagnosis and risk factors prevents direct
comparisons between the findings in this
study and other analyses. Among the pos-
tive aspects of this study, population range,
high response rate and the identification of
factors associated with the knowledge about
mammography by the population-based
study stand out.

Conclusion

Finally, this article approached the knowl-
edge about mammography and pointed out
that it is influenced by the age groups of the
women and the increase income and schooling.
It is suggested that the information about the
importance of mammography publicized by
the media and health professionals should
be clear and easy to understand, thus ensur-
ing adequate knowledge to all of the women.
More population-based studies can help to
clarify the knowledge about mammogra-
phy as a barrier for the early diagnosis of
breast cancer.
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