

## Adverse events of vaccines and the consequences of non-vaccination: a critical review

Luana Raposo de Melo Moraes Aps<sup>1</sup>, Marco Aurélio Floriano Piantola<sup>1</sup>, Sara Araujo Pereira<sup>1</sup>, Julia Tavares de Castro<sup>2</sup>, Fernanda Ayane de Oliveira Santos<sup>3</sup>, Luís Carlos de Souza Ferreira<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade de São Paulo. Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas. Departamento de Microbiologia. São Paulo, SP, Brasil

<sup>2</sup> Instituto Butantan. Centro de Biotecnologia. São Paulo, SP, Brasil

<sup>3</sup> Instituto Adolfo Lutz. Centro de Imunologia. São Paulo, SP, Brasil

### ABSTRACT

**OBJECTIVE:** To analyze the risks related to vaccines and the impacts of non-vaccination on the world population.

**METHODS:** This is a narrative review that has considered information present in the bibliographic databases NCBI-PubMed, Medline, Lilacs, and Scientific Electronic Library Online (SciELO), between November 2015 and November 2016. For the analysis of outbreaks caused by non-vaccination, we considered the work published between 2010 and 2016.

**RESULTS:** We have described the main components of the vaccines offered by the Brazilian public health system and the adverse events associated with these elements. Except for local inflammatory reactions and rare events, such as exacerbation of autoimmune diseases and allergies, no causal relationship has been demonstrated between the administration of vaccines and autism, Alzheimer's disease, or narcolepsy. On the other hand, the lack of information and the dissemination of non-scientific information have contributed to the reemergence of infectious diseases in several countries in the world and they jeopardize global plans for the eradication of these diseases.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The population should be well informed about the benefits of vaccination and health professionals should assume the role of disseminating truthful information with scientific support on the subject, as an ethical and professional commitment to society.

**DESCRIPTORS:** Vaccination, utilization. Vaccination, adverse effects. Vaccines. Immunization. Adjuvants, Immunologic, contraindications. Risk Factors. Communicable Disease Control.

### Correspondence:

Luana Raposo de Melo Moraes Aps  
Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes, 1374  
Cidade Universitária  
05508-000 São Paulo, SP, Brasil  
E-mail: lu.mmoraes@usp.br

**Received:** Nov 29, 2016

**Approved:** Apr 28, 2017

**How to cite:** Aps LRMM, Piantola MAF, Pereira SA, Castro JT, Santos FAO, Ferreira LCS. Adverse events of vaccines and the consequences of non-vaccination: a critical review. Rev Saude Publica. 2018;52:40.

**Copyright:** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original author and source are credited.



## INTRODUCTION

The first vaccine was discovered by Edward Jenner in 1796, after 20 years of studies and experiments with cowpox, giving rise to the terms vaccine and vaccination (derived from the Latin term for cow, *vacca*). In eighteenth-century England, smallpox accounted for approximately 10% of total deaths, and one-third of these deaths were among children. Classified as one of the most devastating diseases in the history of mankind, smallpox was considered eradicated by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 1980, following the implementation of a world-wide mass vaccination program<sup>1</sup>.

Despite the notable relevance in eradicating or controlling various infectious diseases, vaccines are often related to questioning and criticism about the adverse effects. They have also been involved in some tragic events in the pharmaceutical industry. The biggest one occurred in 1955, after failure in the manufacturing process of the inactivated poliomyelitis vaccine<sup>2</sup>. Other episodes were recorded involving specific components of the BCG (*Bacillus Calmette-Guérin*), MMR (measles, mumps, and rubella), rotavirus<sup>3</sup>, oral polio<sup>4</sup>, and cell pertussis<sup>5</sup> vaccines. Because of such events, efforts have been made to ensure greater safety in the manufacture and use of vaccines and they have definitely solved problems such as those mentioned above. The inactivated formulation (known as Salk or IPV) is currently administered in children up to four months. Because they contain dead viruses, they avoid the serious adverse effects observed with the attenuated virus formulation (OPV). Another example is the pertussis vaccine (present in the DTaP vaccine – diphtheria, tetanus, and acellular pertussis), which has undergone modifications to replace the cell pertussis vaccine, related to serious adverse events in the 1970s<sup>6</sup>.

The creation of the National Immunization Program (PNI), in 1973, by determination of the Ministry of Health was a major step for the public health in Brazil. Currently, 19 vaccines recommended by the WHO are offered free of charge in the Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS) and they benefit all age groups, following a national vaccination schedule<sup>7</sup>. In order to coordinate immunization actions, the program ensured the continuity of doses (compliance with schedule) and expanded the coverage area in Brazil<sup>8</sup>, reaching averages above 95% of vaccine coverage for the immunization schedule of children<sup>9</sup>. Some important results are the elimination of poliomyelitis and the sustained transmission of measles and rubella in the country<sup>9</sup>.

However, despite the impact on reducing cases and deaths from vaccine-preventable diseases, anti-vaccination movements are increasingly frequent and persuasive. These movements use strategies such as distortion and dissemination of false information that, claiming to have a scientific basis, question the efficacy and safety of various vaccines<sup>10</sup>. Most of them relate vaccines, such as the MMR, adjuvants, and the thimerosal preservative to the occurrence of autism in children. A temporal association is sought, mainly because the disease is diagnosed after the application of most vaccines present in the immunization schedule of the child, without necessarily having a causal relationship. Another example of an association without a causal relationship recently reported in the media was the occurrence of cases of temporary paralysis following immunization with the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine.

Vaccines are rigorously tested and monitored by their manufacturers and the health systems of the countries where they are applied. The licensing and marketing of vaccines occur only after approval by specific regulatory agencies and careful, costly, and time-consuming clinical trials (phase I, II, and III) with accredited volunteers. Phase IV occurs only after approval of the commercialization of the product and its main objective is to detect adverse events not registered in the previous phases, the so-called Adverse Events Following Immunization (AEFI). The WHO recommended the surveillance of AEFI in 1991, and the National System for the Surveillance of Adverse Events Following Immunization (VEAPV) was structured in Brazil in 1992. In addition, the National Institute for Quality Control in Health (INCQS), directly linked to the National Health Surveillance System, ensures the quality of the immunobiologics distributed, whose rejection rates are less than 1%<sup>4</sup>.

