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Abstract

Actions in the promotion of healthy eating are strategic for reversing nutritional problems. This article analyzes the disputes over ideas in discursive repertoires on healthy eating in Brazil’s national policies and international, government, civil society, and private commercial sector documents in the last 20 years. Based on the document analysis method in dialogue with the academic literature, the following perspectives on healthy eating were identified: traditional culturalist; medicalizing biological/nutritional; multidimensional; and systemic. The disputes are established between ideas in the following areas: the existence of "unhealthy foods"; the attributions, limits, and forms of State intervention; eating as an individual or public matter; and the meanings of sustainability, commensality, culture, and food. Policy positions on pesticides, food fortification, and supplementation are key elements in these disputes. In the policy sphere, the private commercial sector adopts strategies of fragmentation, downplaying, and distortion of meanings that reinforce polarization between individual actions (lifestyles, freedom of choice) and environmental interventions, thereby disseminating a narrow approach to food and nutrition education. Civil society pressures governments to establish concepts and principles in policies that directly affect the disputes’ parameters. The latter act with greater or lesser permeability to pressures from internal or external stakeholders, depending on their composition and the institutional spaces for dialogue with society.
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Introduction

The concept of healthy eating has been problematized by the academic literature 1,2,3,4,5 and has driven public actions in Brazil, especially since the Brazilian National Food and Nutrition Policy (PNAN) of 1999 6, which was the first to link the promotion of healthy eating to the food and nutrition agenda.

The coexistence of multiple meanings assigned to foods and eating 1,2,3,7 indicates the heterogeneity and contradictions of prevailing social practices, potentially mobilizing public policies towards different proposals for action in the promotion of healthy eating, considering the interests at stake. The dispute between ideas also influences the debate on governments’ attributions, especially on the forms and “limits” of regulation of markets and food marketing practices.

Given the relevance of this process for the public agenda in nutrition, this article analyzes the disputes over ideas that comprise the different discursive repertoires on healthy eating in Brazil’s national policies in the last 20 years, considering the PNAN 1999 as the initial framework. Based on analysis of the ideas, the article indicates possible correlations with the actions proposed in the policies and with the debate on the State’s attributions.

Methods

The study was based on the document analysis method and adopted a definition of document as a discursive practice, where “discourse” and “practice” are indissociable dimensions of political action. The ideas conveyed in the documents constitute the central focus of analysis, considering that they produce meanings, establish processes, shape reality itself, and back political action when they are appropriated differently by the various actors 8,9,10.

We analyzed documents produced by government policies, multilateral agencies, and the private commercial sector since 1999, the year the first PNAN was published. The selection criteria were policies, plans, and instruments in national policies that present proposals for the promotion of healthy eating, whether implemented or not, aiming to identify ideas, guidelines, and negotiated actions. National policies are part of a globalized dynamic. We thus analyzed international documents that were selected according to two criteria: having been cited in the government documents and/or problematized by civil society and academia 11,12,13,14,15,16,17. The private commercial sector’s discursive repertoires were identified in the annual reports and public documents by the principal business association of the Brazilian food industry or elaborated in partnership, besides documents cited in these reports, in dialogue with the government documents analyzed and that were available on the official websites of these associations at the time the study was performed 18,19,20,21,22,23,24.

The analysis considered the documents’ historical and political-institutional contexts and specificities. The “official” discourse, the result of negotiations permeated by multiple conflicts, does not express all the connections established between the actors in the “political backstage”. Government documents formalize possible agreements in a certain conjuncture and are part of a process aimed at reducing the level of tension and confrontation between the stakeholders. Policies present broad guidelines, plans provide details on targets and actions, and instruments give greater depth in operational indications. The script for document analysis was developed to encompass the central analytical dimensions of ideas, actions, and historical and political-institutional contexts, namely: conceptions of healthy eating; actions in the promotion of healthy eating; home institution; and year of publication. The policy documents were analyzed in full, identifying the degree and centrality of the theme of healthy eating in the narratives and the elements associated with eating throughout the text. The texts were interpreted in dialogue with academic articles, identified with the descriptor “healthy eating”, selected according to their focus in the conceptual debate and used as the source for identification of repertoires and references to distinguish between various healthy eating perspectives 1,2,3,4,5.

The study was based on references for cognitive analysis of policies, featuring the dimension of ideas (distinctions and tensions between the ideas in dispute) and the weight of their influence on the configuration of policy processes, conceiving them as: interpretative schemes shared by the actors; structures of meaning that allow specific understandings of the public issues and the ways of dealing with them, linked to interests and conditioned by institutions. The policies were analyzed as cogni-
tive matrices and systems for interpretation of the reality that demarcated actions by the various actors. Certain ideas can drive specific actions according to the interest in dispute, shaped in distinct historical and political-institutional contexts. Thus, the institutions – defined as forms of social organization – were analyzed as elements in the context of building ideas, highlighting the State’s institutional role, considering the objective of analyzing the public policies’ discursive repertoires. We analyzed how the distinctions between the health sector’s institutional role and the inter-sector role of food and nutritional security affected the development of different ideas on healthy eating and promoted different interactions between the actors and their interests. Actors situated in different institutional contexts (State, society, and market) appropriate the ideas over the course of their political action and disseminate distinct conceptions on the attributions of public institutions that situate the policies in question. The theoretical perspective of adequate and health eating, inscribed in the interdisciplinary and systemic cognitive matrix of food and nutritional security, was used as the reference for analysis of ideas, as the one that integrates the various dimensions of eating identified by the literature.

Supplementary Material (http://cadernos.ensp.fiocruz.br/static//arquivo/suppl-e00195520-ingles_4593.pdf) presents the set of documents analyzed and the explicit or implicit conceptions of healthy eating in the proposed actions. Based on this mapping, Box 1 indicates relations between discursive repertoires and public policies based on: demarcation of different perspectives of healthy eating and their constitutive elements and ideas; identification of actions driven by each of them and exemplification of mixed authorship and ideas in the documents. The process of demarcating these perspectives of healthy eating aimed to organize and highlight elements that distinguish them, identified according to the central underlying principles. As such, we compared principles and distinctions already indicated in the academic literature to the explicit and implicit definitions in the government documents. The terms used to distinguish between them – “biological”, “culturalist”, “medicalizing”, etc. – intersect with the concepts referenced in the academic literature and were chosen because they display striking characteristics in each of them, aimed at distinguishing between them, although with combinations and intersections. This process was shared by five researchers and verified by one of them. Four of these researchers were nutritionists specialized in public health and public policies and one was a journalist.

