Tuberculosis morbidity and effectiveness of the control program in Brazilian municipalities, 2001-2003

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To analyze Brazilian municipalities according to morbidity and effectiveness of epidemiological inspection control of tuberculosis and AIDS.

METHODS: Exploratory analysis of two non-hierarchical data clusters of epidemiological inspection data on tuberculosis and AIDS, and operational indicators of the Programa Nacional de Controle de Tuberculose (National Tuberculosis Control Program), from 2001 to 2003. The distribution was stratified in metropolitan areas and priority municipalities, according to the size of the population. The association between morbidity clusters and effectiveness was evaluated by the Chi-square test, with analysis of residues in order to identify significant associations.

RESULTS: Out of the five morbidity clusters, the concerning epidemiological situation occurs in municipalities with high incidence of AIDS, with high or low incidence of tuberculosis, prevailing in the Southeast and South of Brazil and larger cities. Out of the six program effectiveness clusters, moderate and average effectiveness are significantly associated to priority municipalities, in metropolitan areas with more than 80 thousand inhabitants. Clusters with average and poor effectiveness represent 10% of municipalities with elevated treatment drop out and low rates of cure. The “no data” cluster is associated with the very low incidence of tuberculosis and AIDS cluster.

CONCLUSIONS: The findings reflect inadequacy of inspection concerning the epidemiological reality in Brazil: precarious social factors associated with tuberculosis and AIDS and insufficient effectiveness of the control program.


INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) has a high incidence in Brazil, representing a social and economical burden for health because of its individual and collective harms. From 80,000 to 90,000 cases are recorded in Brazil annually, since 1980, corresponding to an average incidence of 45.2 cases per each 100,000 inhabitants in 2003.* There is no perspective to eliminate TB due to the impact caused by the AIDS pandemic, which already manifests itself in Brazil as an important TB predictor.
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Although there are studies on the assessment of TB control around the world,\textsuperscript{17,18} there is a lack of information in Brazil\textsuperscript{19} and its municipalities\textsuperscript{3,8} pertaining the performance in the PNCT. The organization of the Sistema Único de Saúde (National Health System – SUS) itself requires one assessment per municipality. This national and local knowledge may be used to guide and contribute to the decision-making about TB control policy in order to direct efforts to municipalities with greater risk to the collectivity or wherever the program’s operational situation is beyond the established goals.

The analysis of the performance of municipalities in the PNCT is necessary, because the measurement by means of indicators expresses, by approximation, the program’s situation. Such method of approach may be incorporated in the assessment of the health program, which consists in a judgment of value about an intervention, with the goal of contributing to decision-making,\textsuperscript{2} and involving information on the characteristics, activities, processes and results\textsuperscript{4} regarding the PNCT.

The objective of the present study was to analyze Brazilian municipalities according to epidemiological inspection data on TB and AIDS and the operational performance of the PNCT.

METHODS

Two non-hierarchical clusters were formed according to morbidity and performance, whose number was established by the clustergram from epidemiological inspection data on TB and AIDS and from the PNCT’s operational indicators.

The cluster analysis is exploratory\textsuperscript{6} and aims at the description of observation units according to a classification generated from the data’s own behavior and not defined a priori.

The number of clusters was determined by the inspection of the clustergram,\textsuperscript{21} where groups of morbidity and performance indicators were gathered from one to ten clusters and diagrammed in the clustergram. The cut-off point was defined where two groups start showing instability in partition, blending together. The judgment occurred from the generation of classifiable and epidemiologically interpretable clusters.

Operational indicators from priority and non-priority municipalities, metropolitan and non-metropolitan regions and size of population (municipalities with over 80,000 inhabitants or not) were used, from 2001 to 2003. TB data were obtained in the database of the Sistema de Informação de Agravos de Notificação do Ministério da Saúde (Ministry of Health’s System of Information on Notification Diseases – Sinan-MS). AIDS notifications and population estimate were compiled from the Departamento de Informação e Informática do Sistema Único de Saúde (Department of Information and Computer Sciences - Datasus).