A quick search with the term “anti-vaccination” in one of the largest social networks currently used pointed to 20 pages and 17 groups related to anti-vaccination movements, with almost 15,000 followers in one of them. The same term was applied to the largest web search engine, which had more than six million results, including blogs and communities that support non-vaccination. This shows the need to clarify the population about the importance of vaccines and the danger posed by non-vaccination.

This study aimed to evaluate the possible risks associated with the vaccines in use in Brazil.

## METHODS

This is a study based on literature searches on the investigation of the risks associated with vaccination and outbreaks triggered by the practice of non-vaccination and related subjects. This is a narrative review, which considered information present in the following bibliographic databases: PubMed, Medline, Lilacs, and Scientific Electronic Library Online (SciELO). Regarding the investigation of the risks associated with vaccines and their components, the search was carried out between November 2015 and November 2016 using the descriptors: PVAE, adverse events, vaccine, vaccination, and terms related to a disease, vaccine, or specific component, such as autism, syndrome, HPV vaccine, MMR vaccine, thimerosal. The same terms, in Portuguese, were also used: “*AEFT*”, “*eventos adversos*”, “*vacina*”, “*vacinação*”, “*autismo*”, “*síndrome*”, “*vacina de HPV*”, “*vacina tríplice viral*”, “*timerosal*”. For the analysis of outbreaks caused by non-vaccination, we searched the NCBI-PubMed platform with terms related to diseases with available vaccination, for example measles and mumps, added to the terms “outbreak” and “unvaccinated”. The results were selected after reading the summary of the articles and we considered only articles that showed a clear relation between non-vaccination events and outbreaks or epidemics of the disease, published between 2010 and 2016. We carried out a survey on the subject using the terms “anti-vaccine movement”, “vaccine hesitancy”, “vaccine refusal”, and “non-vaccination” added or not to the term “outbreak”. We excluded articles, letters, abstracts, or dissertations in other languages besides Portuguese, English, or Spanish.

## RESULTS

### Risks Associated with Immunization

Most of the news linked in informal social media and some published works have presented or suggested autism or Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) as one of the main diseases attributed to the practice of vaccination, mainly for the measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine. However, the Brazilian Health Surveillance Agency (ANVISA), as well as the American Food and Drug Administration (FDA) have not shown any association between vaccines and the increase of cases of autism in the population<sup>2,11-13</sup>.

Adjuvants, often found in vaccine formulations, may also be associated with the onset of adverse reactions and events. Among the adjuvants used in the production of vaccines, we can mention mineral salts (aluminum salts and calcium salts), microbial derivatives such as, Monophosphoryl Lipid A (MPL) and oil-in-water emulsions using squalene as main compound (AS03 and MF59)<sup>14</sup>. The administration of these compounds may lead to adverse reactions<sup>8</sup>, such as local inflammatory reactions and, much less frequently, systemic effects, such as the exacerbation of autoimmune diseases and allergies. In addition, other diseases have been investigated regarding the causal relationship with adjuvants<sup>15</sup>. We highlight the reactions to aluminum salts, such as allergies and ASIA (Autoimmune/Inflammatory Syndrome Induced by Adjuvants)<sup>15,16</sup>, macrophagic myofasciitis<sup>15,17</sup>, and neurological diseases, such as Alzheimer<sup>18</sup> and syndromes included in the ASD<sup>18</sup>. However, no correlation has been reported in the scientific analyses for any of them. In addition to aluminum salts, we also highlight diseases

related to squalene, present in the pandemic and seasonal influenza vaccines, such as Gulf War Syndrome<sup>15,18</sup> and Narcolepsy<sup>19,20</sup> (Table 1), but no causal relationship has been found.

In addition to the adjuvants, other vaccine components, such as stabilizers and preservatives, may be related to different adverse events (Table 1). The main examples are: albumin and gelatin (proteins used as stabilizers); antibiotics, commonly used during the early stages of vaccine preparation and often associated with allergic reactions; and formaldehyde, which in liquid form, is used in the initial stages of some vaccines as an inactivating agent for toxins or viral particles. Formaldehyde has been linked to some adverse events such as eczema and even cancer. However, studies evaluating the association of cancer with the use of formaldehyde have proved the association after exposure to large amounts or frequent exposure, that is, under conditions that do not apply to vaccines<sup>29,30</sup>. Egg proteins may also be present in very low amounts in some vaccines that use viruses grown in embryonated eggs, such as the influenza vaccine. These proteins may trigger an allergic response in persons intolerant to this component<sup>31,32</sup>.

Used as a preservative in some licensed vaccines, thimerosal is an organic compound based on mercury that has also been involved in controversial issues about vaccine safety. The association between autism, mercury, and vaccines came with the publication of a paper in 1998 by the English physician Andrew Wakefield in one of the most important scientific journals<sup>33</sup>. In this study, the authors pointed out symptoms, such as intestinal disorders and developmental delay in twelve children evaluated, and behavioral changes (including autism) in nine of them. In 2010, after a court decision, the article was fully removed after it was discovered the presence of false information in the study<sup>34</sup>, as well as payment agreements involving the researcher and attorneys in compensation cases for vaccine damages. Some studies have also shown that the dose of mercury normally ingested by an individual in the diet is much higher than the amount present in vaccines<sup>35–38</sup>. To date, no regulatory agency has actually proved the association between these diseases and the preservative.

In general, the occurrence of hypersensitivity reactions depends on the susceptibility, which makes the individual predisposed to its occurrence. Thus, the administration of certain vaccines is contraindicated in patients with a history of anaphylactic reaction to milk, eggs, or any other component that is present in a particular formulation. There is also evidence that some adverse events are the result of genetic factors, such as influenza vaccine-related narcolepsy (with squalene and alpha-tocopherol as adjuvant). Other adverse events are considered as idiosyncratic, that is, dependent on individual factors<sup>8</sup>.