Results

In the last twenty years, Brazil consolidated two major policy lines related to food and nutrition: the PNAN, under the health sector, and the Brazilian National Policy on Food and Nutrition Security (PNSAN), which involves more than 20 government ministries.

Health sector policies

The PNAN 1999 adopts the guideline of “promotion of health eating practices and lifestyles” and employs the concept of healthy eating, but not explicitly. The policy highlights the need to regulate advertising of foods targeted to children and other marketing practices, besides monitoring the industrialization and marketing of pharmaceutical and diet products presented commercially as therapeutic or prophylactic solutions to nutritional problems (sometimes debatable and/or without evidence) (Supplementary Material: http://cadernos.ensp.fiocruz.br/static//arquivo/suppl-e00195520-ingles_4593.pdf). The policy acknowledges that the promotion of healthy eating serves the national priorities in food and nutrition by responding simultaneously to obesity and undernutrition, a perspective that was not obvious at that time, when the approach to these topics was not linked and the concept of “double burden of diseases” was still not widely adopted. According to Paiva et al., this policy intersects with the broader concept of eating practices and evokes the adjective “healthy” to also describe the notions of diet and lifestyle and “nutritionally balanced and healthy eating”. Some proposed actions transcend the biological perspective, such as reclaiming regional food and farming practices and encouragement of local consumption of low-cost foods with high nutritional value (Box 1 and Supplementary Material: http://cadernos.ensp.fiocruz.br/static//arquivo/
Box 1

Relations between perspectives of healthy eating and examples of ideas and types of actions promoted by them, based on documents from government policies, multilateral agencies, commercial private sector, and academic articles (1999 to 2019).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSPECTIVES OF HEALTHY EATING *</th>
<th>UNDERLYING PRINCIPLES AND OVERALL CHARACTERIZATION OF PERSPECTIVES (ELEMENTS) AND IDEAS</th>
<th>EXAMPLES OF IDEAS AND ELEMENTS OF THE PERSPECTIVES AND TYPES OF ACTION AND POLICY INSTRUMENTS PROMOTED BY THEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional culturalist: centered on traditions, culture, and territoriality</td>
<td>Principles of diversity, territoriality, culture, and social traditions. Based on the idea that different &quot;models&quot; of healthy eating exist. Healthy eating characterized by a profile of food consumption based on food combinations defined by local traditions, crops' seasonality, and cultural and territorial context. The criterion for eating is based on &quot;pragmatic&quot; and &quot;symbolic&quot; motives in daily life, including cultural ones. Food risk refers primarily to biological contamination and food shortage.</td>
<td>Adequate eating and diet should be appropriate according to sociocultural aspects and referenced on food culture. These elements should be considered in policies. Policies should value such elements as food diversity and social and cultural aspects; availability of foods from family, organic, and agroecological farming and social and biological diversity. This perspective is oriented by the idea that there is no single definition of eating, since location and culture define eating parameters. The PNAN promotes actions such as reclaiming regional food and farming practices and motivation for local consumption of low-cost foods with high nutritional value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological nutritional: centered on nutritional (nutrients) and biological rationality (biological body)</td>
<td>Based on the principle of the best combination of nutrients as a function of biological needs and the definition of standardized human nutritional needs and referenced on the concepts of calories and nutrients. Healthy eating defined as a food consumption profile capable of guaranteeing adequate amounts of all necessary nutrients for growth and maintenance of the body's biological functions. Reduces the body to its biological and mechanical aspects. Guidelines on recommendations and requirements focus mainly on nutrient supply for full functioning of the body in each life phase and prevention of deficiency diseases (malnutrition due to insufficient energy and/ or macronutrients [e.g., protein]; and micronutrient deficiencies [anemia, vitamin A deficiency, etc.]).</td>
<td>The emergence of the term healthy eating is associated with the nutritional reductionism that marked the establishment of nutrition science. Central elements are nutrients, calories, and biological and physiological aspects. This perspective is oriented by the idea that eating is based on rules that express a &quot;normal&quot; standard and a single ideal parameter for eating. The biological nutritional perspective drives actions such as industrial technologies and mechanisms in processing seeds and foods, aimed at increasing their nutrient content, yield, and durability. The commercial private sector takes this perspective and contends that processed foods contribute to a wide variety of nutrients for diet, and that a good diet depends on the nutrient value of the foods and beverages consumed, not whether they have been processed or not. Other actions promoted in this context include regulation of food labels, marketing practices, and food engineering. This area also promotes concepts of nutritional orientation and &quot;traditional&quot; nutrition education based on the &quot;myth of ignorance&quot; and actions focused on the change of individual eating behaviors based on idealized dietary patterns.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## PERSPECTIVES OF HEALTHY EATING *

