Timely detection of bronchiolitis epidemics in Guadeloupe
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Objective. To develop a criterion for early detection of bronchiolitis epidemics in Guadeloupe so that prevention and control strategies can be implemented in a more timely manner.

Methods. Weekly figures of bronchiolitis cases reported from July 2005–July 2010 by Guadeloupe’s sentinel network were used. The criterion for detecting epidemics was created with data from the 2005–2009 bronchiolitis seasons. First, the baseline level for bronchiolitis (BL) was predicted by fitting a periodic regression on the non-epidemic observations; then a test was conducted of nine possible criteria to define epidemics by combining a statistical threshold set at different levels and a number of consecutive weeks with observations above and below them; lastly, the optimal criterion was selected considering its performances using expert advice as the gold standard. The selected criterion was validated with data from 2009–2010 season.

Results. The BL accounted for a linear trend and two sinusoidal functions of 52 and 26 weeks (R² = 45%). According to the epidemic criterion selected, the statistical threshold was set at the upper limit of the one-sided 95% Confidence Interval of the predicted BL; 2 consecutive weeks with cases above it were necessary to set the start of an epidemic, and three again below to set the end. The median delay in launching the alerts was 2 weeks; there was one false alert; and the sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value for detecting epidemic weeks were 98%, 96%, 95%, respectively. During the validation period, the criterion launched one false alert and detected the epidemic with 4 weeks of delay.

Conclusions. This criterion supports epidemiologists in timely interpretation of bronchiolitis epidemiological data for decision makers in Guadeloupe. In the future, it should be updated in accordance with trends in bronchiolitis epidemiology, and improved by integrating virological indicators. Its inclusion in an integrated management strategy for bronchiolitis prevention and control, supported by a bronchiolitis public health network, should also be encouraged.
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It is well known that early detection of epidemics is imperative to effective control; hence, infectious disease surveillance has been conducted in many countries for decades. Traditional methods of reporting diseases (e.g., notifiable disease surveillance, laboratory-based surveillance) are currently linked to less specific, but more reactive methods (1). These include syndromic surveillance, which makes it possible to identify illness clusters before diagnoses are confirmed (1–3), and mathematical models, which are often used to analyze surveillance data in order detect epidemics sooner (4–9).

Throughout the world, acute bronchiolitis is a common lower respiratory tract infection and a leading cause of hospital admission among young children, especially those less than 2 years of age (10). In France, an estimated one-third of the infant population is affected each
year, thus more than 450,000 infants (11). This clinically-diagnosed viral respiratory condition can be caused by several viruses, but the most common is respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), which is isolated in as many as 75% of cases (10, 12).

Bronchiolitis is usually seasonal, with epidemics occurring every year, and in the majority of cases, is only mildly self-limiting (11–14). Nevertheless, the public health burden of bronchiolitis is considerable—the high number of infants seeking care during epidemics has a considerable impact on any health care system (13, 14). Many health sector stakeholders—pediatricians, general practitioners (GPs), physiotherapists, emergency units, hospitals, and health promotion communicators—are affected by bronchiolitis epidemics (14). Coordination and collaboration among these stakeholders are key to launching timely preventive strategies and responding appropriately at the community-level so that emergency units are not overwhelmed. To this end, early detection of bronchiolitis epidemics is imperative.

In Guadeloupe, a French territory located in the West Indies, bronchiolitis has been monitored since 2003 by a syndromic surveillance system based on a network of sentinel GPs (15). Cases are reported weekly throughout the year; seasonal epidemics occur in September–December.

This study was undertaken to develop a criterion based on the aforementioned surveillance system that would improve the timeliness of bronchiolitis epidemic detection in Guadeloupe. This article presents the methods employed and the performance of this epidemic criterion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data source

A syndromic, sentinel surveillance system based on a network of GPs began operating in Guadeloupe in 1983; in 2003, bronchiolitis was placed under surveillance (7). Since 2005, the network has been made up of 44 GPs who, according to the Caisse Générale de Sécurité Sociale de la Guadeloupe (General Social Security Fund of Guadeloupe, CGSS), account for 12% of the total number of GPs in Guadeloupe and 20.4% of its overall medical activities (15). Within the framework of the surveillance system, a case of bronchiolitis has been defined as: (i) a child less than 2 years of age (ii) presenting expiratory dyspnea and obstructive signs, with (iii) difficulty coughing, and (iv) in an infectious context (15).

Guadeloupe’s health agency collects case numbers by telephone on a weekly basis. In order to stabilize the figures and overcome the effect of the variable participation of the sentinel GPs, the number of cases reported weekly is divided by the cumulative activity fraction of sentinel GPs at work that specific week. For each sentinel GP, the activity fraction is calculated from the CGSS data from the previous year (16).