**Table 1.** Adverse events associated with the use of vaccine adjuvants.

Adjuvant	Vaccine	Adverse events studied	References
Aluminum salts	DPT Pentavalent Hepatitis A and B Meningococcal	Macrophagic myofasciitis, Alzheimer, and ASD	17,21
	HPV	Postural Orthostatic Tachycardia Syndrome (POTS), Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS)	22–25
Squalene – MF59	HIV; Herpes zoster; Cytomegalovirus; Influenza	Gulf War Syndrome	8,26
Squalene – AS03	Influenza	Narcolepsy Paresthesia	8,27,28

DPT: diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis; HPV: human papillomavirus; ASD: Autism Spectrum Disorder

Recently, prophylactic vaccines against HPV infection gained space in the media for possible involvement with the Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS) and Postural Orthostatic Tachycardia Syndrome (POTS). The GBS is an autoimmune disease that causes damage to the nervous system and causes tingling sensation, muscle weakness, and even paralysis (Table 2). In general, it manifests itself following vaccination with formulations containing viral vectors<sup>4</sup> or, in this case, VLP (Viral-Like Particles) used in the currently marketed HPV vaccines. The POTS is also a syndrome that causes nerve damage, but it causes slightly milder symptoms such as palpitations, malaise, and dizziness (Table 2). In fact, some studies have shown a temporal association between vaccines and GBS/POTS<sup>24,25</sup>. However, the WHO reports that no serious adverse events have been reported even after its application to millions of people and that the occurrence of GBS in vaccinated persons has a frequency similar to cases of disease with an unknown cause<sup>41</sup>. The marketing of these vaccines continues to be released by ANVISA and the FDA, and any adverse effects must be reported to health agencies or to those responsible for the distribution and administration of the vaccines.

Another vaccine formulation that deserves attention in relation to the possible occurrence of adverse events is the dengue vaccine, recently licensed for use in Brazil. Known as “CYD-TDV” or “Dengvaxia”, the vaccine is based on four attenuated virus strains of chimeric composition, that is, it consists of the yellow fever virus expressing envelope proteins of four DENV serotypes<sup>42</sup>. The formulation was submitted, in parallel, to two phase III clinical studies in Asian and Latin American countries (including Brazil), involving more than 30,000 participants aged between two and fourteen years (study “CYD14”) and nine to sixteen years (study “CYD15”) who received three doses<sup>43,44</sup>. The contraindications stipulated by the manufacturer are the same as those found for most attenuated vaccines and include individuals with allergies to any of the vaccine components (no adjuvants in the formulation), immunosuppressed individuals, and pregnant or lactating women. Local and systemic adverse events following immunization were similar to those reported by other live attenuated vaccines<sup>45</sup>.

However, the group of vaccinated individuals aged two to five years had a higher risk of hospitalization with severe forms of dengue compared to the placebo group of the same age group when infected with the wild virus in tests performed in Asia<sup>44</sup>. This event was observed mainly in the third year after the first dose and it was not observed in other age groups (6–8, 9–11, and 12–16 years). In addition, an overall estimate against all serotypes of the virus resulted in a final efficacy of approximately 60% but an efficacy of 33.7% for the younger group (2–5 years)<sup>45</sup>. Such observations suggest that vaccination may contribute with an exacerbated secondary infection in very young seronegative children – a phenomenon known as ADE (Antibody Dependent Enhancement). In this phenomenon, viral replication is increased in individuals vaccinated or infected with viral strains other than those previously exposed, from the presence of non-neutralizing antibodies. Therefore, the vaccine was only indicated for children over nine years of age.

**Table 2.** Adverse events related to other vaccine components.

Component	Function in the vaccine	Vaccine	Dose limit	Adverse events studied	References
Albumin (recombinant)	Stabilizer	Varicella/MMR	50 ng/dose	Anaphylaxis	8
Antibiotics	To avoid contamination in the manufacturing process	Yellow fever, influenza, polio	NA	Allergic reactions	8
Formaldehyde/Glutaraldehyde	To inactivate toxins or viral particles	Influenza	200 ppm (residual)	Eczema, cancer	8,29
Gelatin	Stabilizer	Yellow fever	10 µL/mL	Allergy, anaphylaxis, hypersensitivity	8,31,39
Egg proteins	Virus cultivation	Yellow fever, influenza	NA	Allergy, anaphylaxis	8,32
Sorbitol	Stabilizer	Yellow fever, HPV, pneumococcal conjugate, polio	NA	Intolerance, allergy	8
Thimerosal	Preservative	DPT, MMR, Hepatitis B, influenza	0.01% or 25 µg Hg/dose	Allergy, ASD	8,35–38,40

NA: Not applicable; ASD: Autism Spectrum Disorder; HPV: human papillomavirus

### Risks Associated With Non-Vaccination

Among the risks related to vaccines, non-vaccination is considered the most important. The deleterious effects associated with the use of vaccines, when present and scientifically proved, occur at a very low frequency and are inexpressive when compared to risks related to non-vaccination. Strategies to stimulate the use of vaccines are traditionally adopted in public health, but they may be insufficient to ensure an increase in vaccine coverage. In this context, a clear understanding of the value of vaccines needs to be kept both in the population and among health professionals<sup>46</sup>. In Brazil, vaccination is mandatory and regulated by federal legislation (Decree 78,231, of August 12, 1976)<sup>47</sup>.

However, the non-vaccination decision is individual and influenced by factors such as public health policies, recommendation of health professionals, media, and factors intrinsic to the individual, such as knowledge and information, past experiences, perception of the importance of vaccination, and moral and religious convictions. These factors are inserted in a historical, political, and social context that should also be considered<sup>48</sup>. However, the decision of the individual does not only affect him or her. The decision not to vaccinate or the persuasion of persons to avoid doing so contributes to reduce population immunity (or herd immunity), which may result in localized outbreaks or pockets of infection in specific groups or populations. This type of situation has assumed worrying proportions, especially since the 1970s and 1980s, when cases of pertussis exponentially increased in developed countries, a disease that is easily controlled with adequate vaccination coverage<sup>49</sup>.

These outbreaks are becoming more frequent and may be related to several factors (Table 3). Most studies report individuals who individually decided not to vaccinate or who traveled or migrated from an environment with high vaccine coverage to another with low vaccine coverage, exposing unvaccinated populations to the pathogen. Because of this phenomenon, some diseases previously controlled by effective vaccination programs, such as measles, have resurfaced in populations from different parts of the world, including Brazil.

**Table 3.** Scientific articles published between 2010-2016, which correlate outbreaks of infectious diseases to unvaccinated individuals and their respective reasons/sources of outbreaks.

