When one cannot stop reporting: journalists’ work process during the Covid-19 pandemic
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ABSTRACT This is a study with a qualitative approach with the objective of analyzing, based on the theoretical framework of Institutional Socioanalytical Analysis, the journalists’ discourse about their work process during the Covid-19 pandemic. From the projective interview with ten journalists, it was pointed out that the fear of infection by Covid-19, the decrease in wages, and violence were configured as crossings in their work processes. As a result, journalists sought lines of flight, such as, for example, meditation and reading of literary works to face the existing problems at work. In addition, the subjects stated that learning with the use of new technologies and the feeling of doing important work presented themselves as issues that potentiated their work. Thus, it is necessary to strengthen public policies to protect journalists, in the sense of valuing the profession, combating violence, and increasing the quality of life of these professionals. Mainly, this discussion should be used as a political agenda for intervention in the journalistic workers themselves, with a view to coping with the crossings and transformation, since its negative effects are complex, including with regard to the health of these individuals.
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RESUMO Trata-se de um estudo de abordagem qualitativa com o objetivo de analisar, a partir do referencial teórico da Análise Institucional Socioanalítica, o discurso dos jornalistas sobre o seu processo de trabalho durante a pandemia da Covid-19. A partir da entrevista projetiva com dez jornalistas, foram apontados que o medo da infecção por Covid-19, a diminuição salarial e a violência se configuraram atravessamentos em seus processos de trabalho. Com isso, os jornalistas buscavam linhas de fuga, como, por exemplo, a meditação e a leitura de obras literárias para enfretamento dos problemas existentes no trabalho. Além disso, os sujeitos afirmaram que o aprendizado com uso de novas tecnologias e o sentimento de estar realizando um trabalho importante se apresentavam como questões que potencializavam o seu labor. Dessa forma, faz-se necessário o fortalecimento de políticas públicas de proteção aos jornalistas, no sentido de valorização da profissão, combate à violência e aumento da qualidade de vida desses profissionais. Deve-se, principalmente, utilizar essa discussão como pauta política de intervenção nos próprios trabalhadores jornalistas, com busca ao enfretamento dos atravessamentos e transformação, uma vez que seus efeitos negativos são complexos, inclusive no que diz respeito à saúde desses indivíduos.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE Jornalismo. Infecções por coronavírus. Comunicação em saúde.
Introduction

The Sars-CoV-2, novel coronavirus, which causes the disease Covid-19, was detected on December 31, 2019 in Wuhan, China. The World Health Organization (WHO) confirmed the circulation of the new coronavirus on January 9, 2020; and the next day, the first sequence of Sars-CoV-2 was published by Chinese researchers. On January 16, 2020, the first import into Japanese territory was notified. On January 30 of that year, the WHO declared the epidemic an international emergency.\(^1\)

Thus, taking into account the high infectivity of the etiological agent of Covid-19, the lack of previous immunity in the human population and of a vaccine against this virus at the beginning of the pandemic caused the growth in the number of cases to be exponential. As a result, Nonpharmaceutical Interventions (NPI) were indicated, with the aim of inhibiting transmission between individuals, slowing down the spread of the disease and, thus, delaying and reducing the peak of occurrence in the epidemic curve.\(^2\)

NPI are public health measures with an individual scope (washing hands, respiratory etiquette, use of a mask and social distancing), environmental (routine cleaning of environments and surfaces, procedures that help eliminate viruses) and community (actions taken by managers, employers and/or community leaders to protect the population, such as, for example, restricting the operation of schools, universities, places of community activities).\(^3\)

In addition to health issues, the Covid-19 pandemic has had an impact on economic, political, social and cultural dynamics. When it comes to the adoption of community-based NPI, changes were also noticed in work dynamics due to the high transmissibility of Covid-19. As a result, several countries determined that only public services and essential activities were to be maintained.