This study analyzed weekly data from 25 July 2005–25 July 2010 (Figure 1). The criterion for early detection and monitoring of bronchiolitis epidemics was developed with data for July 2005–July 2009, and subsequently validated with data from July 2009–July 2010.

Criterion development

The criterion was developed in two steps: first, the “baseline level” of bronchiolitis was estimated; and then, the criterion was created to define epidemic periods (i.e., start and end weeks). These two steps are detailed below.

Prediction of bronchiolitis baseline. The Internet application, Réseau Sentinelles® (French National Institute of Health and Medical Research and the Pierre and Marie Curie University, Paris, France) (8), was used to fit a periodic regression (also known as Serfling’s method) on the non-epidemic observations for the period 2005-week 30–2009-week 29. To exclude the epidemic observations, a cut-off value was set at the upper unilat-eral 95% Confidence Interval (95%CI) of the mean of all the observations.

The structure of the periodic regression model was composed of a trend (a first degree polynomial function of the time) plus one or two seasonal components (sine and cosine terms with annual, or annual plus semi-annual periodicities). The two periodic regression equations were a special case of the following model:

\[ Y_t = \alpha + \beta_t + \gamma_1 \sin(2\pi t / n) + \delta_1 \cos(2\pi t / n) + \gamma_2 \cos(4\pi t / n) + \delta_2 \sin(4\pi t / n) + \varepsilon \]

In this model, \( Y_t \) is the number of bronchiolitis cases at week \( t \); \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the intercept and the slope of the linear regression; \( \gamma_1,2 \) and \( \delta_1,2 \) are the parameters of the seasonal components; and \( \varepsilon \) is the random error.

Model coefficients were estimated by the least-squares method. The validity of the model was tested by verifying the homoscedasticity of residuals through graphical analysis, statistical analysis of their distribution, and their independence by the Ljung-Box test. The fit of the model was assessed by the coefficient of determination (R2). The Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) was used to identify, among different models, the one that fits the data better, based on the parsimony principle. Finally, the selected model was used to predict the “baseline level” of bronchiolitis cases in Guadeloupe for the period 2005–2009.

Definition of epidemic periods. In order to define the start and end weeks of an epidemic (i.e., to distinguish between the significant departures of an observation
from the expected baseline level and a random variation), two parameters were combined: (i) a statistical threshold, and (ii) a number of consecutive weeks with a number of bronchiolitis cases respectively above and below the threshold. The threshold was defined as the upper limit of the one-sided CI of the predicted baseline level, the variation around the baseline level being established on the standard deviation of the residuals. Three $\alpha$ levels were used: 0.1, 0.5, and 0.01. As the number of consecutive weeks above and again below the threshold is concerned, 1, 2, or 3 were used as the options for setting the start of the epidemics, and 3 was used to set the end.

Combining these two parameters, nine possible criteria were identified and studied. To assess their performance, the results of these nine criteria were compared to a gold standard established by expert advice. Performance was based on: (i) the speed with which epidemic alerts were launched; (ii) the number of false alerts, i.e., epidemic periods detected outside the gold standard; (iii) sensitivity, i.e., the ability to identify epidemic weeks within the gold standard; (iv) specificity, i.e., the ability to detect true non-epidemic weeks; and (v) the positive predictive value (PPV), i.e., how precisely true epidemic weeks were detected.

The best criterion had to combine timely epidemic warnings with a low number of false alerts and a high sensitivity, specificity, and PPV in correctly detecting the epidemic weeks.

**Criterion validation**

The final selected model was used to forecast the baseline level of bronchiolitis cases in Guadeloupe in the 2009–2010 season. The epidemic criterion retained was validated by comparing the epidemic periods detected by the study’s tool (in terms of start and duration) with those indicated by the expert.

**RESULTS**

In Guadeloupe, a linear decrease in the number of bronchiolitis cases was observed during the study period, with 7 200 estimated cases in the 2005–2006 season and 3 200 in the 2009–2010 season. The incidence of bronchiolitis was highly seasonal, and the lowest number of cases occurred in July. Each year, epidemics occurred around mid-October and mid-December. They started sharply and ended smoothly with strong inter-weekly fluctuations. During the study period, a decrease in their amplitude and duration was observed.

**Criterion development**

**Prediction of bronchiolitis baseline.** According to the cut-off value, the baseline level was estimated by fitting the model on 128 non-epidemic observations. According to the AIC criterion, the best model consisted of a linear trend and 12- and 6-month periodicities, which accounted for 45% of the variance of the time series ($R^2 = 0.45$) (Figure 2):

$$Y_t = 91.9 - 0.2t + 22.175\cos(2\pi t/52.2) - 1.7\sin(2\pi t/52.2) - 6.0\cos(4\pi t/52.2) - 12.8\sin(4\pi t/52.2) + \varepsilon_t$$

Using this model, three statistical thresholds were calculated at 0.1, 0.05, and 0.01 $\alpha$ level (Figure 2).

