Geostatistical analysis of leprosy cases in the State of São Paulo, 1991-2002

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To analyze the spatial pattern of leprosy case occurrences in order to identify areas with a probability of disease transmission risks.

METHODS: This was an ecological study in which the analysis units were municipalities in the State of São Paulo that were georeferenced at their centroids. The data source was the electronic database of notified leprosy cases at the Epidemiological Surveillance Center of the State of São Paulo, from 1991 to 2001. Geostatistical techniques were used for detecting areas with a probability of leprosy risk, and for quantifying the spatial dependency of cases.

RESULTS: The spatial dependence detected extended outwards to 0.55 degrees from the georeferenced coordinates, which corresponded to approximately 60 km. The main areas identified as presenting a probability of risk were the northeastern, northern and northwestern regions of the State.

CONCLUSIONS: Verification of areas with the probability of leprosy risk using spatial dependence analysis may be a useful tool for assessing health conditions and planning budget allocations.
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INTRODUCTION

Until the 1980s, the data available in the Brazilian literature on the prevalence of leprosy did not represent the real situation regarding this endemic disease, because neither investigations nor reports on cases reached a desirable level.10

The introduction of polychemotherapy from 1981 onwards,14 with effective treatment and cure for patients restricted to conditions that had favored transmission.2 Through this, the prevalence of leprosy was drastically reduced throughout the world, since the treated and cured cases were taken out of the active records.

In 1991, the World Health Organization (WHO) proposed that leprosy should be eliminated as a public health problem by the year 2000.15 However, some countries have been unable to reach this target, among which Brazil. In 2005, a new commitment was taken on, delaying the elimination in this country until 2010.16

The prevalence of leprosy in Brazil in 1985 was 16.4 individuals out of every 10,000 inhabitants, and this became 4.52 per 10,000 inhabitants in 2003, thus showing a significant reduction. However, leprosy still constitutes a public health problem and surveillance is required for resolving it.*

With regard to the detection of new cases, the same pattern has not been seen. According to Andrade (1996),** the increase in the detection rate and the absolute number of cases in Brazil over the last few years is not only due to epidemiological factors. It is possible that training of personnel, increased coverage of the control program, decentralization of actions and publicity for the signs and symptoms of the disease through the communication media has improved the identification and notification of this disease.

The statistical analyses usually used in epidemiological descriptions do not allow identification and/or quantification of the differences or influences between nearby regions. The development of techniques for mapping the risk of contracting diseases has been the subject of studies by several researchers.6

The objective of the present study was to analyze the spatial patterns of leprosy case occurrences, by mapping the disease and identifying areas with probable transmission risks.

METHODS

An ecological study on the spatial variability of leprosy cases was conducted using municipalities as the analysis unit. Leprosy case notification records for the State of São Paulo from 1991 to 2002 were utilized.

The data analyzed came from the historical series of 12 years of leprosy cases in the State that are held in computerized files at the Epidemiological Surveillance Center of the São Paulo State Health Department (CVE-SP). in accordance with the Leprosy Notification Records of the Sistema de Informação de Agravos de Notificação (Notifiable Disease Information System - SINAN). Cases among children less than one year old were excluded, as were cases that, despite notification in the State of São Paulo, were
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among individuals living in other States. All other cases were included.

Thus, the geostatistical methods were applied to a total of 22,250 cases among individuals living in 606 municipalities in the State of São Paulo.

Geostatistical analysis, a technique for detecting spatial dependence, was utilized. Most transmittable diseases present complex spatial patterns, and this is also the case with leprosy. Nonetheless, quantification of the transmission risk in terms of probabilities can be estimated for non-sampled locations.