In Brazil, Decree No. 10,282, of March 20, 2020\(^4\), stated that public services and essential activities are those indispensable to meet the unavoidable needs of the community, thus considered those that, if not met, endanger survival, health or the safety of the population, such as health care, including medical and hospital services; national defense and civil defense activities; telecommunications and internet, among others.

Despite constituting essential services, it is important to emphasize that both the exercise of work activities and working conditions are important sources of exposure to the virus.\(^5\)

In turn, the work situation is a territory for the spread of the disease.\(^6\)

In this pandemic, if the need to protect health professionals gained significant prominence, a similar situation did not occur for other professional categories. In Brazil, graphic elements displaying data stratified by sex, geographic space and age group are often created with the aim of providing subsidies for better control and prevention of Covid-19, as well as planning and allocation of resources needed to operationalize health systems. However, the morbidity micro-indicators, in the publications of these statistics are not disaggregated to the level of occupation, which prevents the assessment of where and under what circumstances the subjects that tested positive or were diagnosed with the disease were working; nor do they provide the opportunity to identify dissemination focuses related to work activities.\(^5\)

Among the groups considered essential and whose work activity is aimed at the proliferation of important information about Covid-19 – which is also an important measure to combat the pandemic – we can highlight the role played by journalists, whose, during their professional practice of reporting news, need to do in logo investigations of the facts, with several the streets and making live contact with other individuals that serve as sources for the articles on the agenda.

In addition to the Covid-19 pandemic, the journalist’s work routine is often permeated by exposure to different psychosocial risk factors,
such as: living with situations of strong emotional impact, the urgency of time, pressure from editors-in-chief for ‘closing the agenda’, the intense competition for the ‘storyline’ and for the primacy of the news with its competitors, among others. This fact tends to accelerate in pandemic situations such as Covid-19.

A study aimed at identifying the working conditions of 557 communicators in their functions during the Covid-19 pandemic showed that there was an increase in working hours, an intensification of work activity, in which the adoption of personal protective equipment was identified as a complicator of the worker-company-public relationship. Professionals felt more tired; insecure about the future; and feared being contaminated, as well as for the lives of family members and for the collapsing of the health system. In addition, they showed concern about work, such as job loss, salary reduction, among other issues that tormented and made working hours more stressful.

Considering that “the living and working conditions of individuals and groups of the population are related to their health situation” 9(78), the NPI brought social and economic changes – in particular – to the work process of professionals from different sectors, and taking into account that information about Covid-19 is constant, this study aims to analyze, from the theoretical framework of Socio-analytical Institutional Analysis, the discourse of journalists about their work process during the Covid-19 pandemic.

**Methodology**

This is a study with a qualitative approach, having as theoretical-methodological framework the Institutional Analysis in its Socioanalytical aspect.

Socioanalysis was conceived within Institutional Analysis – one of the schools of the Institutionalist Movement –, in 1970, by René Lourau, being defined as a method of intervention in a situation that is based on analyzing the relationships that the multiple parties in the social game sustain with the overt and hidden system of institutions.10-13

Institutions are understood as logical decision trees that control human activities indicating what is indifferent, prohibited and permitted. Institutions are understood in a movement that generates them (instituting), an outcome (instituted) and a process (institutionalization). In order to concretely carry out their regulatory function, institutions materialize in organizations or establishments such as the media.

Through Socioanalysis, researchers (socioanalysts) offer subsidies for the analysis of the members (study participants) of an organization (such as telecommunications companies), regarding their relationships, conventions, attitudes and usual practices. For this to happen, ‘analyzing devices’ are used (material that stimulates discourse on the subject, for example), which organize the subversion (another version) of the institution (media, for example) with the help of words and the participation of members, so that there is the emergence of the unsaid (what is veiled).15

The universe of this study was formed by ten journalists who worked as street reporters in television newspapers, in compliance with the following inclusion criteria: 1) having a degree in journalism; 2) work as a street reporter on a television news program and; 3) have made at least three reports on the street about the Covid-19 pandemic.