**Definition of epidemic periods.** Between July 2005 and July 2009, four bronchiolitis epidemics, one each season, accounting for 101 epidemic weeks, were identified by the expert advice (gold standard) (Table 1).

All nine of the criteria studied enabled the detection of epidemic periods with respect to the gold standard. The performances of these criteria are presented in Table 2. According to these results, the best criterion was $ST_{\alpha level 0.05}$ ($SW_2 - EW_2$), with a median delay of 2 weeks for launching the epidemic alert; only one false alert; and sensitivity, specificity, and PPV of 98%, 96%, and 95%, respectively.

**Criterion validation**

According to the expert advice, the 2009–2010 bronchiolitis season was characterized by one epidemic period occurring between 2009-week 43 and 2009-week 53 (Figure 3, green area). The
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**TABLE 1.** Bronchiolitis epidemics as defined by expert advice (gold standard), Guadeloupe, France, 2005–2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Week of onset</th>
<th>Week of offset</th>
<th>Duration (in weeks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**FIGURE 2.** Baseline of bronchiolitis and statistical thresholds, Guadeloupe, France, 25 July 2005–20 July 2010
selected criterion detected 2 epidemic periods (Figure 3, grey straight lines), the second being a false alert. Considering the first epidemic, the criteria launched the warning with a delay of 4 weeks, 2 weeks later than the expert advice, and correctly established the end of the epidemic.

**DISCUSSION**

By analyzing the historical time-series data of the syndromic bronchiolitis surveillance in Guadeloupe, a criterion was developed for the detection of epidemics. This criterion showed good performance in terms of timeliness (2 weeks of median delay), sensitivity, specificity, and PPV (98%, 96%, 95%, respectively). However, this performance was only partially observed during the validation period.

Concerning the methods, because the identification of abnormally high occurrences of a disease relies on a good understanding of the normal patterns of its occurrence (6), the first step was applying time-series analysis methods to establish a baseline level. Time series analysis is especially suitable for baseline level detection because it uses historical data for non-epidemic periods to predict what future data should be in the absence of an epidemic (7).

Different methods have been used for this purpose, such as Seasonal Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA) models, control chart methods, and Hidden Markov Models (8). In this study, an online application was employed that uses a model based on a regression with seasonal components. This approach was chosen because the type of analysis proposed fit the characteristics of the study’s time series well (at least 2 or 3 years of historical data, time series characterized by a marked seasonal variation of the additive type with outbreak, and the absence of discontinuities or large breaks) (8).

Inevitably, a trade off exists between precision and timeliness when detecting epidemics. From a public health point of view, it is important to balance the risk of wrongly launching an epidemic alert—which entails economic waste and other extraneous factors, a high level of cases for 2 or more consecutive weeks usually indicates a true rise in incidence (17).

Inevitably, a trade off exists between precision and timeliness when detecting epidemics. From a public health point of view, it is important to balance the risk of wrongly launching an epidemic alert—which entails economic waste and...
season, which generally starts in mid-

December. In fact, some authors suggest

that the 2009 H1N1 wave was so late that the measures will

be ineffective or effective too late. With

this in mind, the criterion $ST_{\alpha}$ level 0.05

($SW_{2} - EW_{1}$) was chosen because it cou-
pled good timeliness and specificity in

launching epidemic alerts with a sensi-
tivity, specificity, and PPV in detect-
ing the epidemic weeks not lower than

95%. However, these good performances

were only partially observed during the

validation period.

Nonetheless, it is important to consider

that the expert was asked to identify

epidemic periods retrospectively. The a posteriori analysis of surveillance data

enables the interpretation of data for a

specific week while being aware of what

the figures are for the week that fol-

 lows it, information not available when

analyzing data on a real-time base. In this

case, the additional information drove

the expert to set the start of the epidemic

for the 2009–2010 season in a week still

characterized by an inter-weekly fluctua-
tion, and to disregard a second period of

increase as an epidemic.

Indeed, the 2009–2010 bronchiolitis

season was peculiar, compared to the pre-

vious ones, with a steady increase of cases

in the first phase of the epidemics com-
pared to the sudden, dramatic increase

seen in previous years. This may have

been caused by a pandemic influenza A

(H1N1) wave that swept Guadeloupe in mid-August 2009, prior to influenza

season, which generally starts in mid-

December. In fact, some authors suggest

the possibility that the 2009 H1N1 wave

impacted the usual dynamics of the hiber-
nal viruses due to an interaction among

respiratory viruses (18). Moreover, it is

possible that the H1N1 epidemic influ-

enced the practices of physicians in di-

agnosing respiratory syndromes in chil-
dren, making GPs more prone to classify them as influenza cases.