One of the functions most utilized in geostatistics for determining the spatial dependence of variables is the semivariance function, which generates a semivariogram. The experimental semivariogram is a graph that expresses the spatial variability between the samples. It is a function that only depends on the vector distance between the pairs of cases sampled. When the distance increases, the semivariogram approaches the total variability of the values sampled. If spatial dependence has been verified by means of the semivariogram, values of the variable under analysis can be estimated by interpolation using kriging and was given by equation 3.

\[ Z^*(\chi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j Z(\chi_j) \]  

(1)

where:

- \( Z^*(\chi_0) \) is the estimated value at the point \( \chi_0 \);
- \( N \) is the number of pairs of measured values \( Z(\chi_j) \) that are involved in the estimate;
- \( \lambda_j \) is the weighting associated with each measured value \( Z(\chi_j) \).

The formatting of the databases at CVE-SP was performed using the SPSS software. The “registration year” and “municipality of residence” were the variables selected for the leprosy cases.

The geostatistical analyses utilized digital-format cartographic bases showing the outline of the State of São Paulo with the geographic locations of its municipalities in the form of latitudes and longitudes. The cases were aggregated and georeferenced at the centroids of the notification municipalities, in accordance with the homes involved. The analysis sequence was as follows. Using the GEO-EAS software, the semivariances and the parameters for the adjusted mathematical model were calculated, with the utilization of the experimental semivariogram given by equation 2. The Gaussian model was adjusted to the semivariogram, and was given by equation 3.

\[ \gamma^*(h) = \frac{1}{2N(h)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(h)} (Z(\chi_i) - Z(\chi_i + h))^2 \]  

(2)

where: \( N(h) \) is the number of pairs of sampled values \( Z(\chi_i), Z(\chi_i + h) \) that are separated by a distance \( h \).

With these calculated values, the model adjusted to the semivariogram was graphically traced out. The condition for adjusting the model to the experimental data was that this model should represent the trend of \( \gamma(h) \) in relation to \( h \), and that \( \gamma(h) = 0 \) for \( h = 0 \). The Gaussian model was adjusted to the semivariogram, and is given by equation 3.

\[ \gamma(h) = c_0 + c \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{3h^2}{d^2} \right) \right]; 0 < h < d \]  

(3)

where: \( d \) is the maximum distance over which the semivariogram is defined.

The following parameters involved in the model were determined: \( (\gamma), (c_0), (c) \).

---

After calculating these values, the interpolation process using kriging was performed by means of the Surfer software, to obtain estimates of the numbers of leprosy cases at non-sampled locations. This enabled the construction of maps showing the risk of leprosy occurrence in the State of São Paulo.

RESULTS

Among the 645 municipalities in the State of São Paulo that existed at the time that was studied, at least one case of leprosy was notified in 606 of them. These were georeferenced in accordance with the homes involved, using latitudes and longitudes, as shown in Figure 1.

The mean age of the cases studied was 43 years (±17); 58% were male and 57% were multibacillary.

Through the geostatistical analysis, the construction of the experimental semivariogram presented the following parameters:

\[ c_0 = 150; \ c = 9000; \ a = 0.55. \]

The span determined extended to 0.55 degrees from the georeferenced coordinates, which corresponded to approximately 60 km.

The values found from equation 2 are shown in the Table.

Figure 2 shows the semivariogram for the leprosy cases and its adjustment by means of the Gaussian model.

The estimates of the semivariances as a function of the distance and the models adjusted to the estimates were displayed graphically to make it possible to view and interpret the spatial variability.

The adjustment of the semivariogram and the interpolation performed by ordinary kriging, with 10,000 interpolated points, made it possible to draw up a contoured map. In terms of probabilities, these express areas of greater or lesser risk (darker and lighter regions of the map, respectively) of the occurrence of cases. Figure 3 shows the contoured map, while Figure 4 shows a surface map.

These results indicated a pattern of concentration of cases on the northeastern, northern and western borders of the State. Nonetheless, the probability that at least 10 cases would occur in practically all parts of the State was noted.

DISCUSSION

One possible limitation of the present study relates to the way in which the information on the leprosy cases were collected. The database utilized is open and decentralized, and several services feed into it, with few control mechanisms for data consistency. Thus, it is difficult to evaluate whether there might have been data duplication.