The production of the material took place through a news panel about the work process of journalists during the Covid-19 pandemic, a projective interview and notes from a field diary prepared by the researchers.

The fieldwork implemented from May to September 2020 was divided into two stages. The first step consisted of creating a news panel (analyzing device). For this, initially, the researchers made a random choice of news about the work of journalists and the interface with Covid-19 through the Google search tool in the news tab.
Seeking to fully cover the 90 cm wide by 120 cm long space of the panel, the researchers made the choice, one by one, of all the news, which totaled seven articles chosen at random, that is, the total of articles that fit on the panel without compromising the resolution of the material. The panel served as support for the projective interview, since this technique, as stated by Goldenberg, uses visual resources to stimulate the response of the researched subjects.

The subjects covered by each article were characterized as follows: 1) Politicians ‘mock’ journalists for working during the Covid-19 pandemic; 2) Mental and physical health of reporters during the Covid-19 pandemic; 3) Interdiction of the Planalto stairs, forcing journalists to gather together; 4) Journalist who died of Covid-19 accused television station of neglecting the matter; 5) Ex-reporter of a network suffers from Burnout Syndrome; 6) United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (Unesco) emphasizes the importance of journalists’ safety in the face of the Covid-19 pandemic; 7) Reporter is attacked when showing agglomeration in a bank line during the night in Manaus-AM.

In the next step, the researchers randomly chose the first participant of the study to carry out the invitation and clarification of the research, taking place as follows: 1) access to the website of a television station, identified in this study as station A; 2) investigation of the videos about Covid-19 in the search toolbar on the site; 3) choice of the first study participant from the last video published at the time of the search, that is, the reporter who was reporting on the last video posted on the website was the first participant invited for the study.

The researchers contacted the reporter via professional e-mail, at which point the invitation to participate in the study was made, the Free and Informed Consent Term (ICF) was presented in PDF and the study objectives were explained. After the participant’s acceptance, the signed and scanned informed consent was sent to the researchers’ e-mail. Then, the interview was scheduled at the time established by the study participant.

The projective interview, with a maximum duration of 60 minutes, took place through the communication platform called ‘Google Meet’, which is a device that allows instant messaging and video chat. For this study, the researchers only used the voice call, and the entire meeting was recorded with the aid of a recorder. The news panel was presented to the study participant at the time of the interview.

After the completion of each interview, the study participant indicated another journalist to participate in the research, according to the technique called ‘snowball’, resulting in a total of ten study participants. The snowball technique consists of identifying a subject and asking him to indicate another, who, in turn, will indicate another, and so on, until the point of redundancy is reached – when it is observed that the information obtained are sufficiently confirmed; from this, the inclusion of other participants is no longer justified.

The speech of each journalist was transcribed, and then, together with the notes from the field diary, the accurate reading of all material was carried out.

The discursive corpus formed by the set of journalists’ discourses was analyzed according to the concepts of Socioanalysis in order to follow the lines that were formed during the interviews, thus emerging circles that broke up in ‘The work process of journalists during the Covid-19 pandemic: crossings and potentialities’.

The study was carried out with a favorable opinion from the Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri, under registration CAAE 31732620.5.0000.5108.

In order to maintain confidentiality, each of the participants was named as cardinal numbers; and the name of the stations, by letters of the alphabet.
Results and discussion

Characterization of study participants

Ten journalists participated in the study, only two of them female. Their age ranged from 27 to 38 years. Regarding the ethical/racial point of view, five subjects declared themselves to be brown; four, white; and one, indigenous. Regarding religion, Catholicism prevailed, with five subjects. Regarding location, subjects who live in the Southeast region prevailed, with six participants, two from the North region, one from the South region and one from the Midwest region.