Disease	Country of outbreak (year) <sup>a</sup> Outbreak Reason/Source	References
Measles	Qatar (2007) <sup>a</sup> ; China (2010) <sup>a</sup> ; Norway (2011) <sup>a</sup> ; Macedonia (2010–2011) <sup>a</sup> ; Ireland (2009–2010) <sup>b</sup> ; Poland and Greece (2010) <sup>b</sup> ; Serbia (2010–2011) <sup>b</sup> ; Spain (2012) <sup>b</sup> ; Zimbabwe (2010) <sup>c</sup> ; USA (2013) <sup>c</sup> ; Netherlands (2013) <sup>c</sup> ; Spain (2010–2011) <sup>c</sup> ; USA (2009) <sup>d</sup> ; Norway (2011) <sup>d</sup> ; England (2013) <sup>d</sup> ; USA (2011) <sup>e</sup> ; Northern Ireland (2010) <sup>f</sup> ; Spain (2010) <sup>f</sup> ; Israel (2012) <sup>f</sup> ; USA (2011, 2012, 2013) <sup>f</sup> ; England (2008–2009) <sup>f</sup> ; USA (2008, 2011) <sup>g</sup> ; Italy (2008, 2009, 2010) <sup>g</sup> ; Spain (2011) <sup>g</sup> ; China (2013) <sup>h</sup> ; Democratic Republic of Congo (2010) <sup>h</sup> ; Romania (2011) <sup>h</sup> ; France (2008–2011) <sup>h</sup> ; Brazil (2012–2013 <sup>a</sup> , 2013–2015 <sup>h</sup> )	54–86
Mumps	Canada (2008) <sup>g</sup> ; USA (2011) <sup>g</sup> ; Netherlands and Canada (2007–2009) <sup>c</sup> ; USA (2009–2010) <sup>c</sup> ; Bosnia Herzegovina (2010–2011) <sup>i</sup> ; Mongolia (2011) <sup>h</sup>	87–92
Diphtheria	Norway (2008) <sup>g</sup>	93
Rubella	Romania (2011–2012) <sup>g</sup> ; Japan (2012–2013) <sup>g</sup> ; China (2010–2011) <sup>a</sup>	94,95
Pertussis	Brazil (2004, 2007) <sup>h</sup> ; USA (2009–2010) <sup>c</sup> ; USA (2010, 2012) <sup>g</sup> ; Italy (2009) <sup>h</sup> ; Papua New Guinea (2011) <sup>i</sup>	96–102
Yellow fever	Brazil (2008–2009 <sup>h</sup> , 2015 <sup>a</sup> ); Angola and Democratic Republic of Congo (2015–2016) <sup>h</sup>	50–52,103
Poliomyelitis	Somalia (2013) <sup>j</sup>	104

<sup>a</sup> Migration/Immigration of unvaccinated individuals.

<sup>b</sup> Gypsy communities.

<sup>c</sup> Orthodox communities.

<sup>d</sup> Unvaccinated health professionals.

<sup>e</sup> Refugees.

<sup>f</sup> Unvaccinated travelers.

<sup>g</sup> Individuals intentionally unvaccinated.

<sup>h</sup> Vaccination failures/Accumulation of unvaccinated persons.

<sup>i</sup> Armed conflicts.

<sup>j</sup> Geographical isolation.

In relation to yellow fever, more than 100 million persons were vaccinated in West Africa in 2015. However, Angola and the Democratic Republic of Congo had an outbreak of this disease between December 2015 and January 2016. Men accounted for approximately 70% of the cases<sup>50,51</sup>. Studies have related this outbreak to the high population density coupled with the low vaccination coverage of men. In the same year, nine fatal cases of yellow fever were reported, five in Brazil, all in non-vaccinated persons, but in situations with vaccination recommendation (tourism or residents of rural areas)<sup>52</sup>. According to the Center for Emergency Operations in Public Health (COES), 371 cases and 127 deaths were recorded from 2016 to March 2017. It is speculated the outbreak relation with the low vaccination coverage (with the inclusion of non-endemic regions) and some factors such as deforestation and environmental accidents in wildlife habitats, including non-human primate hosts.

Measles has been considered as eliminated in the Americas since 2002, but it has a growing incidence in Brazil and the world, which is a reflection of voluntary non-vaccination. Between 2013 and 2015, more than 1,000 cases were reported only in the states of Pernambuco and Ceará, affecting individuals aged between 15 and 29 years (34%) and infants under one year (27.5%) from the circulation of one virus type from Europe<sup>53,54</sup>. According to the WHO, the estimate is that immunization has prevented more than 20 million deaths between 2000 and 2015 worldwide, making the measles vaccine one of the most effective in public health.

## FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

The risks associated with the use of available vaccines do not justify discontinuation of any available formulation in the market. On the other hand, the risk associated with “non-vaccination” causes growing concerns in several countries. Advertising campaigns, disseminated in social media or even dressed in supposedly “scientific” evidence, contribute to the resurgence of diseases once eradicated in much of the world. In Brazil, in particular, lack of information and dissemination of unfounded information contribute to the reappearance of infectious diseases, such as measles and pertussis. We also highlight the risk associated with non-acceptance of vaccines, such as those involving the prevention of HPV infection, and we can only expect its impacts on mortality if adequate conditions of vaccine administration and coverage are kept. The role of health professionals in disseminating the benefits associated with vaccination is important to ensure the health and quality of life of the population.

## REFERENCES

1. Levi GC, Kallás EG. Varíola, sua prevenção vacinal e ameaça como agente de bioterrorismo. *Rev Assoc Med Bras*. 2002;48(4):357-62. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-42302002000400045>
2. Miller ER, Moro PL, Cano M, Shimabukuro TT. Deaths following vaccination. What does the evidence show? *Vaccine*. 2015;33(29):3288-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.05.023>
3. Murphy TV, Gargiullo PM, Massoudi MS, Nelson DB, Jumaan AO, Okoro CA, et al. Intussusception among infants given an oral rotavirus vaccine. *N Engl J Med*. 2001;344(8):564-72. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200102223440804>
4. Ministério da Saúde (BR), Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde, Departamento de Vigilância das Doenças Transmissíveis. Manual de vigilância epidemiológica de eventos adversos pós-vacinação. 3.ed. Brasília (DF); 2014 [cited 2017 Nov 20]. Available from: [http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/manual\\_vigilancia\\_epidemiologica\\_eventos\\_adversos\\_pos\\_vacinacao.pdf](http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/manual_vigilancia_epidemiologica_eventos_adversos_pos_vacinacao.pdf)
5. Stewart GT. Toxicity of pertussis vaccine: frequency and probability of reactions. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 1979;33(2):150-6. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.33.2.150>
6. Kimura M, Hikino N. Results with a new DTP vaccine in Japan. *Dev Biol Stand*. 1985;61:545-61.
7. Ministério da Saúde (BR). Calendário Nacional de Vacinação. Brasília (DF); 2014 [cited 2017 Nov 20]. Available from: <http://portalsaude.saude.gov.br/index.php/o-ministerio/principal/leia-mais-o-ministerio/197-secretaria-svs/13600-calendario-nacional-de-vacinacao>

