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#### Abstract

Objective: To review the literature on studies that estimated the prevalence of high blood pressure (HBP) or systemic arterial hypertension (SAH) in Brazilian adolescents, considering the employed methodological procedures. Methods: Bibliographical research of prevalence studies of HBP/SAH in adolescents from 1995 to 2010. The search was conducted in the electronic databases PubMed/Medline, Lilacs, SciELO, and Isi Adolec. The descriptors "hypertension", "BP", "teen", "students", "cross-sectional", "prevalence" and "Brazil" were used in Portuguese and English. Furthermore, a score ranging from 0 to 18 based on Recommendations for Blood Pressure Measurement in Humans and Experimental Animals and the VI Brazilian Guidelines of Hypertension was elaborated, in order to analyze the procedures used to measure BP in studies. Results: Twenty-one articles were identified, mostly published in the last 10 years, and $90.5 \%$ were performed in school-based and regions of the Southeast, Northeast and South. The prevalence of HBP/SAH ranged from 2.5 to $30.9 \%$. The score of the studies ranged from 0 to 16. A significant negative correlation (rho $=-0.504$; $\mathrm{p}=0.020$ ) was observed between the prevalence of HBP/SAH and the score of BP measurement quality. Conclusion: The great variability of PAE/SAH estimates appears to be influenced by methodological procedures used in the studies.
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## Resumo

Objetivo: Revisar a literatura sobre estudos que estimaram a prevalência de pressão arterial elevada (PAE) ou hipertensão arterial sistêmica (HAS) em adolescentes brasileiros, considerando os procedimentos metodológicos empregados. Métodos: Pesquisa bibliográfica de estudos de prevalência de PAE/HAS em adolescentes de 1995 a 2010. A busca foi realizada nas bases de dados eletrônicos PubMed/Medline, Lilacs, SciELO, Isi e Adolec. Foram utilizados os descritores: "hipertensão", "pressão arterial", "adolescente", "estudantes", "estudos transversais", "prevalência" e "Brasil", nas línguas portuguesa e inglesa. Além disso, foi elaborado um escore, baseado nas Recommendations for Blood Pressure Measurement in Humans and Experimental Animals e nas VI Diretrizes Brasileiras de Hipertensão, para a análise dos procedimentos utilizados para medida da PA nos estudos variando de 0 a 18. Resultados: Foram identificados 21 artigos, a maioria publicada nos últimos 10 anos, sendo $90,5 \%$ realizados em base escolar e nas regiões sudeste, nordeste e sul do país. As prevalências de PAE/HAS variaram de 2,5 a 30,9\%. A pontuação dos estudos variou de 0 a 16 . Foi observada uma correlação negativa significante (rho $=-0,504$; $p=0,020$ ) entre a prevalência de PAE/HAS e o escore da qualidade da medida da PA. Conclusão: A grande variabilidade das estimativas da PAE/HAS parece ser influenciada pelos procedimentos metodológicos utilizados nos estudos.

Palavras-chave: Adolescente. Hipertensão. Pressão arterial. Estudantes. Revisão. Brasil.

## Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases are currently responsible for approximately $40 \%$ of the world mortality ${ }^{1}$. Systemic arterial hypertension (SAH) represents the main risk factor for cardiovascular diseases, and its early diagnosis has been pointed out as an important public health strategy.

In Brazil, studies indicate prevalence of SAH ranging from 22 to $44 \%$ in the adult population ${ }^{2}$. Even though most of the SAH diagnoses are established at the adult age, it is known that this disease can appear during childhood ${ }^{3}$. Therefore, measuring blood pressure (BP) has been recommended by the VI Brazilian Guidelines of Hypertension ${ }^{4}$, as well as by the Second Task Force on Blood Pressure Control in Children, since $1987^{5}$, as an important component of pediatric routine ${ }^{6}$, thus enabling its early diagnosis.

Throughout the past years, several studies have investigated the prevalence of SAH among children and adolescents in Brazil; however, the prevalence estimates provided by the studies present wide variation. For instance, in the study conducted by Rezende et al. ${ }^{7}$, the prevalence was $2.4 \%$, while in the analysis by Sakamoto et al. ${ }^{8}$, the prevalence was $30.9 \%$. These variable results concerning the estimates can be a result of different methodological procedures, especially with regard to the procedures adopted to measure BP. Therefore, it is necessary to synthetize the studies in order to better understand the data that are available in literature, which can subsidize public policies of prevention and treatment of SAH among Brazilian adolescents.