| Biological and medicalizing nutritional: eating and certain foods and nutrients are considered risk or protective factors for NCDs | Principle of the best combination of nutrients as a function of biological needs aimed at prevention of NCDs. Standardization of the concept of "healthy diet" based on the idea that healthy eating is universal and characterized by the food consumption profile based on foods with low levels of calories, fats, and other nutrients as a way of preventing NCDs. Epidemiological rationality – prevention-based health promotion watershed based on risk factor logic and prevention of NCDs that leads to an association between healthy eating and restriction in the consumption of foods high in certain nutrients (salt, sugar, fats etc.). Biomedical rationality – based on the body’s biological functioning, leading to an association between healthy eating and stimulus for consumption of certain foods high in specific nutrients to prevent diseases in general. The basis for “healthy” is a diet with strong presence of “functional” foods, fortified foods, and complements based on fiber and micronutrients. The idea of healthy and unhealthy foods based on nutritional principles. The approach combines with the focus on nutrients and foods. Food is medicalized, and healthy diet is one that aims to achieve an ideal of the body and beauty 4. | The idea that there are healthy and unhealthy foods, as well as eating as a risk factor promoting actions to encourage consumption of fruits, vegetables, and greens and traditional diet (based on “natural” or minimally processed foods) in addition to discouragement of consumption of foods high in fats, sugars, and salt and ultra-processed foods 11,12,14,29,48,49,53. These policy proposals thus alternate between the medicalizing biological nutritional approach and the multidimensional approach by mixing ideas from both. This perspective also promotes a traditional idea of nutrition education focused on actions for changes in individual food behaviors. It features the use of such tools as the food pyramid, the prescription of “light” and “diet” foods with low amounts of calories, fats, and other nutrients, functional foods, fortified foods, and complements based on fiber and micronutrients, and food supplementation associated with a perspective of food's medicalization 4. Actions such as nutritional labeling increasingly become the object of disputes. The commercial private sector defends the traffic light front-of-package labeling model as the information tool for consumers and contends that classifying individual foods as good/healthy versus bad/unhealthy is reductionist and without a scientific basis 20. It also defends voluntary agreements for reducing components of ultra-processed foods that are associated with unhealthy eating 46. This perspective can promote actions such as regulation of food advertising and practices by the commercial private sector (including industrialization and marketing of pharmaceutical and diet products) as government attributions provided by the policies 6. The perspective promotes industrial mechanisms aimed at processing seeds and foods to increase their nutrient contents, yield, and durability 42, as well as food processing technology 1. |

---

(continues)
### Box 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSPECTIVES OF HEALTHY EATING *</th>
<th>UNDERLYING PRINCIPLES AND OVERALL CHARACTERIZATION OF PERSPECTIVES (ELEMENTS) AND IDEAS</th>
<th>EXAMPLES OF IDEAS AND ELEMENTS OF THE PERSPECTIVES AND TYPES OF ACTION AND POLICY INSTRUMENTS PROMOTED BY THEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional: encompasses, to some degree, the nutritional and biological dimensions and sociocultural elements of food practices</td>
<td>Principles of food culture, ways of preparing foods, commensality, and foods' health and nutritional quality. Expanded approach to food practices that considers not only food consumption in terms of the amounts and types of foods consumed, but the ways they are prepared and consumed, commensality, and analysis of the set of social, cultural and economic aspects that condition eating. Comprehensive focus that encompasses nutrients, foods, meals, food, and the idea that foods that are considered healthy or unhealthy is not based only on nutritional composition, but on other elements such as environmental impact and food culture.</td>
<td>This perspective highlights the concept of healthy and sustainable eating (^{64}) that can be found in the PNAN 2011 (^{27}), the Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population (^{32}), and the Dietary Guidelines for Brazilian Children Under Two Years of Age (^{36}), since these documents incorporate, in the discussion of healthy eating, elements pertaining to the environment, culture, commensality, and food practices. The guidelines thus move between the multidimensional approach and the systems approach by mixing ideas present in both. A recent technical document by Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and World Health Organization (^{64}) also reinforces this multidimensionality produced by the sustainability agenda, and from the global perspective, the processes of proposing Voluntary Guidelines for Food and Nutrition Systems (^{65,70}) confirm this tendency promoted since the 2nd International Conference on Nutrition (^{71}). It also features actions in “critical” nutrition education and the concept of food and nutrition education (^{57}). The commercial private sector also draws on certain elements from this perspective by considering, for example, that the obesity epidemic has multifactorial causes and requires considering food culture factors and sedentary living. It indicates lifestyle reeducation as the central pedagogical action, without problematizing its products’ effects on this scenario (^{23,43}). These policies reveal actions that are consistent with this perspective, such as reclaiming the diversity of cultural practices related to eating, regional foods, and culturally situated ways of preparing foods (^{5}). This perspective also backs policies intended to mobilize actions focused on health promotion and adequate and healthy diet through positive actions in the reconfiguration of environments, territories, and cities, aimed primarily at stimulating healthy practices and guaranteeing the adoption of these practices, besides transforming obesogenic environments (^{13}). International documents addressed to the prevention of obesity and NCDs (^{11,12,49,53}) acknowledge the need for multisectoral efforts to improve populations’ eating and actions focused on individuals and contexts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continues)
**Box 1 (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSPECTIVES OF HEALTHY EATING *</th>
<th>UNDERLYING PRINCIPLES AND OVERALL CHARACTERIZATION OF PERSPECTIVES (ELEMENTS) AND IDEAS</th>
<th>EXAMPLES OF IDEAS AND ELEMENTS OF THE PERSPECTIVES AND TYPES OF ACTION AND POLICY INSTRUMENTS PROMOTED BY THEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systemic: concept of adequate and healthy eating (based on an integrated analysis of the multiple dimensions of eating)</td>
<td>Principles of food systems: flows that connect the production, marketing, and consumption of foods that lead to an integrated analysis of these processes. In a two-way process, the ways foods are produced affect marketing and consumption practices. Systems shaped by mechanisms of the flows’ coordination and feedback. Principles of social and environmental sustainability. Food and nutrition security, from the analytical point of view, assumes an interdisciplinary perspective, and in the political sphere, an intersectoral and socially participant dynamic in the formulation and implementation of actions 3. Principle of adequacy according to the concept of the human right to adequate food that assumes “adequate” diet not limited to a minimum package of specific nutrients but encompassing social, economic, cultural, climate, and technical conditions in addition to the sustainability perspective. Food sovereignty.</td>
<td>This perspective features the concept of food and nutrition education 57, consistent with the concept of food and nutrition security, which is based on the elements of the systems approach. These elements can also be found in the final report by the Working Group of the CONSEA, which presents a definition of adequate and healthy eating 40 and in the “Real Food Manifesto” and the movement in dialogue with organized civil society in food and nutrition security towards broader participation by Brazilian society. The Manifesto translates into daily images and situations the meaning of healthy eating and presents the essential measures for it to be accessible and affordable for everyone. The different meanings and dimensions of healthy eating are presented with their determinants and the conditions for collaborative actions, principally addressed to reducing inequalities in Brazil for healthy eating to be a reality 56. This perspective highlights actions in the regulation of food systems in the broadest scope, including regulation of pesticides, food advertising, and practices by the commercial private sector, aimed at a transition to fair, sustainable, and healthy food systems, considering that adequate and healthy eating results in socially and environmentally sustainable food systems and strengthens them 28,32,36,53,58. The National Policy on Food and Nutrition Security 28 and the 1st 72 and 2nd 55 National Plans on Food and Nutrition Security provide for intersectoral actions and promotion of family and organic farming as well as agroecology as paths for transformation of food systems toward the guarantee of adequate and healthy eating and the human right to adequate food. Likewise, the National Pact for the Promotion of Healthy Eating 58 provides for linkage and synergies in a set of actions that include strengthening of sustainable food systems, reduction of critical nutrients in processed and ultra-processed foods, regulation of food environments, and marketing strategies and actions in food and nutrition education.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONSEA: Brazilian National Council on Food and Nutrition Security; NCDs: noncommunicable chronic diseases; PNAN: Brazilian National Food and Nutrition Policy.