Those hypotheses are difficult to as-
sess since Guadeloupe currently lacks a

laboratory-based surveillance system for

viral respiratory infections other than in-
fluenza (e.g., RSV, human parainfluenza

viruses, adenovirus, etc.). Information

on the circulation of respiratory viruses

could support the interpretation of syn-
dromic data.

Regarding the GPs’ capacity to cor-

correctly classify cases, it should be taken

into account that bronchiolitis occurs

mainly in children less than 2 years of age

with specific clinical symptoms that gen-

erally differ from those of influenza. As a

consequence, the definitions adopted by

the surveillance system for bronchiolitis

and influenza-like syndromes are fairly

different (15) and have not changed over the years. Nonetheless, results from

a recent study on GPs’ attitude in the

management of bronchiolitis cases in

young infants in France suggest that

moderate acute bronchiolitis cases were

recognized in 59% and 68% of cases in

2003 and 2008, respectively (19). Sen-
tine GPs in Guadeloupe are generally

well-sensitized physician population,

however their classification of cases ac-
cording to surveillance definitions could

be assessed to identify any gaps.

Although the authors consider the syn-
dromic surveillance system in Guade-
loupe to be a good tool for monitoring

bronchiolitis, and the criterion developed
to be a sound step toward more rapid epi-
demic detection, further improvements

are recommended, specifically: the addi-
tion of other syndromic health services-
based indicators (e.g., emergency depart-
ment activities), and more importantly, a

laboratory-based surveillance system,

analogous to those currently operating in

other areas of France (13).

Conclusions

Given that bronchiolitis is a major

cause of morbidity in young children,

preparedness, early detection, and timely

response to its outbreaks are impera-
tive and key to prevention and control.

The epidemic criterion presented in this

paper will help epidemiologists in charge

of bronchiolitis surveillance interpret
data and issue public health alerts.

In the future, two main challenges will

need to be addressed: first, annually up-
dating the statistical threshold according
to epidemiologic trends in bronchiol-
itis, and integrating new indicators into

the epidemic criterion; and second, the

inclusion of this epidemic criterion in

an integrated management strategy for

bronchiolitis prevention and control, in

par with what has already been achieved

dengue (20). With these aims in

mind, the organization of a bronchiolitis

capital network in Guadeloupe

should be encouraged, as it has been in

other parts of France (21).
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RESUMEN

Detección oportuna de epidemias de bronquiolitis en Guadalupe

Objetivo. Formular un criterio para la detección temprana de las epidemias de bronquiolitis en Guadalupe, a fin de aplicar de manera más oportuna mejores estrategias de prevención y control.

Métodos. Se usaron las cifras semanales de los casos de bronquiolitis notificados desde julio del 2005 hasta julio del 2010 por la red de vigilancia de Guadalupe. El criterio para detectar las epidemias se estableció con los datos de las temporadas de bronquiolitis del 2005 al 2009. En primer lugar, se predijo el nivel basal de bronquiolitis ajustando una regresión periódica a los casos observados fuera de las epidemias; luego se pusieron a prueba nueve posibles criterios para definir las epidemias combiniendo un umbral estadístico establecido a diferentes niveles y un número de semanas consecutivas con las observaciones ubicadas por encima y por debajo de ellos; por último, se seleccionó el criterio óptimo conforme a su desempeño, usando el asesoramiento de expertos como criterio de referencia. El criterio seleccionado se validó con los datos de la temporada 2009–2010.

Resultados. El nivel basal de bronquiolitis representaba una tendencia lineal y dos funciones sinusoidales de 52 y 26 semanas (R2 = 45%). Según el criterio de epidemia seleccionado, se fijó el umbral estadístico en el límite superior del intervalo de confianza de 95% unilateral del nivel basal de bronquiolitis previsto; para establecer el comienzo de una epidemia se requerirían 2 semanas consecutivas con algunos por encima de él, y 3 semanas con casos por debajo para determinar su finalización. La mediana del retraso para lanzar las alertas fue 2 semanas; hubo una alerta falsa; y la sensibilidad, la especificidad y el valor predictivo positivo para detectar las semanas de epidemia fueron 98%, 96% y 95%, respectivamente. Durante el período de validación se emitió, según el criterio, una alerta falsa y se detectó la epidemia con 4 semanas de retraso.

Conclusiones. Este criterio ayuda a los epidemiólogos a interpretar de manera oportuna los datos epidemiológicos de bronquiolitis a fin de tomar decisiones en Guadalupe. En el futuro, debe actualizarse según las tendencias en la epidemiología de la bronquiolitis, y mejorar su integración con indicadores virológicos. También debe promoverse su inclusión en una estrategia integrada de manejo para la prevención y el control de la bronquiolitis, apoyada por una red de salud pública relacionada con la bronquiolitis.

Palabras clave: Bronquiolitis viral; bronquiolitis; Guadalupe; Francia; región del Caribe.