Thus, the objective of this study was to systematically review the studies that estimate the prevalence of high BP in Brazilian adolescents, according to sample characteristics and the employed methodological procedures.

## Methods

A bibliographic research of descriptive epidemiological studies analyzing the prevalence
of high BP in adolescents until 2010 was conducted. The research was performed in the electronic data bases PubMed/Medline (National Library of Medicine), Lilacs (Literatura Latino-Americana e do Caribe em Ciências da Saúde), SciELO (Scientific Electronic Library Online), Isi Web of Knowledge and Adolec. Descriptors were selected based on consultations to MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) and DeCS (Descritores em Ciências da Saúde). The following descriptors were considered, in English and in Portuguese: "hypertension", "blood pressure", "teen", "students", "cross-sectional", "prevalence" and "Brazil" (in order to locate articles with national samples). Besides, the logical operators "and" and "or" were used to combine the terms. All of the search, selection and assessment processes were performed by pairs, who fully and independently analyzed al of the studies that met the inclusion criteria. The results obtained by the evaluators were compared in order to check for the concordance between pairs. In case of divergences, the article was revised again by a third member of the research team.

From the bibliographic research, 479 publications were identified ( $\mathrm{PubMed}=262$; Lilacs $=83 ;$ SciELO $=13$; Isi $=28$ and, Adolec $=93$ ). Only studies investigating adolescents were included ( 10 to 19 years old) ${ }^{9}$, even those which approached other age groups and that collected data in Brazil; review articles, theses, dissertations, and monographs were not included, as well as studies with specific population, such as people with asthma, obese participants or children of hypertensive patients.

After reading the titles of the articles, 92 remained for the analysis of abstracts. From this analysis, the articles that met the inclusion criteria were fully read by the two evaluators, who fully and independently analyzed all of the studies that met the inclusion criteria. The results obtained by the evaluators were compared in order to verify concordance between pairs. In the case of divergences, the article was revised by a third researcher. It was also observed if studies had proper sample size, involving random selection, besides
the mention of ethical aspects. At the end of these procedures, 19 manuscripts were identified. After reading the manuscripts, two other studies cited in the reference list that had not been identified in the research were identified ${ }^{10,11}$, therefore being included afterwards, accounting for 21 articles, as presented in Figure 1.

For the analysis of articles, the following aspects were observed: year and region in which the study was carried out, age group, sample size, type of survey, criteria used to diagnose high BP and/or SAH, criteria employed to measure BP (placement, previous rest and calibration), type of used device (aneroid, mercury column or electronic), dimension of the sphygmomanometer cuff, number of measurements, the choice of the value used to determine the prevalence of high BP and/or SAH by gender and total prevalence of high BP and/or SAH.

In order to assess the adopted methodology to verify BP in children and adolescents, a score was elaborated based on the Recommendations for Blood Pressure Measurement in Humans and Experimental Animals ${ }^{12}$ and in the VI Brazilian Guidelines of Hypertension ${ }^{4}$ (Chart 1), whose scores ranged from one to three points according to the level of importance of recommendations. Based on the established criteria, the maximum possible score was 18 points, when all of the items of the recommendations were fulfilled.

For statistical analysis, the software SPSS for Windows was used, version 10.0. Normality and homogeneity of data variance were analyzed, and data did not show normal distribution. Therefore, Spearman's correlation was employed to analyze the correlation between the prevalence found and the obtained scores, using a $5 \%$ significance level.

## Results

Twenty-one articles published between 1998 and 2010 were identified. Table 1 demonstrates general information about the studies, the recommendations used to measure BP , the used terminology and the observed prevalence. Concerning terminology, $55.1 \%^{7,8,13-22}$ of the
articles used the descriptor SAH, $38.1 \%^{10-11,23-28}$ used the term HBP (high blood pressure) and $4.8 \%{ }^{29}$ referred to borderline BPE. Concerning the criteria used to classify high $\mathrm{BP}, 4.8 \%{ }^{10}$ did not provide information as to the adopted criteria, $4.8 \%{ }^{13}$ used the BP criterion $140 \times 90 \mathrm{mmHG}$, $23.8 \%^{8,17,24,26,28}$ adopted the 90 percentile, and $66.7 \%^{7,11,14-16,18-23,25,27,29}$ employed the 95 percentile.