* The demarcation of these perspectives is merely an analytical effort at refining the distinction between the respective approaches and organizing their components, aimed at problematizing the relationship between discursive repertoires and public policies. The terms used to name them – “traditional culturalist”, “biological nutritional”, “biological and medicalizing nutritional”, “multidimensional”, and “systemic” – feature central principles that orient and distinguish between them. Thus, the use of the term “biological” indicates that the perspective is heavily oriented by biological principles, although other aspects are taken into account, and there is overlapping, mixing, and different combinations among them that escape the classical dualisms between macro dimensions (biological x social, structural x individual, among others).
Food and nutritional security and human right to adequate food are not fully reflected in the perspective of healthy eating in the PNAN 1999, since this policy emphasizes the nutritional aspect disconnected from a systemic matrix. Even so, the policy expands a limited conception of healthy eating that originated with nutrition science, based on “nutritional reductionism” 3 (Box 1).

This expansion gained new characteristics with the first edition of the Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population (Guidelines 2006) 29, orienting other policies outside the health sector, acknowledging that the promotion of healthy eating addresses the challenges of the double burden of diseases and indicates that healthy eating should be based on environmentally sustainable eating practices with social, affective, and cultural meaning, since foods are not merely vehicles for nutrients. However, the biological dimension prevails in the guidelines, organized by food groups according to nutritional composition, as well as recommendations on the number of daily servings according to energy value. The foods’ processing is only cited as a stage in their production. Nonbiological aspects of food are valued in the document – in a step ahead of the debates at the time – but they were not turned into actual guidelines. The notion of human right to adequate food itself is only mentioned in reference to the PNAN 1999.

Meanwhile, PNAN 2011 explicitly addresses a concept of adequate and healthy eating (Supplementary Material: http://cadernos.ensp.fiocruz.br/static//arquivo/suppl-e00195520-ingles_4593.pdf) that incorporates the dimension of environmental sustainability but mentions that adequate and sustainable production practices are those “with minimal amounts of physical, chemical, and biological contaminants” 27 (p. 32). The Brazilian National Policy on Health Promotion (PNPS) of 2006 31 also recommends the “reduction” in the use of pesticides. These policies thus provide for the use of these contaminants, overlooking the Guidelines 2006 29, which problematize their use due to their toxicity, and the CONSEA document 30, which defines adequate and healthy eating as free from physical and chemical contaminants and genetically modified organisms. Although the impacts of pesticides had already been problematized by the health sector by this time, the link between this issue and the healthy eating agenda did not gain centrality in these documents. The hypothesis is that in the national and international institutional context of the fields of nutrition and noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), this link had still not been incorporated centrally, thereby favoring a superficial approach to it in the documents. This distinction between approaches reiterates the current study’s assumptions that the official discourse expresses possible pacts at a certain conjuncture, conditioned by heterogeneous institutionality, even within the same sectorial policy (in this case the Health sector).

In the concept of adequate and healthy eating, the second edition of the Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population (Guidelines 2014) 32 adopts the reference that food production practices should be adequate and sustainable. As in the Guidelines 2006, the second edition affirms the importance of transcending the nutritional aspect of eating and elaborates further on this meaning. The Guidelines 2014 adopt a categorization of foods based on the NOVA classification 33,34, in which nutritional and other characteristics of foods are examined according to the extent and purpose of their processing. The document received international interest because it recommends that the consumption of ultra-processed foods should be avoided, considering the harms to health and the risks for traditional food cultures, besides expressing (and contributing to) socially, economically, and environmentally unsustainable food systems 35.

Based on the NOVA classification 33,34, healthy eating requires avoiding ultra-processed foods and making natural or minimally processed foods the basis for eating. The Guidelines 2014 are oriented
by this approach and cover aspects related to culture, cooking, regional practices, diversity, commensality, and sustainability, among others.

A similar trend was seen in the *Dietary Guidelines for Brazilian Children Under Two Years of Age*\textsuperscript{36} of 2019, which updated the guidelines from 2002\textsuperscript{37}. The new guidelines valued foods' nutritional balance and aspects related to early childhood development. Aligned with the Guidelines 2014, the new edition is based on the NOVA classification and adopts the definition of adequate and healthy eating that incorporates sustainability, considering it as an element that strengthens sustainable food systems\textsuperscript{36}, intersects with the sociocultural dimension of eating, expands topics such as cooking and sharing household chores, and identifies challenges for the daily practice of adequate and healthy eating (Supplementary Material: http://cadernos.ensp.fiocruz.br/static//arquivo/suppl-e00195520-ingles_4593.pdf).

This perspective of adequate and healthy eating and the NOVA classification clash with the approaches by the private commercial sector developed as part of corporate policy action aimed at influencing policies and public opinion to favor the sector\textsuperscript{38}. A recurrent discursive strategy is distortion of the debate on food and public health\textsuperscript{38}, ruling out the responsibility of the private commercial sector and its products for negative health impacts, irreconcilable with approaches to eating as a public good and human right to adequate food\textsuperscript{39}. The arguments' focus is shifted to individual responsibility, translated in the use of such expressions as freedom of choice, right to information, "consumer empowerment"\textsuperscript{20}, and physical inactivity. Educational actions are cited as a "solution"\textsuperscript{38,40,41}. The narrative's repertoires on healthy eating mobilize arguments and ideas that aim to downplay and counteract the conception based on national policies and instruments such as the new dietary guidelines, considering the conflicting interests\textsuperscript{32,36}.