8. Ministério da Saúde (BR), Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde, Departamento de Vigilância Epidemiológica. Manual de vigilância epidemiológica de eventos adversos pós-vacinação. 2.ed. Brasília (DF); 2008 [cited 2017 Nov 20]. Available from: [http://bvsvms.saude.gov.br/bvsv/publicacoes/manual\\_pos-vacinacao.pdf](http://bvsvms.saude.gov.br/bvsv/publicacoes/manual_pos-vacinacao.pdf)
9. Ministério da Saúde (BR), Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde. Programa Nacional de Imunizações: aspectos históricos dos calendários de vacinação e avanços dos indicadores de coberturas vacinais, no período de 1980 a 2013. *Bol Epidemiol*. 2015 [cited 2017 Nov 20];46(30):1-13. Available from: <http://portalarquivos.saude.gov.br/images/pdf/2015/outubro/14/besvs-pni-v46-n30.pdf>
10. Kata A. Anti-vaccine activists, Web 2.0, and the postmodern paradigm: an overview of tactics and tropes used online by the anti-vaccination movement. *Vaccine*. 2011;30(25):3778-89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.11.112>
11. Andrews N, Miller E, Waight P, Farrington P, Crowcroft N, Stowe J, et al. Does oral polio vaccine cause intussusception in infants? Evidence from a sequence of three self-controlled cases series studies in the United Kingdom. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2001;17(8):701-6. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015691619745>
12. Farrington CP, Miller E, Taylor B. MMR and autism: further evidence against a causal association. *Vaccine*. 2001;19(27):3632-5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X\(01\)00097-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(01)00097-4)
13. Miller E, Waight P, Farrington CP, Andrews N, Stowe J, Taylor B. Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura and MMR vaccine. *Arch Dis Child*. 2001;84(3):227-9. <https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.84.3.227>
14. O'Hagan DT, De Gregorio E. The path to a successful vaccine adjuvant: 'the long and winding road'. *Drug Discov Today*. 2009;14(11-12):541-51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2009.02.009>
15. Shoenfeld Y, Agmon-Levin N. 'ASIA' - autoimmune/inflammatory syndrome induced by adjuvants. *J Autoimmun*. 2011;36(1):4-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaut.2010.07.003>
16. Batista-Duharte A, Lastre M, Pérez O. Adyuvantes inmunológicos. Determinantes en el balance eficacia-toxicidad de las vacunas contemporáneas. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin*. 2014;32(2):106-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2012.11.012>
17. Becaria A, Campbell A, Bondy SC. Aluminum as a toxicant. *Toxicol Ind Health*. 2002;18(7):309-20. <https://doi.org/10.1191/0748233702th157oa>
18. Israeli E, Agmon-Levin N, Blank M, Shoenfeld Y. Adjuvants and autoimmunity. *Lupus*. 2009;18(13):1217-25. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0961203309345724>
19. Laan JW, Gould S, Tanir JY; ILSI HESI Vaccines and Adjuvants Safety Project Committee. Safety of vaccine adjuvants: focus on autoimmunity. *Vaccine*. 2015;33(13):1507-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.01.073>
20. Guimarães LE, Baker B, Perricone C, Shoenfeld Y. Vaccines, adjuvants and autoimmunity. *Pharmacol Res*. 2015;100:190-209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2015.08.003>
21. Siegrist CA, Godeau P, Canlorbe P, Nezelof C, Paolaggi J, Polonovski J, et al. Les adjuvants vaccinaux et la myofasciite à macrophages. *Bull Acad Natl Med*. 2003 [cited 2017 Nov 20];187(8):1511-21. Available from: <http://www.academie-medecine.fr/publication100035129/>
22. Brinth LS, Pors K, Theibel AC, Mehlsen J. Orthostatic intolerance and postural tachycardia syndrome as suspected adverse effects of vaccination against human papilloma virus. *Vaccine*. 2015;33(22):2602-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.03.098>
23. Martínez-Lavín M, Martínez-Martínez LA, Reyes-Loyola P. HPV vaccination syndrome: a questionnaire-based study. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2015;34(11):1981-3. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-015-3070-3>
24. Tomljenovic L, Colafrancesco S, Perricone C, Shoenfeld Y. Postural orthostatic tachycardia with chronic fatigue after HPV vaccination as part of the "Autoimmune/Auto-inflammatory Syndrome Induced by Adjuvants": case report and literature review. *J Investig Med High Impact Case Rep*. 2014;2(1):2324709614527812. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2324709614527812>
25. Souayah N, Michas-Martin PA, Nasar A, Krivitskaya N, Yacoub HA, Khan H, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome after Gardasil vaccination: data from Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System 2006-2009. *Vaccine*. 2011;29(5):886-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.09.020>
26. Lippi G, Targher G, Franchini M. Vaccination, squalene and anti-squalene antibodies: facts or fiction? *Eur J Intern Med*. 2010;21(2):70-3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2009.12.001>