All of the articles included male and female adolescents, however, only $52 \%$ presented high BP prevalence stratified by gender ${ }^{7,8,13-15,18,20-22,25,28}$. Out of these, $18.1 \%^{14,20}$ did not present significant differences of high

BP between men and women, $36.4 \%^{8,13,15,21}$ pointed out to the higher prevalence among male participants and in $45.5 \%^{7,18,22,25,28}$ the female gender had more prevalence of high BP.

The compiled studies were conducted in the Southeast (38\%) ${ }^{7,13-15,19-21,29}$, Northeast $(28.5 \%)^{11,16,17,22-23,25}$, South ( $\left.24 \%\right)^{8,10,24,26,27}$ and Center-West regions $(9.5 \%)^{18,28}$. No studies were verified in the North region. The sample size of the studies ranged from $55^{8}$ to 3,169 individuals ${ }^{18}$. Only one analysis was regional16, and the others were restricted
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Figure 1 - Selection Flowchart of studies included in the review.
Figura 1 - Fluxograma da seleção dos estudos incluídos na revisão.
to municipal areas. Out of the 21 articles, $90 \%$ were performed by school-based surveys ${ }^{7,8,10,11,13,14,16-20,22-26,28-30}$ and $10 \%$ by household survey ${ }^{15,27}$. In school-based surveys, the prevalence ranged from $2.5^{7}$ to $30.9 \%^{8}$; as to household surveys, prevalence ranging from $12.3^{27}$ to $17.9 \%^{15}$ was described.

Table 2 presents a summary of the methods used in the study. The auscultatory method was used in $61.9 \%^{7,10,11,13,16,18-20,22-26}$ of the studies; the oscillometric method was used in $14.3 \%^{8,14,21}$, and $23.8 \%$ did not report the used method ${ }^{15,17,27-29}$. The calibration of the instrument used to measure BP was reported in $19 \%$ of the cases ${ }^{7,19,24,26}$. As to the number of
evaluators to measure BP, $23.8 \%$ of the studies reported a single person ${ }^{7,8,11,22,26}$ and $4.8 \%$ indicated that measurement was conducted by 4 evaluators, who were subjected to audiometric evaluation.

Out of the 21 studies, $43 \%$ did not specify the use of cuffs with different sizes according to the circumference of the arm of the participant ${ }^{8,10,13-15,17,23,28,29}$. Rest prior to BP measurement was described in $48 \%$ of the articles, and rest lasted from $2^{18}$ to 30 minutes ${ }^{13}$.

With regard to the number of BP measurements on the same day, $9.5 \%$ of the studies did not detail the collection logistics ${ }^{10,17}$, one study reported one single measurement ${ }^{23}$,

Chart 1 - Scores to evaluate the method used to measure blood pressure based on the VI Brazilian Guidelines on Hypertension (2010) and the Recommendations for Blood Pressure Measurement in Humans and Experimental Animals (2005).

Quadro 1 - Pontuação para avaliação do método utilizado na medida da pressão arterial baseada nas na VI Diretrizes Brasileiras de Hipertensão (2010) e nas Recommendations for Blood Pressure Measurement in Humans and Experimental Animals (2005).

| Assessed item | Established by the guidelines | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conditions prior to measurements | Not having practiced physical exercise for at least 60 minutes, not having ingested alcoholic drink, coffee, foods and drinks in the 30 minutes prior to measurement and having an empty bladder during measurement. | 1 |
| Placement of the arm during measurement | The arm should be at the point of the heart, with the hand palm turned up and elbow slightly flexed. | 1 |
| Placement of the evaluated person during measurement | Sitting position, legs not crossed, feet on the floor and back leaning on the chair. | 1 |
| Previous rest | At least 5 minutes. | 2 |
| Size of the cuffs | Obtain the approximate circumference of the mid-arm. <br> After measurement, select the adequate cuff to the arm circumference. | 2 |
| Type of device | Mercury column, aneroid or electronic calibrated and validated phygmomanometers. | 1 |
| Number of measurements | According to the Recommendations for Blood Pressure Measurement in Humans and Experimental Animals, there should be at least two measurements. The VI Brazilian Guidelines of Hypertension indicate at least three measurements. | 2 |
| Interval between measurements | At least 1 minute. | 1 |
| Used final value | Mean of the last two measurements should be used to represent BP. If there is a difference of 4 mmHg between the first and the second measurement, an additional one should be taken and, afterwards, the mean of these multiple measurements should be used. | 2 |
|  | Normoteniono: BP < 90 percetile, since it is lower than 120/80 mmHg; |  |
| Diagnostic criteria | Borderline or "pre-hypertension": between 90 and 95 percntiles or BP higher than 120/80 mmHg; | 3 |
|  | High BP or hypertension: BP $\geq 95$ percentile. |  |
| Diagnostic criteria for SAH | BP measurement on different days | 3 |
| Total score |  | 18 |