Regarding professional aspects, the time working in the field of journalism ranged from four to ten years; the link with the current broadcaster, from one to nine years; and in the scope of the reports, state coverage matters prevailed.

The work process of journalists during the Covid-19 pandemic: crossings and potential

The infection of people by Covid-19, with its first cases appearing in December 2019 in Wuhan, China, can be understood, from the perspective of Socioanalysis, as an event, that is, a process and result of the affirmative activity of chance that it is configured as a substrate of small or large transformations that revolutionize history in all its levels and scopes14.

In the absence of specific treatment and few doses of vaccine available for Covid-19, small and large transformations are revolutionizing history as they reveal historical situations of neglect of public policies, including the underfunding of the public health system, science, technology and public universities, in addition to the devaluation of work and workers5,8.

During an epidemic, the work organization of different occupational groups undergoes profound changes in terms of working hours, overtime and work rhythm19, as in the case of the work process of journalists who have been working tirelessly to bring information about Covid-19 in times of a pandemic.

It is worth mentioning that, in addition to the changes imposed by the Covid-19 pandemic, communication professionals, such as journalists, have faced profound changes in the jobs market. The socio-technical structure of the means of production has been transformed with digital media and the internet. These events were assimilated by the communication market, with an increase in the precariousness of work, densification of the pace of activities and an increase in worked hours8, which can have a direct influence on the individual's illness process, since work can be seen as a social health determinant social – and, together with other factors, such as education and housing, for example, impact on the population's quality of life.

In this way, the Covid-19 pandemic finds the communication area in deep crisis, with dramatic perspectives for the jobs market of communication professionals, such as, for example, precarious contracts, layoffs, salary reduction, work densification, which leads to stress, aggravated by uncertainties about the future8.

The journalists interviewed in this study pointed to the decrease in salary, the increase in violence during work activity and the fear of being infected by Covid-19 as crossings experienced during the work process in the pandemic.

A crossing is configured as an intertwining, interpenetration and articulation of conservative orientation that serves as exploration, mystification and domination14.

Regarding the reduction of wages during the pandemic, the journalists interviewed made the following statements:

Here [the broadcaster] it was for financial reasons. So they said that it was to reduce salary because of the economic issue, but we are working less, we
are working only with 6 hours and a 15-hour break [...] We say that journalists are not just journalists while they are here at TV, we are journalists 24 hours a day, we don’t get paid to work 24 hours, but consequently the routine ends up being taken in that direction. There’s always something you can do to help. The idea is to help the next newscast. (Journalist 1, Midwest region).

I had hours and salary reduced. So, it’s another economic impact that invaded my house. It wasn’t just at other people’s houses that I told stories every day, but also inside my house, in my two jobs. (Journalist 5, Southeast region).

Reductions in wages and working hours were instituted by the Emergency Employment and Income Maintenance Program, of Law nº 14,020, of July 6, 2020, which authorizes employers to change wages and working hours during the Covid-19 pandemic in Brazil, by 25%, 50% or 70%, with a supplementary salary granted by the government. Certainly, the most harmful effects of such a measure are reserved for workers whose income is immediately allocated to usual expenses, such as food – one of the products that increased the most during the pandemic.

According to a survey carried out by the National Federation of Journalists (Fenaj), 3,930 journalists who work with a formal contract, at the bases of 16 unions in the country, had their salary and working hours reduced during the pandemic. Another 81 journalists had their employment contracts suspended, and 205 were fired. However, these data may be underreported as they correspond to a little more than half of the number of Unions of Journalists that represent the category in the country and it is the responsibility of companies to notify unions about the occurrence of individual agreements.

It is also worth mentioning that income is also an important social determinant of health, that is, it influences the individual’s health-disease process; and when there are differences or inequality in the processes of income distribution, there is an impact on health seen by the scarcity of resources of individuals and the absence of investments in community infrastructure (education, transport, sanitation, housing, health services, etc.), resulting from economic processes and political decisions, such as the Emergency Employment and Income Maintenance Program.