Some elements from the concept of adequate and healthy eating are appropriated in commercial promotion strategies by the private sector in less conflictive terms, superficially, and without problematizing such determinants as culture and commensality. In the case of commensality, the strategies refute the argument that ultra-processed foods are "socially destructive", indicating the possibility that they can be consumed "as an accompaniment to home-cooked and restaurant meals"\textsuperscript{42} (p. 33).

The discourse that there are no good or bad foods, long reiterated by the private commercial sector\textsuperscript{43,44}, gains centrality when the Guidelines 2014 and the NOVA classification explicitly name a group of foods that should be avoided (ultra-processed foods). The confrontation becomes explicit with the NOVA classification. Since the document's public consultation phase, business associations and corporations (and organizations financed by them) tried to prevent the text from including the expression "ultra-processed foods" and the recommendation of avoiding their consumption\textsuperscript{45}. Among the arguments, they pointed to "equivocation in relation to the chosen approach" and the "adoption of a nonexistent classification without scientific evidence for ready-to-eat and ultra-processed products, dissociated from the concept of food: ideological and impractical content, out of step with the Brazilian population's social and cultural stage"\textsuperscript{45} (p. 160).

Another example of corporate policy action that aims to shape or influence the debate on food and government action\textsuperscript{38,40} is the agreement between the Brazilian Ministry of Health and the Brazilian Food Industry Association (ABIA) that frames healthy eating as merely one aspect in building a National Plan for Healthy Living, alongside physical activity and nutrition education\textsuperscript{46}.

The discourse on healthy eating expresses a dichotomy in the organizations representing ultra-processed foods. On the one hand, they maintain the position that there are no good or bad foods\textsuperscript{20}. On the other, advertisements and products begin to incorporate the idea of "clean label", from a perspective of product lines without artificial additives and with few ingredients, coopting one of the aspects of the NOVA classification and even incorporating the expression "minimally processed foods" as a motto for the products' promotion\textsuperscript{47}. In the area of the Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency (ANVISA), in the discussion on the adoption of a front-of-package labeling system that better informs consumers, the private sector takes a clear position in the first line of argument, claiming that warning signs trigger "fear" and are not educational\textsuperscript{21}.

The private commercial sector's reaction to the ideas defended in the government documents indicates the terms in the policy disputes and the conflicting interests, reiterating the analytical assumption adopted here that discourse establishes realities and is not dissociated from practices. The idea of "unhealthy"\textsuperscript{48} or "nonnutritive" foods\textsuperscript{11}, present in policies for the prevention and control of
NCDs sparks reactions by the private sector that point to disputes over the issue. “Unhealthy eating” is characterized by nutritional parameters (excessive consumption of calories, salt, free sugars, and saturated and trans fats) and dietary parameters (low consumption of fruits and vegetables) for which there is robust evidence of associations with negative health outcomes.11,12,48,49

There is a clear distinction between “healthy foods” and “unhealthy foods” in the Pan American Health Organization Nutrient Profile Model, which is based on the NOVA classification, in dialogue with the Guidelines 2014, establishing what it considers “critical nutrients” to orient regulatory measures. The private commercial sector does not acknowledge the model as an instrument to orient nutritional labeling, considering it too restrictive, since most products on the market would be classified as “unhealthy”, even when “registered and analyzed by the competent authority” (p. 2). Consumer defense organizations, research groups, and governments such as that of Mexico adopt and support the model, precisely because it specifies safe limits for ultra-processed foods. Another tension point is the proposal of taxation to limit consumption of unhealthy foods, present in the document. The private commercial sector reacts by claiming that “taxes don’t make health” and that it is necessary to tackle obesity with educational measures and incentives for healthy practices.22

This approach to foods is consistent with an expanded perspective of healthy eating identified for example in the global strategy on diet, physical activity, and health, which considers both the individual and contextual dimensions of diet, its multidimensional nature, besides the generational and gender perspectives. Consistently, the proposed actions highlight multisector and multilevel responses that promote development, local production, and sustainability. The document assumes the State’s central role in the promotion of equity and the need to prevent conflicts of interest with the private commercial sector, although signaling the importance of the latter’s actions in controlling obesity (Supplementary Material: http://cadernos.ensp.fiocruz.br/static/arquivo/suppl-e00195520-ingles_4593.pdf).

Following this approach, the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) document on obesity prevention in children and adolescents adopts a perspective on healthy eating that includes daily consumption of some certain food groups (fruits and vegetables, among others) and low consumption of red and processed meats. The document states that traditional cooking can contribute to the promotion of healthy eating and that the availability, accessibility, and affordability of foods consistent with such eating require “solid and enabling” agricultural and food systems. The document also proposes regulatory measures such as fiscal policies and food labeling, among others (Supplementary Material: http://cadernos.ensp.fiocruz.br/static/arquivo/suppl-e00195520-ingles_4593.pdf).

Recognition of the relevance of inter-sector and multisector actions (including regulatory measures) and the realization that NCDs are conditioned by poverty and global socioeconomic development are present in other international policy documents. Thuse, the focus on poor eating as a risk factor for diseases coexists with a broader approach to eating.12,49,53 The Political Declaration of the High-Level Meeting of the General Assembly on the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases acknowledges two watersheds of action: the reduction of risk factors and the creation of health-promoting environments. These documents also highlight the role of government leadership and participation by society and the private sector in the control of NCDs. However, conflicts of interest are only mentioned in relation to the tobacco industry.

In short, the concepts of healthy eating in these policies mix ideas centered on greater or lesser degree of specific core meanings, namely: nutritional and biological, eating as a risk factor associated with the prevention of NCDs, and health promotion.

As refers actions in promotion of healthy eating, we identified different watersheds combined in a single policy, also evidenced in the study by Henriques et al., including the following types of measures: regulatory (taxation, labeling, advertising); reconfiguration of socioenvironmental and institutional contexts (e.g.: schools, workplaces); and education, communication, and behavior changes through individual responsibility (Box 1).
The concept of adequate and healthy eating and the food and nutritional security agenda

The concept of food and nutritional security is systemic and assumes an integrated approach to the production, marketing, and consumption of foods. Developed by various collective subjects, the concept is strengthened in the experience of social and governmental dialogue promoted by CONSEA. The concept of adequate and healthy eating, which encompasses food’s cultural, social, economic, and environmental adequacy, is the result of this process and is oriented by proposals by CONSEA submitted to various government sectors. The concept has backed such demands as the ban on pesticides and genetically modified seeds and the elaboration of national policies on agroecology and food supply, as well as the Manifesto for Real Food, resulting from the 55th Brazilian Conference on Food and Nutrition Security.