Table 1 - Characteristics of articles, referred recommendations, diagnostic criteria and prevalence of high blood pressure in Brazilian adolescents from 1995 to 2010.
Tabela 1 - Características dos artigos, recomendações referidas, critérios para o diagnóstico e prevalências de pressão arterial elevada em adolescentes brasileiros no período de 1995 a 2010.

| Article | Place | n | Age group | Recommendations referred* | Terminology (Diagnostic criteria) | Prevalence of SAH or HBP by gender | Total prevalence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Costa and Sichieri ${ }^{15}$ <br> Rev Bras Epidemiol, 1998. | Rio de Janeiro (RJ) | 646 | 12-19 | 1 | SAH ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 95$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-60.9\% } \\ \text { F- } 39.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | SAH-17.9\% |
| Oliveira et al. ${ }^{19}$ <br> J Pediatr (Rio J), 1999. | Belo Horizonte (MG) | 1005 | 6-18 | $2^{*}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Borderline } \mathrm{BP}(\geq 90 \mathrm{P}<95) \\ \text { SAH }(\mathrm{P} \geq 95) \end{gathered}$ | NI | SAH-3.9\% |
| Rezende et al. ${ }^{7}$ <br> Arq Bras Cardiol, 2003. | Barbacena (MG) | 611 | 7-14 | 2 | SAH ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 95$ ) | $3^{\text {rd }}$ measurement: <br> M-26.7\% <br> F-73.3\% | SAH <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ measurement:16.6\% <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ measurement: $4.6 \%$ <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ measurement: 2.5\% |
| Almeida et al. ${ }^{13}$ J Bras Nefrol, 2003. | Sorocaba (SP) | 633 | 15-25 | 3 | SAH ( $\geq 140 \times 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} M-75.6 \% \\ F-24.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | SAH-14.2\% |
| Moura et al. ${ }^{25}$ <br> J Pediatr (Rio J), 2004. | Maceió (AL) | 1253 | 7-17 | $4,2^{\text {\#\# }}$ | SAH ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 95$ ) | $\begin{gathered} M-44.1 \% \\ F-55.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | HBP - 9.4\% |
| Silva et al. ${ }^{22}$ <br> Arq Bras Cardiol, 2005. | Maceió (AL) | 1253 | 7-17 | $4,2^{\# \#}$ | SAH ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 95$ ) | $\begin{gathered} M-48.5 \% \\ F-51.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | SAH-7.7\% |
| Mendes et al. ${ }^{17}$ Rev Bras Saúde Mater Infant, 2006. | Recife (PE) | 421 | 14-19 | $2^{* *}$ | SAH (P>90) | NI | SAH-11.4\% |
| da Silva and Rosa ${ }^{27}$ <br> Arch Latinoam Nutr, 2006. | Porto Alegre (RS) | 706 | 6-17 | $5,2^{\text {\#\# }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Borderline } \mathrm{BP}(\geq 90 \mathrm{P}<95) \\ \text { SAH }(\mathrm{P} \geq 95) \end{gathered}$ | NI | HBP -12.3\% |
| Ribeiro et al. ${ }^{29}$ <br> Arq Bras Cardiol, 2006. | Belo Horizonte (MG) | 1450 | 6-18 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Borderline } \mathrm{BP}(\geq 90 \mathrm{P}<95) \\ \text { SAH }(\mathrm{P} \geq 95) \end{gathered}$ | Nl | Borderline BP-12\% SAH - ? |