The variables used in the first cluster (morbidity) were average rates of incidence of TB and AIDS per 100,000 inhabitants. The inclusion of AIDS in this classification is justified by its acknowledged association with TB,\textsuperscript{3} and by its being one of the PNCT’s criteria for classification of priority municipalities.\textsuperscript{20}

In the second cluster (performance), the variables were: proportion of treatment dropout among new closed cases; proportion of cases notified through residence municipality; proportion of cure among new cases with closure information; proportion of cases with closure information among new cases; proportion of cases in supervised treatment (Directly Observed Treatment Strategy – DOTS) among new cases; and proportion of lung cases among new cases that have performed sputum bacilloscopy at the beginning of the treatment. These are the variables traditionally used for the PNCT’s assessment, having estimated values for the states since the 1980’s.\textsuperscript{13} The program as a whole cannot be evaluated based on one single indicator, many should be analyzed jointly.\textsuperscript{16} Therefore, it is said to be possible to capture the reality of the program’s operation with a posteriori classification provided by cluster analysis.
The cluster analysis was accomplished in the Stata software, using a non-hierarchical method of averages \((kmeans)\). Clusters were defined based on the similarity among municipalities, measured by Euclidean distance among variables, discriminating more similar municipalities in the groups and more heterogeneous municipalities among groups.\(^1\)

The first grouping had its process initiated from municipal units randomly defined as centroid \((krandom)\), which is more adequate for data with great variability, such as the incidence of TB and AIDS. The second grouping used random partitions in the group of municipalities \((prandom)\); considering that variables have the same dimension and can only vary from zero to one hundred, groupings produce less discrepancy. For both cases, a seed was determined so as to enable the reproducibility of the random process. There were 2,256 municipalities that were included in the second grouping due to lack of data and, for this reason, were classified in the group of missing data.

The values of indicators were treated as a population of numbers in a multidimensional space. The algorithm for cluster definition tried to maximize the distance among clusters in this space. The analysis considers the distribution of these values to classify each municipality in the closest group in the multidimensional space defined by the variables used.

The municipality clusters were presented on thematic maps.

To better understand the municipality classification in each cluster, it was also compared: the distribution by clusters of municipalities that belonged to metropolitan regions or not; whether they were priority or non-priority municipalities; and, according to the size of population, whether they were municipalities with over 80,000 inhabitants or not. The Chi-square test \((\chi^2)\) was used to identify association among these municipality categories and their distribution by cluster, as well as the association between morbidity and performance clusters. Next, standardized residues from the contingency table were used according to Pereira;\(^1\) general chi-square indicates if the clusters distribution is dependent, and the standardized residues show the characteristic patterns of each category of each variable, according to the excess or lack of occurrences of its combination with each category of the other variable, enabling conclusions about the significance of associations.

The significance level adopted was 5% for the association among variables in the \(\chi^2\) test and in the analysis of residues. This significance level for the excess of occurrences corresponds to the residue with positive value over 1.96.

**RESULTS**

The average incidence rate of TB among all municipalities was 19.19/100,000 inhabitants, and the average incidence rate of AIDS, 4.61/100,000.

Five municipality clusters were defined for TB and AIDS morbidity indicators, shown on Table 1. This table shows the distributions of all municipalities in clusters and their stratification by priority municipalities, metropolitan regions, and size of population. There was association between cluster classification and the priority municipality \((\chi^2=856.70; \ p<0.001)\), metropolitan region \((\chi^2=163.10; \ p<0.001)\) and size of population variables \((\chi^2=515.11; \ p<0.001)\). The standardized residues show the excesses concentrated in clusters 1, 2 e 3.

Figure 1-A shows the spatial distribution of municipalities according to morbidity clusters. A greater prevalence of cluster 1 is observed in the southeast region of Brazil, especially in the state of São Paulo, and in the south of Brazil, with a prevalence in the state of Santa Catarina; cluster 2 is more expressive in the north and center-west regions; cluster 3 stands out in the north (in the states of Amazonas and Pará), and center-west regions (in the states of Mato Grosso and Mato Grosso do Sul); cluster 4 is prevalent in the northeast region (in the states of Ceará, Pernambuco, and Sergipe); and, cluster 5, in the south region, though it is also present in many municipalities of the northeast region.