The concept of real food became the object of clashes with the private commercial sector, which refuted the concept by stating that there is no real food or fake food, and all processed food is actually food.

The interactions between PNAN and PNSAN contributed to the impact of the concept of adequate and healthy eating on Brazil’s health sector policies. This was the context for publication of the Reference Framework for Food and Nutrition Education for Public Policies, which defined food and nutrition education as a continuous, multidimensional, problematizing, dialogical, and active process and announced principles and approaches that were expressed two years later in the Guidelines 2014. It reconfigures and problematizes the traditional concept of nutrition education, based on nutritional rationality and the medicalizing perspective that orients part of the discursive repertoires on healthy eating.

Another example of this period from 1999 to 2015, particularly rich in more complex and comprehensive public initiatives for the promotion of healthy eating, was the publication of the National Pact for the Promotion of Healthy Eating (PNPAS). Conceived in the Inter-Ministerial Chamber for Food and Nutritional Security, it intersects with the perspectives of human right to adequate food, eating as a sociocultural practice, poor diet as a risk factor for diseases, sustainability, and healthiness of foods according to the degree of processing.

The concept of adequate and healthy eating thus links to policies that address support for family and organic agriculture, agroecology, food sovereignty, and locally produced food. It refers to another approach to “risk factors”, associated with threats to food systems’ environmental, social, economic, and cultural sustainability. The concept connects to a notion of socially fair food systems that contribute to human health and various dimensions of sustainability. This context includes the emergence of proposals to reduce the consumption of meat and animal fats as a way of synergically guaranteeing health and sustainability.

Food systems are shaped by the linkage between all the elements and activities related to the production, processing, distribution, preparation, and consumption of foods and their results and effects. The predominant agro-industrial systems generate environmental, social, cultural, and health problems and concentrate power. The narratives that acquire greater or lesser visibility in the public policy proposals that affect these systems are also the expression of inequities, considering the prevailing power asymmetries and conflicting interests.

These asymmetries are exacerbated over the course of a process called “dematerialization of food”, which shifts the decision-making power away from the actual farming systems to financial agents, increases the distance between growers and consumers, causes expropriation of land and other resources, and weakens the local, national, and global spaces as decision-makers.

The issue of sustainability is the object of disputes with the private commercial sector, which coopts and distorts ideas, as expressed in the publicity campaign “Agro: A Indústria-Riqueza do Brasil” (in free translation, “Agribusiness: Brazil’s Wealth-Producing Industry), a prime example of the dispute of narratives over healthy eating that publish content sponsored by corporations but without making this explicit to viewers. The campaign aims to consolidate the “Agro” (agribusiness) trademark, neutralizing conflicts of interests by pointing to a purported convergence between conceptions and practices from different agricultural systems (global, organic, and agroecological). It intends to
demonstrate the omnipresence of agribusiness in opposition to the production chain’s problems involving slave labor, deforestation, pesticides, and NCDs.

This simulacrum of agribusiness as a totalizing notion of agriculture is the result of three decades in which the hard core of political spokespersons for Brazilian corporate agriculture (the part) appropriates for itself the broad range of functions contained in the notion of agribusiness (the whole). The political spinoffs are reflected in the extinction of structures linking policies for family farming and in the discourse emphasizing a purported lack of separation between small and large farmers.

Finally, although the concept of healthy eating adopted in the dietary guidelines provides for the sustainability dimension, the Brazilian government is currently opposing the adoption of the concept of healthy and sustainable eating, presented in a technical document by Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)/World Health Organization (WHO), and which was adopted in the negotiation of the Voluntary Guidelines of Food and Nutrition Systems (Supplementary Material: http://cadernos.ensp.fiocruz.br/static/arquivo/suppl-e00195520-ingles_4593.pdf).

Discussion

The conceptual debate on healthy eating, sometimes considered “abstract”, is essential for understanding the political conflicts in the sphere of health and nutrition. The analysis signaled tensions and conflicts between the ideas that contribute to certain proposals vying for space on the government agenda. Distinct perspectives of healthy eating emerge through movements of reconfiguration of ideas, approaches that mix and coexist, comprising a multidimensional spectrum of eating. Some are established in opposition to others, generating tensions, disputes, and conflicts between ideas that may not be reconcilable because they drive antagonistic actions.

As regards perspectives on healthy eating, the set of policies in the health sector indicates a reconfiguration of ideas developed in the context of emergence of nutrition science, when healthy eating was defined as a food consumption profile capable of guaranteeing all the necessary nutrients for growth and for maintenance of the body’s biological functions and/or of preventing nutritional disorders. This perspective, referred to here as nutritional (centered on nutrient) and biological (centered on the biological body), is grounded in the logic of meeting standard nutritional recommendations and requirements. This approach backs actions in the prevention of diseases caused by specific nutrient deficiencies and may be medicalizing, since it treats eating, previously not considered a medical problem, through the lens of medicine.

However, the progressive process of medicalization of eating and the advent of NCDs contributed to a perspective of healthy eating referred to here as biomedical and medicalizing which, besides nutritional and biology-centered, is focused on the prevention of diseases based on a risk factor logic. Such medicalization now viewed eating and certain foods and nutrients as risk factors (or protective factors) in relation to NCDs, an idea that had not previously provided the basis for the public agenda. The notion of food risk already existed in premodernity, but it was associated with physical contamination and food shortages. The context was marked by a culturalist perspective of healthy eating, defined according to culture and territoriality, when dietary interventions to treat diseases were based on knowledge of foods’ therapeutic power, referenced not on their active ingredients or functional qualities but according to other rationalities.

Medicalization is also a field of disputes over meanings. On the one hand, this can strengthen medical power by interconnecting the ways of controlling multidimensional issues such as eating, reducing it to the lens of a science with a specific rationality, namely that of traditional Western medicine. Meanwhile, medicalization can produce new “truths” and techniques aimed at meeting distinct human needs and possibilities for action in society.