| Monego and Jardim ${ }^{18}$ Arq Bras Cardiol, 2006. | Goiânia (GO) | 3.169 | 7-14 | NI | $\begin{gathered} \text { Borderline } \mathrm{BP}(\geq 90 \mathrm{P}<95) \\ \text { SAH }(\mathrm{P} \geq 95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} M-43.7 \% \\ F-56.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | SAH-5\% |
| Sakamoto et al. ${ }^{8}$ Cien Cuid Saude, 2007. | Maringá (PR) | 55 | 15-17 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SAH } \\ (\mathrm{SAH} \text { or DBP P }>90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} M-94.0 \% \\ F-6.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | SAH-30.9\% |
| Rosa et al. ${ }^{21}$ <br> Arq Bras Cardiol, 2007. | Niterói (RJ) | 456 | 12-17 | 3 | SAH ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 95$ ) | $\begin{gathered} M-57.1 \% \\ F-42.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | SAH-4.6\% |
| Romanzini et al. ${ }^{26}$ Cad Saúde Pública, 2008. | Londrina (PR) | 644 | 15-18 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pre-hypertension }(P \geq 90 \text { ou } \\ & \geq 120 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}) \end{aligned}$ | NI | HBP - 18.6\% |
| Leite et al. ${ }^{24}$ <br> Fisioter Mov, 2009. | Curitiba (PR) | 270 | 10-16 | NI | SAH (SBP and/or DBP with $P \geq 90$ or $B P \geq 120 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) | NI | HBP - 10.7\% |
| Freitas et al. ${ }^{11}$ <br> Rev Bras Enferm, 2010. | Fortaleza (CE) | 307 | 12-17 | NI | Borderline BP $(\geq 90 P<95) \text { high } B P(P \geq 95)$ | NI | HBP - 11.7\% |
| Vieira et al. ${ }^{28}$ <br> Acta Paul Enferm, 2009. | Cuiabá (MT) | 329 | 11-14 | 7 | High BP ( $P \geq 90$ ) | $\begin{gathered} M-48.7 \% \\ F-51.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | HBP -11.2\% |
| Rodrigues et al. ${ }^{20}$ <br> J Pediatr (Rio J), 2009. | Vitória (ES) | 380 | 10-14 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Borderline } \mathrm{BP}(\geq 90 \mathrm{P}<95) \\ \text { SAH }(P \geq 95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} M-50 \% \\ F-50 \% \end{gathered}$ | SAH-3.4\% |
| Cândido et al. ${ }^{14}$ <br> Eur J Pediatr, 2009. | Ouro Preto (MG) | 780 | 6-14 | 7 | Pre-hypertension ( $\geq 90 \mathrm{P}<95$ ) $\text { SAH }(P \geq 95)$ | $\begin{gathered} M-50 \% \\ F-50 \% \end{gathered}$ | SAH-2.7\% |
| Gomes and Alves ${ }^{23}$ Cad Saúde Pública, 2009. | Recife (PE) | 1878 | 14-20 | 7 | SAH in $<17$ years old ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 95$ ) or $18-20$ years old $(\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) | NI | HBP - 17.3\% |
| Cimadon, Geremia and Pellanda ${ }^{10}$ <br> Arq Bras Cardiol, 2010. | Bento Gonçalves (RS) | 590 | 9-18 | 8 | NI | NI | HBP-11.1\% |
| Griz et al. ${ }^{16}$ <br> Arq Bras Endocrinol <br> Metabol, 2010. | Região Metropolitana de Recife (PE) | 1824 | 14-20 | 9 | SAH in $<17$ years old ( $\mathrm{P}>95$ ) or $18-20$ years old ( $\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) | NI | SAH-16.9\% |