In the second grouping five clusters were defined, including one inputted \textit{ad hoc} due to the predominance of missing data, totaling six groups. Table 1 shows the number of municipalities per cluster, averages and standard-error (SE) of percentages of performance indicators. Clusters were classified based on their epidemiological characteristics, according to the averages shown in performance indicators (Table 2); cluster 1 – good, presenting low proportion of dropout, high rate of cure, high closure information, high bacilloscopy and high DOTS; cluster 2 – good, with low DOTS: differs from cluster 1 for presenting the lowest average proportion of DOTS among all clusters; cluster 3 – average, with a low proportion of dropout, elevated cure, low closure information, low bacilloscopy, and average DOTS; cluster 4 – below average, with quite elevated proportion of dropout, low rate of cure, low closure information, low bacilloscopy, and average DOTS; cluster 5 – poor, showing very high dropout rate, very low rate of cure, low closure information, low bacilloscopy, and average DOTS; cluster 6 – no data due to lack of data in all performance indicators, or only in indicators of treatment result.

The contingency among performance clusters and priority municipalities, metropolitan regions, and municipa-
Table 3 shows the distribution of PNCT performance and morbidity clusters, with statistically significant differences ($\chi^2=1.924$, $p<0.001$), indicating that the PNCT’s performance and morbidity are dependent. The analysis of standardized residues allowed to characterize the significant associations, as it is shown on Table 3, where the residues with positive value over 1.96 are highlighted in bold, corresponding to the significance level for the excess of occurrences among the categories.

DISCUSSION

Data were analyzed, considering the limitations of a uniquely ecological study with secondary data. Studies based on secondary data reflect the deficiencies of the information systems that generate them, which may result in the inclusion of biased information and false conclusions. In this study, the lack of information itself was incorporated under the aspect of operational indicator, whose interpretation of results took into account the possibility of sub-registry of cases. It was also taken into account the fact that the assessment only with the result and process components excludes the aspect of program structure; though not compromising the judgment of the analysis results.
From the PNCT’s epidemiological and operational assessment perspective, the classification in interpretable clusters enables the detection of risks and operational problems across the country. This strategy differs from the restrict assessment and action in a small subgroup of municipalities, with an important proportion of number of notified cases and national population. The structure of the SUS under municipal administration imposes assessment strategies on municipal health departments in relation to the planning and evaluation of their activities. When the SUS, on a national basis, has the responsibility of giving an answer to each of the 5,561 Brazilian municipalities, the most productive approach is that of exploratory analysis, which is not of a statistic nature, nor does it seek to discuss determinations or causality. Therefore, this analysis does not take into account either the variance of dependent indicators of the municipal population size, or the determination of the phenomena studied (association between morbidity and socio-economic factors or the age distribution of the population).

Results discriminated Brazilian municipalities well, enabling the PNCT’s diagnosis in these locations and raising possible explanations for the situation of the program in Brazil.

The first morbidity cluster has the risk of increasing TB incidence, because AIDS is the most powerful, well-known factor for the development of TB. In municipalities where both diseases have high incidence rates, there is epidemiological gravity, offering a greater risk to the population due to the presence of an infection source, as well as a greater demand for inspection actions by healthcare services.

On the other hand, in cluster 5 – very low TB and AIDS – it is suggested the possibility that this is a mixture of municipalities with bad quality inspection with those who really have low TB and AIDS, leading to the supposition that the identified rate is possibly being underestimated. This is corroborated by the existence of a great proportion of municipalities with poor performance and with no data, and in this last case the association is more important.