The consolidation of Nutrition as both a science and a paramedical profession was linked to the discovery of nutrients and calories and to the definition of standardized human nutritional needs. This logic of rationalization based on foods’ nutritional value and/or on the medicalization of eating can be identified in distinct ideas comprising the discursive repertoires on healthy eating, such as: (1) the association of certain foods and nutrients with the risk or prevention of diseases; (2) the association between healthiness and foods with low levels of calories, fats, and other specific nutrients;
and (3) healthiness related initially to food supplements/complements based on micronutrients and/or fiber and progressively to the consumption of “functional” foods, the so-called “superfoods”, and to food fortification.

The above-mentioned configuration expresses not only medicalization but a pharmaceuticalization of eating, namely the use of products without a specific therapeutic function to achieve a certain “supernormality” (60). This favors the consumption of pharmaceutical products or “fortified” seeds and “enriched” foods in keeping with the private commercial sector’s interests (1). Thus, food systems have progressively incorporated industrial technologies and mechanisms to process seeds and foods, aimed at altering their nutritional composition and increasing their yield and durability (59,69). In this sense, PNAN 1999 took a step forward by valuing the monitoring of the industrialization and marketing of pharmaceutical and dietary products, an action that was not emphasized in PNAN 2013, despite the intensive pharmaceuticalization of eating.

Ideas on healthy eating related to foods’ functionality and discourses on selfcare, with the exacerbation of health concerns and the cult of the body and aesthetics, have driven both the franchises related to “healthy eating” and the consumption of basic, organic foods. The perspective of preventing or treating diseases gives way to practices aimed at wellness, fitness, and “happiness”, but also based on scientific standardization and an ideal of healthy eating (4).

The allusion to the principles of “health” lend legitimacy to the consumption of certain foods and products and drive market niches and specific policies. In parallel, other principles, values, and ideas not circumscribed within the cognitive matrices of health gained growing force in the repertoires on healthy eating: environmental sustainability, equity, and social justice, family traditions, traditional peoples’ cultures, and local produce.

Some inflections and tensions contributed to the reconfiguration of the biology-centered, nutritional, and/or medicalizing perspective of healthy eating, which persists and is strengthened with new trappings. A turning point is established with ideas associated with the concept of “eating practices”, encompassing nutrients, foods, meals, purchases, consumption, and preparation in their biopsychosocial dimensions, seen here as a multidimensional perspective of healthy eating, identified in some health sector policies. The main turning point came with the concept of adequate and healthy eating, originating from the debate on food systems, sustainability, food and nutritional security, human right to adequate food, and the notion of real food, seen here as a systemic perspective of healthy eating, encompassing elements of multidimensionality, but grasping them with an interdisciplinary approach. This conception is conditioned by inter-sector and socially participatory institutionality, and the documents’ authorship mixes civil society and government segments. The concept of adequate and healthy eating problematizes the discussion of risk factors, encompassing both biological/health, nutritional, and socioenvironmental risks and redefining the term “adequate” itself, beyond a minimum package of specific nutrients.

The ideas comprising these different repertoires can reinforce specific action proposals: (1) the biology-centered nutritional perspective features changes in individual consumption with a focus on nutrients and food composition; (2) the biology-centered and medicalizing nutritional perspective features the control of risk factors from an individual and/or collective approach; (3) the multidimensional perspective features actions on the multiple conditioning factors of eating practices and on ways of life, living conditions, and institutional environments; and (4) the systemic perspective features inter-sector links and impacts on environmental, social, economic, and cultural sustainability and fair and agroecological transitions.

A distinction between the multidimensional and systemic approaches to healthy eating is that the latter is based on an integrated analysis of the multiple dimensions of healthy eating, thereby strengthening inter-sector policies. Even so, the multidimensional perspective of healthy eating is reconfigured in health policies, progressively incorporating reflections on food systems, human right to adequate food, food and nutritional security, and inter-sector action. In a two-way process, the inter-sector and participatory institutionality of food and nutritional security favored this movement. The systemic approach to healthy eating expresses the multiple views and interests of actors, especially those from civil society, who invest their political action in the cognitive matrices and interpretative systems of food and nutritional security, pointing to a unity between “food systems and nutrition”.
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This process illustrates how the ideas are conditioned by institutionality and simultaneously institute their contours, linking to the interests and institutional context, mutually affecting each other.

The private commercial sector displays a fragmented appropriation of the ideas present in different perspectives of healthy eating by limiting its discursive repertoires to specific conditioning factors of healthy eating, such as individual responsibility, driven by “educational actions” and neutralizing conflicts, suggesting that there are no distinctions between their practices and products when compared to other segments of producers who in fact operate under totally distinct and even opposing principles and logics (such as the agroecology component) \(^{20,23,62}\). The private sector thus aims to depoliticize the debate by coopting some principles of healthy eating without addressing them comprehensively. The partial appropriation of issues related to the food system’s sustainability while overlooking the other principles of food and nutritional security and human right to adequate food, can lead to pseudo-solutions such as “organic” ultra-processed foods, produced by intensive practices and monoculture.

In other cases, the disputes are even more visible, such as in the private sector’s strategy of denying the idea that “healthy foods” and “unhealthy foods” exist, or of denying and disqualifying the NOVA classification (especially its concept of ultra-processed foods), besides denying the term “real food”, arguing that all foods are real and that there is no such thing as fake food. Other tensions with the private commercial sector emerge in the biology-centered and medicalizing nutritional perspective due to the association between foods’ nutrients/nutritional composition, including those of ultra-processed foods, and NCDs. Paradoxically, this same perspective favors pharmaceuticalization and drives strategic markets for this sector, as mentioned. In addition, the private commercial sector coopts elements that associate healthiness with nutritional attributes aiming to add nutrients to ultra-processed foods or make voluntary agreements to reduce them (e.g., sodium), which contradictorily assumes that their original formulation characterizes them as “unhealthy foods”.

The disputes over these various narratives and political actions affect government decisions through formal institutional spaces that allow participation by civil society and the private sector (in many cases disregarding conflicts of interest) and by processes taking place in the political backstage. State organization (both formal and informal) may occasionally favor the linkage between converging interests of the public, private, and/or civil society segments. In a two-way process, the actors may establish policy networks that traverse the different institutions and lead to alliances that affect decision-making processes in different directions, depending on their power resources.