27. Nohynek H, Jokinen J, Partinen M, Vaarala O, Kirjavainen T, Sundman J, et al. AS03 adjuvanted A/H1N1 vaccine associated with an abrupt increase in the incidence of childhood narcolepsy in Finland. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(3):e33536. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033536>
28. De Serres G, Rouleau I, Skowronski DM, Ouakki M, Lacroix K, Bédard F, et al. Paresthesia and sensory disturbances associated with 2009 pandemic vaccine receipt: clinical features and risk factors. *Vaccine*. 2015;33(36):4464-71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.07.028>
29. Mitkus RJ, Hess MA, Schwartz SL. Pharmacokinetic modeling as an approach to assessing the safety of residual formaldehyde in infant vaccines. *Vaccine*. 2013;31(25):2738-43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.03.071>
30. Ring J. Exacerbation of eczema by formalin-containing hepatitis B vaccine in formaldehyde-allergic patient. *Lancet*. 1986;328(8505):522-3. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(86\)90397-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(86)90397-1)
31. Saito A, Kumagai T, Kojima H, Terai I, Yamanaka T, Wataya Y, et al. A sero-epidemiological survey of gelatin sensitization in young Japanese children during the 1979-1996 period. *Scand J Immunol*. 2005;61(4):376-9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3083.2005.01590.x>
32. Nagao M, Fujisawa T, Ihara T, Kino Y. Highly increased levels of IgE antibodies to vaccine components in children with influenza vaccine-associated anaphylaxis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2016;137(3):861-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2015.08.001>
33. Wakefield AJ, Murch SH, Anthony A, Linnell J, Casson DM, Malik M, et al. Ileal-lymphoid-nodular hyperplasia, non-specific colitis, and pervasive developmental disorder in children: early report. *Lancet*. 1998;351(9103):637-41. Retraction in: The editors of *Lancet*. *Lancet*. 2010;375(9713):445. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(97\)11096-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(97)11096-0)
34. Caplan AL. Retraction: Ileal-lymphoid-nodular hyperplasia, non-specific colitis, and pervasive disorder in children. *Lancet*. 2010;375(9713):445. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)60175-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60175-4)
35. Burbacher TM, Shen DD, Liberato N, Grant KS, Cernichiari E, Clarkson T. Comparison of blood and brain mercury levels in infant monkeys exposed to methylmercury or vaccines containing thimerosal. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2005;113(8):1015-21. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7712>
36. Madsen KM, Hviid A, Vestergaard M, Schendel D, Wohlfahrt J, Thorsen P, et al. A Population-based study of measles, mumps, and rubella vaccination and autism. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;347(19):1477-82. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa021134>
37. Honda H, Shimizu Y, Rutter M. No effect of MMR withdrawal on the incidence of autism: a total population study. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2005;46(6):572-9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2005.01425.x>
38. Bernard S. Association between thimerosal-containing vaccine and autism. *JAMA*. 2004;291(2):180. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.2.180-b>
39. Pool V, Braun MM, Kelso JM, Mootrey G, Chen RT, Yunginger JW, et al. Prevalence of anti-gelatin IgE antibodies in people with anaphylaxis after measles-mumps rubella vaccine in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2002;110(6):e71. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.110.6.e71>
40. Nokleby H. Vaccination and anaphylaxis. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*. 2006;6(1):9-13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-006-0003-x>
41. World Health Organization. Human papillomavirus vaccines: WHO position paper, October 2014. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec*. 2014 [cited 2017 Nov 20];89(43):465-92. Available from: <http://www.who.int/wer/2014/wer8943.pdf>
42. Ferguson NM, Rodríguez-Barraquer I, Dorigatti I, Mier-y-Teran-Romero L, Laydon DJ, Cummings DAT. Benefits and risks of the Sanofi-Pasteur dengue vaccine: modeling optimal deployment. *Science*. 2016;353(6303):1033-6. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf9590>
43. Villar L, Dayan GH, Arredondo-García JL, Rivera DM, Cunha R, Deseda C, et al. Efficacy of a tetravalent dengue vaccine in children in Latin America. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(2):113-23. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1411037>
44. Capeding MR, Tran NH, Hadinegoro SRS, Ismail HIHJM, Chotpitayasunondh T, Chua MN, et al. Clinical efficacy and safety of a novel tetravalent dengue vaccine in healthy children in Asia: a phase 3, randomised, observer-masked, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2014;384(9951):1358-65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)61060-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61060-6)
45. World Health Organization. Dengue vaccine: WHO position paper – July 2016. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec*. 2016 [cited 2017 Nov 20];91(30):349-64. Available from: <http://www.who.int/wer/2016/wer9130.pdf?ua=1>

46. Colgrove J. Vaccine refusal revisited: the limits of public health persuasion and coercion. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(14):1316-7. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1608967>
47. Levi GC. Recusa de vacinas: causas e consequências. São Paulo: Segmento Farma; 2013.
48. Dubé E, Laberge C, Guay M, Bramadat P, Roy R, Bettinger J. Vaccine hesitancy: an overview. *Hum Vaccines Immunother*. 2013;9(8):1763-73. <https://doi.org/10.4161/hv.24657>
49. Gangarosa EJ, Galazka AM, Wolfe CR, Phillips LM, Gangarosa RE, Miller E, et al. Impact of anti-vaccine movements on pertussis control: the untold story. *Lancet*. 1998;351(9099):356-61. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(97\)04334-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(97)04334-1)
50. Marlow MA, Pambasange MA, Francisco C, Receado OD, Soares MJ, Silva S, et al. Notes from the field: knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding yellow fever vaccination among men during an outbreak - Luanda, Angola, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2017;66(4):117-8. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6604a6>
51. Kraemer MUG, Faria NR, Reiner RC Jr, Golding N, Nikolay B, Stasse S, et al. Spread of yellow fever virus outbreak in Angola and the Democratic Republic of the Congo 2015-16: a modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2016;17(3):330-8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(16\)30513-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30513-8)
52. Nishino K, Luce R, Mendez Rico JA, Garnier, Millot V, Garcia E, et al. Yellow fever in Africa and South America, 2015. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec*. 2016 [cited 2017 Nov 20];91(32):381-8. Available from: <http://www.who.int/wer/2016/wer9132.pdf?ua=1>
53. Leite RD, Barreto JLTMS, Sousa AQ. Measles reemergence in Ceará, Northeast Brazil, 15 years after elimination. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2015;21(9):1681-3. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2109.150391>
54. Leite RD, Berezin EN. Measles in Latin America: current situation. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2015;4(3):179-81. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jpids/piv047>
55. Gao J, He H, Shen J, Huang Z, Ma H, Luo S, et al. [An outbreak of measles among unvaccinated migrant population in Zhejiang province, from June to August, 2010]. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi*. 2010;31(10):1163-5. Chinese. <https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2010.10.020>
56. Vainio K, Ronning K, Steen TW, Arnesen TM, Ånestad G, Dudman S. Ongoing outbreak of measles in Oslo, Norway, January-February 2011. *Euro Surveill*. 2011;16(8):4-6. <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.16.08.19804-en>
57. Al-Kuwari MG, Nazzal ZA, Al Khenji AA. Epidemiology of measles outbreaks in Qatar in 2007. *East Mediterr Health J*. 2011;17(3):186-90.
58. Kondova IT, Milenkovic Z, Marinkovic SP, Bosevska G, Kuzmanovska G, Kondov G, et al. Measles outbreak in Macedonia: epidemiological, clinical and laboratory findings and identification of susceptible cohorts. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(9):e74754. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074754>
59. Pervanidou D, Horefti E, Patrinos S, Lytras T, Triantafillou E, Mentis A., et al. Spotlight on measles 2010: ongoing measles outbreak in Greece, January-July 2010. *Euro Surveill*. 2010;15(30). <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.15.30.19629-en>
60. Rogalska J, Santibanez S, Mankertz A, Makowka A., Szenborn L, Stefanoff P. Spotlight on measles 2010: an epidemiological overview of measles outbreaks in Poland in relation to the measles elimination goal. *Euro Surveill*. 2010;15(17):20-5. <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.15.30.19629-en>
61. Gee S, Cotter S, O'Flanagan D; National Incident Management Team. Spotlight on measles 2010: measles outbreak in Ireland 2009-2010. *Euro Surveill*. 2010;15(9):2-5. <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.15.09.19500-en>
62. Martínez-Ramírez M, González-Praetorius A, Ory-Manchón F, Martínez-Benito Y, García-Rivera MV, Hübschen J, et al. Reemergencia de sarampión en la provincia de Guadalajara. ¿Es el momento de establecer nuevas estrategias para su eliminación? *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin*. 2014;32(8):486-90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2013.08.005>
63. Nedeljković J, Rakić Adrović S, Tasić G, Kovačević-Jovanović V, Lončarević G, Hübschen JM, et al. Resurgence of measles in Serbia 2010-2011 highlights the need for supplementary immunization activities. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2016;144(5):1121-8. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268815002277>
64. Pomerai KW, Mudyiradima RF, Gombe NT. Measles outbreak investigation in Zaka, Masvingo Province, Zimbabwe, 2010. *BMC Res Notes*. 2012;5(1):687. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-5-687>