It was observed that there are municipalities with good performance, regardless of DOTS implementation. Moreover, the elevated proportion of patients treated with this strategy is present in small municipalities, non-priority and not belonging to metropolitan regions. The municipalities classified as good with low DOTS

### Table 1. Distribution of municipalities in tuberculosis morbidity and performance clusters, according to priority municipalities and metropolitan regions with over 80,000 inhabitants. Brazil, 2001-2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>All municipalities (Average incidence rate of TB/AIDS per 100,000 inhabitants)</th>
<th>Priority municipalities N (RP)</th>
<th>Municipalities of metropolitan regions N (RP)</th>
<th>Municipalities with over 80,000 inhabitants N (RP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Morbidity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - Low TB and high AIDS</td>
<td>381 (14.55/20.66)</td>
<td>37 (3.54)</td>
<td>60 (7.0)</td>
<td>56 (7.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - High TB and AIDS</td>
<td>87 (133.26/16.48)</td>
<td>38 (15.46)</td>
<td>25 (8.05)</td>
<td>27 (10.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Average TB and AIDS</td>
<td>623 (53.14/7.94)</td>
<td>158 (22.57)</td>
<td>77 (5.62)</td>
<td>119 (15.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Below average TB and low AIDS</td>
<td>1683 (25.10/3.47)</td>
<td>75 (-2.57)</td>
<td>89 (-3.23)</td>
<td>95 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Very low TB and AIDS</td>
<td>2787 (5.11/1.99)</td>
<td>7 (-17.50)</td>
<td>136 (-6.11)</td>
<td>15 (-16.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi^2 ) (p-value)</td>
<td>856.70 (&lt;= 0.001)</td>
<td>163.10 (&lt;= 0.001)</td>
<td>515.11 (&lt;= 0.001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - Good</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>8 (-3.60)</td>
<td>10 (-3.98)</td>
<td>4 (-4.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Good with low DOTS</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>37 (-1.61)</td>
<td>47 (-1.57)</td>
<td>41 (-0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Average</td>
<td>1409</td>
<td>216 (18.16)</td>
<td>159 (7.38)</td>
<td>212 (17.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Below average</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>52 (4.02)</td>
<td>59 (3.63)</td>
<td>50 (3.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Poor</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0 (-2.22)</td>
<td>2 (-1.60)</td>
<td>0 (-2.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - No data</td>
<td>2256</td>
<td>2 (-14.86)</td>
<td>110 (-5.04)</td>
<td>5 (-14.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi^2 ) (p-value)</td>
<td>411.16 (&lt;= 0.001)</td>
<td>86.91 (&lt;= 0.001)</td>
<td>396.55 (&lt;= 0.001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Sistema de Informação de Agravos de Notificações do Ministério da Saúde (Ministry of Health’s System of Information on Notification Diseases – Sinan)

RP = Standardized residues

Observation: Residues with positive values over 1.96 are marked in bold, corresponding to the significance level for the excess of occurrences among categories.

DOTS: Directly Observed Treatment Strategy
have the lowest average of DOTS proportion (8.5%) among all clusters, even inferior to Brazil’s national average (19.6%). Without a doubt, there is TB control program working with good performance, regardless of the adoption of the DOTS. This is the only difference between performance clusters 1 and 2, which, except for the outcome proportion, can reach the minimum required for the good functioning of the program.

In 1998, the DOTS strategy was introduced in the PNCT, and in 1999 it was present in 288 municipalities. After five years, it was pointed out as a reality not consolidated in Brazil. The DOTS average proportion in Brazil is only 20%, arousing the need to assess the reasons for its restricted adoption, as it has a positive impact on TB control in other countries. The adoption of this strategy has been recommended in some studies in Brazil. Among explanatory hypotheses that must be evaluated, the following stand out: obstacles to the uniformity and guidance of the national policy of implementation, use and assessment of the DOTS; obstacles to the strategy implementation, especially in large municipalities; capacity of administrators; difficulties