Diagnostic errors may have occurred, since leprosy is a complex disease and its differential diagnosis covers many other dis-
cases. Bacilloscopy is often not performed, and the dermatological-neurological assessment becomes the criterion for diagnostic confirmation.

The data on cases detected among children less than one year were withdrawn from the analysis, because of the possibility of error in this information. This is because leprosy is a disease with a long incubation period and slow evolution and therefore it is infrequent for children of this age group to be affected.

From 1998 onwards, the services and actions relating to leprosy diagnosis, treatment and control were included among the procedures for basic healthcare, i.e. the care for individuals with this disease were brought within municipal administration. Nevertheless, the environmental and social processes that promote or restrict situations of risk to health are not limited to administrative borders.

Nogueira et al9 (1995) reported that there were no records of new cases of leprosy in many municipalities of São Paulo in several years of the period they studied, which they called “silent municipalities”. Taking into account the long latent period for this disease, these municipalities have, in the absence of cases, tended to relax their surveillance and dismantle the specialized health services. Corroborating this hypothesis, Lastória & Putinatti7 (2004) reported finding 18 new cases of leprosy in silent municipalities over a three-year period. It is evident that, in regions where this pattern is observed, specific controls should be instituted with the aim of optimizing the application of public resources.

Opromolla et al11 (2003) reported a case of advanced Virchow’s leprosy in a 61-year-old patient in the municipality of Agudos, in the interior of the State of São Paulo. Although such cases are not so frequent today, they do exist and have importance in conserving the endemic disease, particularly because of the limitations on examining all the patient’s contacts.

In the present study, the northeastern, northern and western borders of the State were identified as areas at high risk, and consequently with greater potential for maintaining the endemic disease.

The northeastern region of the State of São Paulo is an area that historically has had a high concentration of leprosy cases. In the first survey of patients, carried out in 1820, there were 63, 98 and 207 cases in Jacareí, Taubaté and Campinas, respectively.8

The northern and western borders of the State of São Paulo had significant concentrations of cases of the disease, and these have particularly contributed towards the records of cases diagnosed over the last few years in the State. This is related to the relatively recent upsurge in occurrences in the center-west region of Brazil.

Maurano8 (1939) reported that the first leprosy cases possibly entered the State of São Paulo through the Paraíba valley region, from where it disseminated throughout the State, following the routes taken by the settlers.

There are still vast areas at risk, although the dissemination of cases is slower, probably because of a combination between the saturation of susceptible individuals and the various control strategies adopted in the State.

The propagation of leprosy in São Paulo can be explained by the migratory movements in the State. The tendency towards migratory behavior was
associated with the process through which economic development moved into the interior of the State. Between 1980 and 1991, the regions in the eastern part of the State (Campinas, Santos, São José dos Campos and Ribeirão Preto) presented reductions in the rates of migration. On the other hand, while the western and northern regions of the State (Araçatuba, Presidente Prudente and Marília) had presented negative migration rates during the 1970s, the outflow of population diminished during the 1980s. Between 1980 and 1991, the region of São José do Rio Preto, Barretos and Franca had positive rates. In the central regions of the State (Sorocaba and Bauru) the migration levels were maintained.*

Despite the changes in demographic dynamics in these regions throughout the period from 1980 to 1996, the population of the State of São Paulo is in practice concentrated in six regions: the metropolitan regions of São Paulo, Campinas, Sorocaba, São José dos Campos, Santos and São José do Rio Preto. These are precisely the regions where the greatest densities of leprosy cases were observed. More detailed studies using not only epidemiological and operational indicators, but also the socioeconomic conditions in these areas, will probably point towards the peripheries of these large population centers as the places with greatest probability for this disease to occur.

Thus, starting from the presupposition that spatial variability occurs when “nearby points tend towards more similar values than distant points”, the verification of areas with a probability of risk, through using spatial dependence analysis for leprosy cases, may be a valuable tool for controlling leprosy in these regions.
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