*Recomendations referred: 1: Fifth Report of The Joint National Committee; 2: Task Force (\#from 1987; \#\#from 1996); 3: IV Diretrizes Brasileiras de Hipertensão Arterial; 4: III Consenso Brasileiro de HAS (1998); 5: American Heart Association; 6: National High Blood Pressure Education Program; 7:V Diretrizes Brasileiras de Hipertensão Arterial; 8: I Diretriz de Prevenção da Aterosclerose na Infância e na Adolescência; 9: Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. PA: Blood pressure; HAS: Hypertension; PAE: High blood pressure; NI: Not informed.
*Recomendações referidas: 1: Fifth Report of The Joint National Committee; 2: Task Force (\#de 1987; \#\#de 1996); 3: IV Diretrizes Brasileiras de Hipertensão Arterial; 4: III Consenso Brasileiro de HAS (1998); 5: American Heart Association; 6: National High Blood Pressure Education Program; 7: V Diretrizes Brasileiras de Hipertensão Arterial; 8: I Diretriz de Prevenção da Aterosclerose na Infância e na Adolescência; 9: Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Pressure.
BP: Pressão arterial; SAH: Hipertensão arterial sistêmica; HBP: Pressão arterial elevada; NI: Não Informado.

Table 2 - Methods used to diagnose high blood pressure among Brazilian adolescents from 1995 to 2010.
Tabela 2 - Métodos utilizados para o diagnóstico de pressão arterial elevada em adolescentes brasileiros no período de 1995 a 2010.

| Article | Size of the cuffs | Previous rest | Placement during measurement | Type of device | N . of measurements/Interval between measurements | Used value | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Costa and Sichieri ${ }^{15}$ | NI | 15 min . | Sitting. Without smoking, eating or drinking for at least 15 min . | NI * | 2 measurements, and a third one in case the difference was higher than $5 \mathrm{mmHg} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$. interval. | Mean of 2 meausrements. <br> The first measurement was ignored, in the case of 3 measurements. | 10 |
| Oliveira et al. ${ }^{19}$ | $25 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $18 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 10 min . | Sitting. Right arm at the height of the precordium | Calibrated mercury column | 2 measurements/10 min. interval | Mean of 2 measurements | 15 |
| Rezende et al. ${ }^{7}$ | Different sizes | 3 to 5 min. | Sitting. Right arm at the height of the precordium | Calibrated aneroid | 3 measurements on 3 different days/ 2 min. interval | Lowest value | 16 |
| Almeida et al. ${ }^{13}$ | $26 \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$. When arm circumference is inferior to 25 cm or superior to 32 cm , values were corrected by the Maxwell formula. | 30 min . | NI | Mercury column | 3 measurements/ 1 min . interval. When DBP or SBP differed in 6 mmHg or more, 3 new measurements were taken | Mean of 3 measurements | 10 |
| Moura et al. ${ }^{25}$ | $25 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $18 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm}$ | NI | NI | Mercury column | 2 measurements/2 min. interval | Measurement presenting $P \geq 95$ | 11 |
| Silva et al. ${ }^{22}$ | Different sizes | NI | NI | Mercury column | 2 measurements | Mean of 2 measurements | 13 |
| Mendes et al. ${ }^{17}$ | NI | NI | NI | NI | NI | NI | 0 |
| da Silva and Rosa ${ }^{27}$ | Different sizes | NI | Sitting. Right arm at the heart level. Interval of at least 30 minutes. After exercise or meal. | N** | 2 measurements/1 min. interval | Mean of 2 measurements | 13 |
| Ribeiro et al. ${ }^{29}$ | NI | NI | NI | NI | 2 measurements | Mean of 2 measurements | 7 |
| Monego and Jardim ${ }^{18}$ | Different sizes | 2 min . | Sitting | Aneroid | 2 meausrements/ 2 min. interval | $1^{\text {st }}$ measurement ignored, $2^{\text {nd }}$ measurement used | 12 |
| Sakamoto et al. ${ }^{8}$ | NI | 5 min . | Sitting. Right arm at the heart level. | Electronic | 2 measurements | NI | 6 |
| Rosa et al. ${ }^{21}$ | Different sizes | NI | NI | Electronic | 3 measurements on 2 different days/1 min. interval | Mean of 6 measurements | 14 |
| Romanzini et al. ${ }^{26}$ | Different sizes | 5 min . | Sitting | Calibrated aneroid | 2 measurements/ 3 min. interval. There could be the third measurement in case there was a 4 mmHg difference between measurements. | Mean of 2 or 3 measurements | 11 |
| Leite et al. ${ }^{24}$ | Different sizes | 5 min . | Sitting. Right arm at the heart level. | Calibrated mercury column | 3 measurements/ 2 min. interval | Mean of 2 last measurements | 12 |
| Freitas et al. ${ }^{11}$ | $12 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $23 \times 17 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 5 min . | Sitting, uncrossed legs, feet on the floor, back leaning on the chair, empty bladder. Arm at the heart level, hand palm turned up and elbow flexed. The non-use of drugs or stimulating food was observed. | Aneroid | 3 measurements/1 min. interval | Mean between the 2 last measurements | 16 |
| Vieira et al. ${ }^{28}$ | NI | NI | NI | NI | 2 measurements/5 min. interval | NI | 3 |
| Rodrigues et al. ${ }^{20}$ | Adequate size | NI | NI | Mercury column | 3 measurements $/ 2 \mathrm{~min}$. interval | Mean of 3 measurements | 11 |
| Cândido et al. ${ }^{14}$ | NI | NI | Sitting. Left arm at the heart level | Electronic | 3 measurements/ 10 min. When pressure was above the 90 percentile, it was ratified with the auscultatory method | Mean of 3 measurements | 11 |
| Gomes and Alves ${ }^{23}$ | NI | NI | Sitting. | Auscultatory method | 1 measurement | Measured value | 5 |
| Cimadon, Geremia and Pellanda ${ }^{10}$ | NI | NI | NI | Aneroid | NI | NI | 1 |
| Griz et al. ${ }^{16}$ | Adequate size | NI | Sitting | Mercury column | 2 measurements $/ 1$ to 2 min . interval | NI | 10 |