65. Navarro E, Mochón MM, Galicia MD, Marín I, Laguna J. Study of a measles outbreak in Granada with preventive measures applied by the courts, Spain, 2010 to 2011. *Euro Surveill.* 2013;18(43). <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES2013.18.43.20612>
66. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prepregnancy contraceptive use among teens with unintended pregnancies resulting in live births - Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS), 2004-2008. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2012 [cited 2017 Nov 20];61(2):25-9. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6102a1.htm>
67. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Notes from the field: measles outbreak among members of a religious community - Brooklyn, New York, March-June 2013. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2013 [cited 2017 Nov 20];62(36):752-3. Available from em: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6236a5.htm>
68. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hospital-associated measles outbreak - Pennsylvania, March-April 2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2012 [cited 2017 Nov 20];61(2):30-2. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6102a2.htm>
69. Vainio K, Steen TW, Arnesen TM, Rønning K, Ånestad G, Dudman S. Measles virus genotyping an important tool in measles outbreak investigation in Norway, 2011. *Euro Surveill.* 2012;17(50). <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.17.50.20340-en>
70. Baxi R, Mytton OT, Abid M, Maduma-Butshe A, Iyer S, Ephraim A, et al. Outbreak report: nosocomial transmission of measles through an unvaccinated healthcare worker-implications for public health. *J Public Health (Oxf).* 2014;36(3):375-81. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdt096>
71. Smithson R, Irvine N, Hutton C, Doherty L, Watt A. Spotlight on measles 2010: ongoing measles outbreak in Northern Ireland following an imported case, September-October 2010. *Euro Surveill.* 2010;15(43):1-4. <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.15.43.19698-en>
72. López Hernández B, Laguna Sorinas J, Marín Rodríguez I, Gallardo García V, Pérez Morilla E, Mayoral Cortés JM. Spotlight on measles 2010: an ongoing outbreak of measles in an unvaccinated population in Granada, Spain, October to November 2010. *Euro Surveill.* 2010;15(50):3-6. <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.15.50.19746-en>
73. Kopel E, Amitai Z, Savion M, Aboudy Y, Mendelson E, Sheffer R. Ongoing African measles virus genotype outbreak in Tel Aviv district since April, Israel, 2012. *Euro Surveill.* 2012;17(37). <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.17.37.20272-en>
74. Ghebrehewet S, Hayhurst G, Keenan A, Moore H. Outbreak of measles in Central and Eastern Cheshire, UK, October 2008-February 2009. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2012;14(9):1849-56. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268812002300>
75. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Two measles outbreaks after importation - Utah, March-June 2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2013 [cited 2017 Nov 20];62(12):222-5. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6212a2.htm>
76. Gahr P, DeVries AS, Wallace G, Miller C, Kenyon C, Sweet K, et al. An outbreak of measles in an undervaccinated community. *Pediatrics.* 2014;134(1):e220-8. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-4260>
77. Slade TA, Klekamp B, Rico E, Mejia-Echeverry A. Measles outbreak in an unvaccinated family and a possibly associated international traveler - Orange County, Florida, December 2012-January 2013. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2014 [cited 2017 Nov 20];63(36):781-4. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6336a1.htm>
78. Sugerma DE, Barskey AE, Delea MG, Ortega-Sanchez IR, Bi D, Ralston KJ, et al. Measles outbreak in a highly vaccinated population, San Diego, 2008: role of the intentionally undervaccinated. *Pediatrics.* 2010;125(4):747-55. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-1653>
79. Bassetti M, Schenone E, Calzi A, Camera M, Valle L, Ansaldi F, et al. Measles outbreak in adults in Italy. *Infez Med.* 2011 [cited 2017 Nov 20];19:16-9. Available from: [http://www.infezmed.it/media/journal/Vol\\_19\\_1\\_2011\\_2.pdf](http://www.infezmed.it/media/journal/Vol_19_1_2011_2.pdf)
80. Filia A, Tavilla A, Bella A, Magurano F, Ansaldi F, Chironna M, et al. Measles in Italy, July 2009 to September 2010. *Euro Surveill.* 2011;16(29). <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.16.29.19925-en>
81. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Notes from the field: measles outbreak - Indiana, June-July 2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2011 [cited 2017 Nov 20];60(34):1169. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6034a5.htm>
82. Stanescu A, Janta D, Lupulescu E, Necula G, Lazar M, Molnar G, et al. Ongoing measles outbreak in Romania, 2011. *Euro Surveill.* 2011;16(31). <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.16.31.19932-en>