Conclusions

The principal disputes identified here concern ideas on the following: the existence of “unhealthy foods”; attributions, limits, and forms of State action (which ones should be regulated, and how); eating as an individual or public matter; and the meanings of sustainability, commensality, culture, and food. Policy positions in relation to pesticides, food fortification, and supplementation – including the regulation of these practices – also signal key elements in these disputes.

In the political action sphere, fragmentation, downplaying, and distortion of meanings are strategies used by the private commercial sector that particularly reinforce the polarization between individual actions and environmental interventions and convey a limited conception of nutrition education. The discursive repertoire and private corporate action are aligned with commercial interests and are adjusted to various market niches (including segments concerned with healthy eating), aimed at consolidating ideas on healthy eating that can be served by their products. Civil society exerts political impact by pressuring governments to include concepts and principles in their policies that directly impact the parameters in dispute, such as adequate and healthy eating, human right to adequate food, and food and nutritional security. Civil society, government sectors, and the private commercial sector (which are heterogeneous) compete for public resources, and the governments’ degree of permeability to pressures from the actors (both internal and external) depends on their profile and types of interests they are intended to promote. The State’s institutionality can contribute to policy profiles that are variably hybrid or plural (attempting to serve different interests) by favoring social participa-
tion or inter-sector action to greater or lesser degrees. The types of discourses and institutionalities are heterogeneous.

The different segments of actors complexify their strategies by aiming to incorporate (in different ways) the ideas comprising the systemic perspective of healthy eating, consistent with their interests. The terms of the discourses can be used to foment ideas devoid of the meaning contained in the political action that propelled them.

The obsession with “risks”, health, or “healthy”, limited to the biological perspective, favors medicalizing solutions. The ideas that downplay the different types of risks, as part of private corporate action, can encourage the consumption of ultra-processed foods and undermine regulatory strategies.

This fragmented way of dealing with eating generates pseudo-solutions. It is thus important to consolidate perspectives of healthy eating that avoid treating the different dimensions of eating dichotomously and partially. The systemic perspective points to paths in this direction, based on interdisciplinary knowledge, linking different types of knowledge in inter-sector policies and institutionality. This vision complexifies the public decisions and requires redesigning policies in keeping with a logic of integrated planning.

The area of the promotion of healthy eating features the challenges of implementing regulatory measures and policies that affect living conditions and build educational practices and healthcare from the perspective of food and nutrition education. These State attributions are nontransferable and affect the unequal conditions of food “choices” and care. The disputes over ideas reveal tensions between public and private responsibilities and require the development of personal and collective skills, critical capacity, and autonomy, as reiterated by the Framework. These topics also create disputes, since autonomy in care can be conceived as either a positive principle or as delegation of responsibilities to the individual, and in the latter case it can result in undermining policies and compromising the State’s public responsibilities.

It is essential to understand how the process of dispute over ideas has driven action by different actors to promote effective impact on policymaking. To problematize and increase the visibility of this topic helps identify and justify priorities for the government food and nutrition agenda.
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Resumo

As ações de promoção da alimentação saudável são estratégicas para reversão dos problemas nutricionais. Este artigo analisa as disputas em torno das ideias presentes em repertórios discursivos sobre alimentação saudável em políticas nacionais, documentos internacionais, societários e de setor privado comercial, nos últimos vinte anos. Com base no método de análise documental em diálogo com a literatura acadêmica, foram identificadas as seguintes perspectivas de alimentação saudável: tradicional culturalista; nutricional biologicista medicalizante; multidimensional e sistêmica. As disputas instituem-se em torno das ideias sobre: a existência de “alimentos não saudáveis”; as atribuições, limites e formas de intervenção do Estado; a alimentação como uma questão da esfera individual ou de caráter público; os sentidos da sustentabilidade, da comensalidade, da cultura e da comida. Os posicionamentos adotados nas políticas em relação aos agrotóxicos, à fortificação de alimentos e à suplementação são elementos-chave dessas disputas. No âmbito da ação política, a fragmentação, a relativização e a distorção de significados são estratégias adotadas pelo setor privado comercial que reforçam a polarização entre ações individuais (estilos de vida, liberdade de escolha) e intervenções ambientais, e dissemnam uma concepção restrita de educação alimentar e nutricional. A sociedade civil incide politicamente pressionando os governos a instituírem, em suas políticas, concepções e princípios que afetam diretamente os parâmetros das disputas. Estes, por sua vez, agem de forma mais ou menos permeável às pressões dos atores (internos ou externos) a depender de sua composição e dos espaços institucionais de interlocução com a sociedade.
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Resumen

Las acciones de promoción de la alimentación saludable son estratégicas para la reversión de los problemas nutricionales. Este artículo analiza las disputas en torno a las ideas presentes en repertorios discursivos sobre alimentación saludable en políticas nacionales, documentos internacionales, societarios y del sector privado comercial, en los últimos 20 años. En base al método de análisis documental, en diálogo con la literatura académica, se identificaron las siguientes perspectivas de alimentación saludable: tradicional-cultural; nutricional-biologicista-medicalizante; multidimensional y sistémica. Las disputas se instituyen en torno a las ideas sobre: la existencia de “alimentos no saludables”; las atribuciones, límites y formas de intervención del Estado; la alimentación como una cuestión de la esfera individual o de carácter público; los significados de la sostenibilidad, comensalidad, cultura y comida. Las posturas adoptadas en las políticas, relacionadas con los pesticidas, fortificación de alimentos y suplementación, son elementos-clave de esas disputas. En el ámbito de la acción política, la fragmentación, relativización y distorsión de significados son estrategias adoptadas por el sector privado comercial, que refuerzan la polarización entre acciones individuales (estilos de vida, libertad de elección) e intervenciones ambientales, y diseminan una concepción restringida de educación alimentaria y nutricional. La sociedad civil incide políticamente, presionando a los gobiernos a que instituyan en sus políticas concepciones y principios que afectan directamente los parámetros de las disputas. Estos, a su vez, actúan de forma más o menos permeable a las presiones de los actores (internos o externos) dependiendo de su composición y de los espacios institucionales de interlocución con la sociedad.
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