*These articles report having used equipment brands Sunmark and WANROSS, respectively, but did not describe the type of equipment; BP: Blood pressure; SAH: Hypertension; HBP: High blood pressure; NI: Not informed.
*Estes artigos referem ter usado aparelhos das marcas SunMark e WANROSS, respectivamente, porém não descrevem o tipo de aparelho; BP: Pressão arterial; SAH: Hipertensão arterial sistêmica; HBP: Pressão arterial elevada; NI: Não informado.
and the others mentioned two or three measurements. Studies that measured BP consecutively observed that the prevalence of HBP tends to decrease with the increasing number of measurements ${ }^{7,1,9,21}$.

Concerning the choice of the final BP value, $48 \%$ used the mean of the obtained measurements ${ }^{13-15,19-22,26,27,29}, 24 \%$ did not inform the criteria used to choose the final value ${ }^{8,10,16,17,28}, 14 \%$ ignored the first measurement, therefore using the mean between the two others ${ }^{11,24}$ or the second measurement, when only two were taken ${ }^{18}$, and $10 \%$ used the mean presenting percentile $\geq 95^{25}$ in the table of BP percentile or the lowest value found in measurements ${ }^{7}$.

The scores of the studies ranged from 0 to 16 points. By dividing the articles in tertiles, with regard to scores, the prevalence found in the first tertile (from 0 to 6 points) ranged from $11.1^{10}$ to $30.9 \%^{8}$, while in the last tertile (12 to 18 points), prevalence ranged from $2.5^{7}$ and $12.3 \%^{27}$. Figure 2 represents the relation between the aforementioned scores and the prevalence found in the articles used in this study. A significant negative correlation was observed ( $r=-0.504 ; p=0.020$ ) between the prevalence of HBP/SAH and the score of BP measurement quality.

## Discussion

With this review, it was possible to identify that prevalence studies of HBP in adolescents were mostly conducted in the Southeast, Northeast and South regions of the country. Only two studies were conducted in the Center-West region, and none was identified in the North region. Results showed that the prevalence of HBP ranged between regions. For instance, the highest prevalence of HBP identified in the Center-West region was of $11.7 \%$, while in the Southeast and Northeast regions they were of approximately $17 \%$, and in the South region, $30.9 \%$. Likewise, there were differences concerning the lowest prevalence identified in the regions. These results suggest that the prevalence of HBP can be influenced by the region where the teenager
lives, therefore it is important that further studies try to identify the causes of such inter-regional variability.

The mean values of HBP identified in Brazil are close to those reported in international studies. In a study conducted in China, prevalence was of approximately $20 \%^{31}$. A study with adolescents in Mexico also observed prevalence of HBP in adolescents, of $20.6 \%^{32}$. Kollias et al. ${ }^{33}$ studied adolescents aged 12 to 17 years old in Greece and found that $22.9 \%$ of these teenagers had HBP. However, it is worth to mention that, similarly to national studies, the comparison with international studies is also difficult due to the different employed methodological procedures.

The results in this study indicated that the age group of the samples in the studies ranged from 6 to 20 years old. Since the prevalence of HBP tends to increase with age $^{34}$, the number of individuals at different age groups is a factor that contributes with the different prevalence described by the studies ${ }^{34}$. Out of the analyzed studies, $28.6 \%{ }^{14,18,19,23,25,26}$ demonstrated prevalence stratified by age group, and most of them showed that the percentage distribution