83. Antona D, Lévy-Bruhl D, Baudon C, Freymuth F, Lamy M, Maine C, et al. Measles elimination efforts and 2008-2011 outbreak, France. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2013;19(3):357-64. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1903.121360>
84. Zheng X, Zhang N, Zhang X, Hao L, Su Q, Wang H, et al. Investigation of a measles outbreak in China to identify gaps in vaccination coverage, routes of transmission, and interventions. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(7):e0133983. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133983>
85. Scobie HM, Ilunga BK, Mulumba A, Shidi C, Coulibaly T, Obama R, et al. Antecedent causes of a measles resurgence in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2015;21:30. <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2015.21.30.6335>
86. Rocha HAL, Correia LL, Campos JS, Silva AC, Andrade FO, Silveira DI, et al. Factors associated with non-vaccination against measles in northeastern Brazil: clues about causes of the 2015 outbreak. *Vaccine*. 2015;33(38):4969-74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.07.027>
87. Tan KE, Anderson M, Krajden M, Petric M, Mak A, Naus M. Mumps virus detection during an outbreak in a highly unvaccinated population in British Columbia. *Can J Public Health*. 2011;102(1):47-50. <https://doi.org/10.17269/cjph.102.2340>
88. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Mumps outbreak on a University Campus - California, 2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2012 [cited 2017 Nov 20];61(48):986-9. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6148a2.htm>
89. Wielders CC, Binnendijk RS, Snijders BE, Tipples G a., Cremer J, Fanoy E, et al. Mumps epidemic in orthodox religious low-vaccination communities in the Netherlands and Canada, 2007 to 2009. *Euro Surveill*. 2011;16(41). <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.16.41.19989-en>
90. Barskey AE, Schulte C, Rosen JB, Handschur EF, Rausch-Phung E, Doll MK, et al. Mumps outbreak in Orthodox Jewish communities in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(18):1704-13. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1202865>
91. Hukic M, Ravlija J, Ljubovic AD, Moro A., Arapcic S, Muller CP, et al. Ongoing large mumps outbreak in the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bosnia and Herzegovina, December 2010 to July 2011. *Euro Surveill*. 2011;16(35):1-4. <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.16.35.19959-en>
92. Munkhjargal I, Selenge J, Ambalselmaa A, Tuul R, Delgermaa P, Amarzaya S, et al. Investigation of a mumps outbreak in Mongolia, January to April 2011. *West Pacific Surveill Response J*. 2012;3(4):53-8. <https://doi.org/10.5365/wpsar.2012.3.3.007>
93. Rasmussen I, Wallace S, Mengshoel AT, Hoiby EA, Brandtzaeg P. Diphtheria outbreak in Norway: lessons learned. *Scand J Infect Dis*. 2011;43(11-12):986-9. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00365548.2011.600326>
94. Janta D, Stanescu A, Lupulescu E, Molnar G, Pistol A. Ongoing rubella outbreak among adolescents in Salaj, Romania, September 2011-January 2012. *Euro Surveill*. 2012;17(7):1-4. <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.17.07.20089-en>
95. Sugishita Y, Takahashi T, Hori N, Abo M. Ongoing rubella outbreak among adults in Tokyo, Japan, June 2012 to April 2013. *West Pac Surveill Response J*. 2013;4(3):37-41. <https://doi.org/10.5365/wpsar.2013.4.2.011>
96. Cantey JB, Sánchez PJ, Tran J, Chung W, Siegel JD. Pertussis: a persistent cause of morbidity and mortality in young infants. *J Pediatr*. 2014;164(6):1489-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.01.023>
97. Atwell JE, Van Otterloo J, Zipprich J, Winter K, Harriman K, Salmon DA, et al. Nonmedical vaccine exemptions and pertussis in California, 2010. *Pediatrics*. 2013;132(4):624-30. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-0878>
98. Tafuri S, Gallone MS, Martinelli D, Prato R, Chironna M, Germinario C. Report of a pertussis outbreak in a low coverage booster vaccination group of otherwise healthy children in Italy. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2013;13:541. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-13-541>
99. Datta SS, Toikilik S, Ropa B, Chidlow G, Lagani W. Pertussis outbreak in Papua New Guinea: the challenges of response in a remote geo-topographical setting. *West Pacific Surveill Response J*. 2012;3(4):3-6. <https://doi.org/10.5365/WPSAR.2012.3.3.008>
100. Medina-Marino A, Reynolds D, Finley C, Hays S, Jones J, Soyemi K. Communication and mass vaccination strategies after pertussis outbreak in rural Amish communities-Illinois, 2009-2010. *J Rural Health*. 2013;29(4):413-9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jrh.12019>
101. Druzian AF, Brustoloni YM, Oliveira SMVL, Matos VTG, Negri ACG, Pinto CS, et al. Pertussis in the central-west region of Brazil: one decade study. *Braz J Infect Dis*. 2014;18(2):177-80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2013.08.006>

102. Baptista PN, Magalhães VS, Rodrigues LC. The role of adults in household outbreaks of pertussis. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2010;14(2):e111-4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2009.03.026>
103. Romano APM, Costa ZGA, Ramos DG, Andrade MA, Jayme VS, Almeida MAB, et al. Yellow Fever outbreaks in unvaccinated populations, Brazil, 2008-2009. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2014;8(3):e2740. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002740>
104. Kamadjeu R, Mahamud A, Webeck J, Baranyikwa MT, Chatterjee A, Bile YN, et al. Polio outbreak investigation and response in Somalia, 2013. *J Infect Dis.* 2014;210 Suppl 1:S181-6. <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiu453>

---

**Funding:** São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP – Process 2013/15360-2).

**Authors' Contribution:** All authors have participated in the design and planning of the study, collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data, and approval of the final version. LRMMMA, MAFP, and LCSF have carried out the preparation and revision of the study and assume public responsibility for its content. LRMMMA and MAFP have contributed equally to this work.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.