In addition, even when the salaries reduction are justified by the reduction of the presencial workload on television stations, according to the testimony of journalist 1, as stated by Travancas, the profession presupposes the dedication of personal time fully to work, because there is an implicit charge, if not explicit, that being a journalist means working 24 hours a day, and not just when you are in the newspaper doing street stories. This process can be understood in Socioanalysis as exploitation, that is, a procedure of expropriation of forces, means and results of productive methods carried out by dominant sectors (communication companies) on producers (journalists).

Another crossing experienced by journalists during the Covid-19 pandemic is violence during their work activities, as exposed in the following excerpts:

[...] Wow, several verbal aggressions. I can say for myself, on the street, since the beginning of the pandemic that it has become chaos, we even had to change our procedures, we ended up avoiding going out more on the street or in places with a large concentration of people, to avoid these types of aggression. But I suffered several, I lost count of how many I suffered during these 4 months of a pandemic. I had an attempt at physical aggression that just didn’t consolidate, because the wife of the boy who was going to attack me held him back. I had a colleague who was doing a report here in the center of municipality 3 and suffered a physical aggression from being pushed. I think verbal aggressions, sometimes they hurt as much as if it were physical aggression, you know? Because they end up hitting you as a human being and you as a professional, putting you in disbelief of what you’re
doing, being questioned if what you’re doing is really the right thing. (Journalist 3, South region).

[…] and suddenly, one day, when I hear a curse on the street, a person calling me a ‘pejorative name attributed to the station’ and shouting behind me, I start to have a very strong pain and I realize that this is stress-related. (Journalist 4, Southeast region).

During the pandemic, I was harassed several times, they interfered with our work when we were going to record, especially when I appeared with the company’s microphone. […] There is a situation where a colleague cries after the report or during the report. They even select, here at the company, who can do a certain type of report, when they have to catch someone in the act, for example, because it has really affected the mental health of reporters. (Journalist 6, North region).

[..]. Today we work with our car that is no longer plotted. Before, I had the identification of the station in the car and we were forced to remove the identification, mainly because of these aggressions that we were going through and we still do, for example, if we are recording on the street, making a live link, the people still drive by and attack. (Journalist 9, Southeast region).

Work-related violence is defined as a voluntary attitude of a subject or group against another subject or group that causes psychological or physical damage, that occurs in the work environment, or that involves relationships established at work or work-related activity, and can be considered also as a form of negligence in relation to working conditions; deprivation and violation of fundamental principles and labor and social security rights; as well as omission of care, help and solidarity in the face of some misfortune, characterized by the naturalization of death and work-related illness25.

As for journalist workers, according to Fenaj26, situations of violence are organized as follows: murders; arrests/detentions; attacks; violence against union organization; censorship; restrictions on freedom of expression by judicial means; impediments to professional practice; verbal aggressions; threats/intimidation; and physical assaults.

Brazil is the second country in the Americas with the highest number of journalists killed as a result of their work situation27. According to Fenaj26, in 2019, there were 114 cases of discrediting the press and 94 of direct attacks on professionals, totaling 208 cases of violence. The number is 54.07% higher than that recorded in 2018, when there were 135 cases of attacks on journalists.

In 2020, the situation worsened. There was a real explosion of violence against journalists and against the press. 428 episodes were recorded, 105.77% more than in 2019. Discrediting the press, as in the previous year, was the most frequent violence: 152 cases, representing 35.51% of the total28.

In addition, the fear of infection by Covid-19 also permeates the daily work of journalists, as seen in the following excerpts:

[…] but we know that we are on the street and that [the infection] can happen. I’m a little apprehensive precisely because of my parents. I live with my parents, so I, for myself, have peace of mind about the issue of getting sick, of course nobody wants to, now my father does not, my father will be 62 [years old] this year, my mother is 56 [years old] and they are not in good health. So, I have this concern with the family because of being this vector and elevating this danger into the house. (Journalist 1, Midwest region).