| Table 2. Average of indicators according to performance clusters of the Programa de Nacional Controle da Tuberculose (National Program of Tuberculosis Control), 2001-2003. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Performance clusters            | Dropout Proportion | Proportion of Notified Cases/Municipality of Residence | Proportion of cure | Proportion of Closure | Proportion with bacilloscopy | DOTS proportion |
|                                | Average (SE) | Average (SE) | Average (SE) | Average (SE) | Average (SE) | Average (SE) |
| 1 – Good                        | 4.85 (0.53)  | 97.80 (0.35) | 84.37 (1.10) | 69.15 (1.09) | 93.33 (0.44) | 70.34 (1.00) |
| 2 – Good with low DOTS          | 4.35 (0.28)  | 95.25 (0.47) | 87.69 (0.48) | 74.68 (0.61) | 92.70 (0.30) | 8.49 (0.40)  |
| 3 – Average                     | 4.01 (0.17)  | 94.68 (0.28) | 89.36 (0.31) | 34.06 (0.34) | 69.96 (0.43) | 12.92 (0.39) |
| 4 – Below average               | 15.24 (0.72) | 93.12 (0.60) | 42.00 (1.00) | 42.62 (0.79) | 75.87 (0.76) | 15.47 (0.72) |
| 5 – Poor                        | 88.90 (2.10) | 95.75 (1.85) | 3.91 (1.12)  | 43.71 (3.14) | 79.26 (2.40) | 22.74 (3.34) |
| 6 – Without data                | -             | 97.11 (0.40) | -             | -             | 64.69 (1.22) | 18.19 (0.99) |
| Total                           | 8.16 (0.29)  | 95.51 (0.18) | 78.28 (0.46) | 38.30 (0.46) | 76.26 (0.39) | 19.59 (0.41) |

Source: Sinan
SE: Standard-Error

| Table 3. Distribution of performance and morbidity clusters of the Programa Nacional de Controle da Tuberculose (National Program of Tuberculosis Control), according to number, percentage and standardized residue, Brazil – 2001-2003. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Morbidity Clusters              | Performance Clusters            | N (%) | RP | N (%) | RP | N (%) | RP | N (%) | RP | N (%) | RP | N (%) | RP |
| 1. Low TB and high AIDS         | 1 – Good low DOTS               | 10 (2.6) | 3.91 | 64 (16.8) | 1.08 | 128 (33.6) | 3.84 | 50 (13.1) | 2.17 | 124 (32.6) | 3.30 | 381 (100) |
| 2. High TB and AIDS             | 2 (2.3) | -1.93 | 15 (17.2) | 0.62 | 45 (51.7) | 5.70 | 21 (24.1) | 4.47 | 1 (1.2) | -0.24 | 3 (3.5) | -7.10 | 87 (100) |
| 3. Average TB and AIDS          | 43 (6.9) | -0.91 | 132 (21.2) | 4.69 | 314 (50.4) | 15.26 | 96 (15.4) | 4.86 | 5 (0.8) | -1.44 | 33 (5.3) | -19.03 | 623 (100) |
| 4. Below average TB and AIDS    | 181 (10.8) | 5.36 | 349 (20.7) | 8.07 | 667 (39.6) | 16.14 | 236 (14.0) | 6.73 | 31 (1.8) | 1.58 | 219 (13.0) | -27.57 | 1,683 (100) |
| 5. Very low TB and AIDS         | 199 (7.1) | -1.90 | 268 (9.6) | -11.07 | 255 (9.2) | -27.82 | 149 (5.4) | -11.45 | 39 (1.4) | -0.36 | 1,877 (67.4) | 40.77 | 2,787 (100) |
| Total N (%)                      | 435 (7.8) | 828 (14.9) | 1,409 (25.3) | 552 (9.9) | 81 (1.5) | 2,256 (40.6) | 5,561 (100) |

Source: Sinan
RP = Standardized residues
Observation: Residues with positive values over 1.96 are marked in bold, corresponding to the significance level for the excess of occurrences among categories.

\( \chi^2 = 1.924 \) (p<0.001)
to get the resources for its implementation. How well the DOTS can be executed in metropolitan regions is something that should be questioned; regions where there are long distances and patients are not excused from work daily in order to receive supervised treatment. Therefore, issues related to the patients should also be considered when having the DOTS in mind.23

In the group formed by average, below average and poor performances, 37% of municipalities were classified in these clusters, whose mapping does not show a regional pattern of agglomeration, indicating that the phenomenon happens throughout Brazil indistinctly. These municipalities correspond to 59.8% of TB notified cases and 74% of the Brazilian population.