SAH: Hypertension; HBP: High blood pressure. SAH: Hipertensão arterial sistêmica; HBP: Pressão arterial elevada.
Figure 2 - Relationship between the prevalence of high blood pressure in Brazilian adolescents from 1995 to 2010 and the scores obtained in relation to the used methodological processes ( $r=-0.504 ; p=0.020$ ).
Figura 2 - Relação entre as prevalências de pressão arterial elevada em adolescentes brasileiros no período de 1995 a 2010 e os escores obtidos em relação aos processos metodológicos utilizados ( $r=-0,504 ; p=0,020$ ).
of HBP as to age group increased with age ${ }^{14,19,23,25,26}$. However, in the study by Monego et al. ${ }^{18}$, which included children and adolescents ( 7 to 14 years old), such a relationship was not shown, once much of the HBP prevalence was concentrated in the age group of children ( 7 to 10 years old). A study conducted by Gomes et al. ${ }^{23}$, who worked only with the teenage population ( 14 to 20 years old), showed the increasing percentile with age, and found values of $14.9 \%$ in the age group of 14 to 15 years old, to $18.8 \%$ in the age group of 18 to 20 years old. Such relationship, which is similar to the one found by Romanzini et al. ${ }^{26}$, who also worked with teenagers, is possibly caused by hormone changes and alterations in the body composition observed in this stage of life.

Moststudies opted for the school-based surveys. This methodology has been adopted since it provides easy access to this population. The results of the study indicated that in school-based surveys, the prevalence ranged from 2.5 to $30.9 \%$; as to household surveys, prevalence ranged from 12.3 to $17.9 \%$, indicating more homogeneous data in household studies. The wider variability in school-based studies can be caused by the influence of factors related to school on the students. In fact, factors that are known to have an impact on blood pressure levels, such as climate, access to food and spaces available for the practice of physical activities in the school influence all of the students. Since the studies on this subject include different schools, such influence ends up leading to variable results. On the other hand, in household surveys, the influence of school is minimized, since there are students from more schools.

With this review, it was possible to identify that the criteria used to determine the high levels of blood pressure were different between studies. In fact, while some studies used the percentile higher than 90 as a cutoff point to define HBP ${ }^{8,17,24,26,28,29}$, others used the percentile for people aged more than 18 years old ${ }^{15,19}$, as opposed to the recommendations of the VI Brazilian Guidelines of Hypertension, which indicates that the pressure of an individual
aged from 1 to 17 years old is considered to be normal if percentile values are under 90, and also lower than $120 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$; between the 90 and 95 percentiles, it can be considered as borderline or pre-hypertension; if it is equal to or higher than 95 , it can be considered as hypertension ${ }^{35}$, according to the protocol used in $66.7 \%$ of the studies in this research ${ }^{7,11,14-16,18-23,25,27,29}$.

According to the VI Brazilian Guidelines of Hypertension ${ }^{4}$, in order to diagnose SAH several procedures must be conducted. The results in this study indicated a significantly negative correlation between the score of BP measurement quality and the prevalence of HBP or SAH. These results suggest that the prevalence of HBP or SAH observed in the studies may have been overestimated because of the non-realization of the recommended procedures to measure BP.

Among the factors that apparently have more influence on BP data, the number of measurements seems to be very important. In fact, while studies that used three measurements presented their highest prevalence as $14.2 \%^{13}$, those that used two or less measurements identified prevalence of up to $30.9 \%^{8}$. One of the studies in this review ${ }^{36}$ observed prevalence of HBP of $8.7 \%$ in the first measurement and $2.3 \%$ in the third BP measurement. Another variable that has major influence on the estimates of HBP is the number of days in which the measurement was taken. Rezende et al. ${ }^{7}$ obtained prevalence of 16.6 ; 4.6 ; and $2 ; 5 \%$ for BP measurements on the first, second and third days, respectively. Therefore, it is important to be careful when analyzing studies that took only one measurement on the same day, or several measurements on one day, since these two factors are directly related to the overestimation of HBP or SAH prevalence.

The results of the studies included in this review pointed out to the presence of high BP in childhood and adolescence. In practice, these results suggest that health managers and professionals should elaborate health promotion actions that can be employed at early ages.

One limitation of this study was the use of a score to classify the quality of the studies, and such a score was not previously validated. Even though the items used to create the score were established according to the protocols to measure BP recommended in literature, it is possible that, despite being logical, the weights given to each item may have presented bias.

## Conclusion

Based on this review, it was observed that the great variation related to the prevalence of HBP can be at least partly attributed to the quality of the procedures used to measure BP.

Besides, regional divergences should be minimized, with stimulation to conduct studies in the Center-West and North regions. Another important observation is that the results should be stratified by age group, once the evidence demonstrates differences in the prevalence of HBP between children and adolescents. Besides, household studies should be stimulated in order to know the risk factors related to children and adolescents outside of school, thus providing indications so that possible public policies and health promotion initiatives can be elaborated for this population as a whole; therefore, it could contribute with the prevention of this cardiovascular risk factor in adult life.
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