As the proportion of large and priority municipalities is predominant in the average performance, the relation between resources to the SUS and the priority designated to this group of municipalities in the last ten years stands out. It is observed that the main problem is the outcome information of cases, which is smaller in all clusters. Furthermore, the proportion of cases that had sputum bacilloscopy does not reach 70%, and, as it seems, the DOTS is not a priority in these locations. Standardized residues indicate their importance in priority municipalities, metropolitan regions, and those with more than 80,000 inhabitants.

It is possible that the low outcome information reveals problems of completion of data, because the proportion of cure is high. Nevertheless, the bacilloscopy proportion indicates problems that are beyond the information system. It is possible that TB patients are being treated without meeting the rules of the program. The study of other indicators, such as those regarding the program structure, might contribute to discriminate the situation of municipalities with average performance.

The PNCT’s worst situation is evident in cluster 6 – with no data, with a higher incidence in small municipalities. This information arouses the necessity for attention to these municipalities, which present the lowest program operational capacity and, therefore, need to have its program reinforced.

The association of very low morbidity of TB and AIDS with performance in the cluster with no data (Table 3) shows strong connection, according to standardized residues. This points to notification problems as well as the capacity of municipalities to follow cases being treated, as the situation of missing data is concentrated in the majority of them.

It was observed that clusters of greater risk morbidity (low TB and high AIDS, and high TB and AIDS – clusters 1 and 2) are strongly associated to average and below average performances (clusters 3 and 4), revealing inadequacy of inspection actions towards the epidemiological reality. For the problem under study, less morbidity is not necessarily due to the program’s good performance, unlike what happens in immunopreventive diseases. For these, the result of decrease in morbidity evidences adequate performance in the execution of immunization.

Epidemiological inspection has the role of recommending and implementing actions that lead to the prevention and control of the disease. It should take into consideration the fact that morbidity by transmissible diseases is heavily influenced by the operational process of healthcare services.14

The cluster with below average performance is associated to priority municipalities, metropolitan regions and those with more than 80,000 inhabitants, as well as to almost all other morbidity clusters, except for too low TB and AIDS. A high dropout average proportion (15.2%), greater than the national average (8.16%), is observed in this cluster. However, the Ministério da Saúde (Ministry of Health) considers a dropout rate below 5% as an adequate assessment of the program.* The decrease in treatment dropout is an important goal for the program, considered to be one of the most serious problems concerning TB control. That is because it involves the persistence of infection source, the increase in mortality and relapse rates, besides promoting the development of resistant bacillus strains.11

The present analysis shows that the PNCT is not adequate to either the small municipalities or the priority ones. Although the priority ones receive more input, they respond unsatisfactorily to the program’s inspection needs.

The assistance to TB patients by a specific doctor makes the program’s efficiency unfeasible in small municipalities, something that can explain the great proportion of poor and no data clusters. Nonetheless, clusters with good and good with low DOTS consist of small municipalities (431 and 787 municipalities respectively). It is possible that the DOTS strategy in these regions is more effective, considering that it can use all healthcare staff in its implementation. In addition, the greater integration of the entire TB program in the primary attention to health makes it possible to deal with the TB problem as a whole and, consequently, improve the actions of the program.

A deepened analysis with a qualitative approach in performance clusters 1 and 2, focused on municipalities

with different sizes of population, might propitiate the identification of the conditioning factors for the PNCT’s good performance in these regions. The qualitative analysis will make it possible to identify what causes municipalities in clusters 1 and 2 to have a good performance, even without using the DOTS and vice-versa. In this manner, federal recommendations can be adopted, contributing to the improvement of municipalities with inadequate performance.

Regional differences express the reality of states and municipalities. Those are extremely serious situations, represented by extremely high incidence rate of TB and explained by precarious social factors associated to TB and AIDS and by insufficient intervention of the control program.

As the TB-AIDS pattern differs from region to region, it requires associated approaches to notification as well as epidemiological inspection of cases. For this reason, it is suggested in additional studies, as regards the identification of causal or related factors that cause many municipalities not to have a good performance in the implementation of the PNCT. That is because, among other factors, the elevated morbidity in Brazil reflects the level of quality of the program.
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