[…] because you are afraid of the disease and it is much more unknown than it is today. So I haven’t seen my family in about a year. I would do that at the beginning of the year, but there was the pandemic. So I couldn’t go on my birthday or Mother’s Day. So, it messes with our emotions a lot. (Journalist 4, Southeast region).
I start swapping words, sometimes, on the air and I’m afraid to go live to do something naturally that I used to do without problems. When you start having problems related to the language you speak, it starts to bring you a lot of suffering and this sometimes happened because you are so stressed, so afraid. (Journalist 4, Southeast region).

Taking into account the testimony of journalist 4, it is worth noting that the journalist’s work is characterized by a complex production process that begins with the perception, selection and transformation of an event (raw material), resulting in a report (product)\(^\text{31}\). In addition, there is an intensification of work demands in times of a pandemic that directly impacts production routines, product quality, and, especially, the well-being of these journalists, or even more seriously, forces them to putting their lives at risk, covering the daily life of environments linked to the disease (cemeteries, hospitals and crowded public spaces)\(^\text{32}\). However, participants in this study mentioned the practice of meditation and reading literary books, for example, as lines of flight to keep themselves well, improve quality of life and work productivity.

A line of flight is a vector of disorganization, a redistribution of possibilities that lead to an at least partial, unprogrammable transformation, linked to the unpredictable establishment of spaces-times, of unprecedented institutional arrangements; to make something escape, to make a system escape\(^\text{34}\), in accordance with the following reports:

I was already doing meditation, so, at this time, I’m trying to do even more. This anxiety crisis happened very recently – last week – and it really got in the way, not only in my personal life, but in my professional life as well. As I’m already in therapy, since then I’ve increased it to one more day a week, until this period that I’m feeling the more anxious passes. I started taking a natural anxiolytic, because I don’t take any other medication, so it might be a placebo, but it was good for me, because I don’t take anything and just taking something makes me...
feel calmer. I started taking video yoga classes and trying to sleep better, but there’s not much else to do. Trying to come back to consciousness, to the moment. (Journalist 2, Southeast region).

[...] I started to take advantage of my time to read other literature different from journalism and politics. I ended up catching up on my series, playing video games, doing things I wasn’t doing, so I could disconnect a bit. (Journalist 5, Southeast region).

Despite the crossings in the daily work of journalists during the pandemic, the subjects reported learning and the feeling of performing important work as issues that enhance their work.

For Socioanalysis, a power is defined as the ability to produce, invent and transform, designating, in general, the magnitude of the generating forces of the new radical, creator of life\textsuperscript{14}, according to testimonies:

[...] what I take positive is to have this response from the population, which follows up and believes in what we are saying. (Journalist 1, Midwest region).

[...] There was a paradigm shift. I felt extremely comfortable in learning new things, in dealing with new technological resources, I think that was really cool. I think we will end up using many of these new techniques that we ended up learning. (Journalist 3, South region).

[...] So, we try to bring something different so that we can really do our work efficiently, which is to provoke the viewer, which is to get their attention, which is to inform. When we see the overwhelming majority wearing a mask on the street, it’s not just fear, it’s also information. (Journalist 5, Southeast region).

A positive point, I think colleagues have been looking a little more at each other, maybe not at such a great level of understanding, but they have been able to look more at each other and have noticed this point about being united. (Journalist 7, North region).

These questions lead to a power that stimulates the desire as a creation and freedom of action of each journalist, which constitutes their subjectivity in the elaboration of new strategies in their daily work, as opposed to molar practices\textsuperscript{14}, that is, actions interspersed with regularity, stability, conservation and reproduction, in which sedentary capture equipment operates.

Thus, according to the testimonies of the journalists participating in this study, it is possible to perceive that, despite the crossings experienced during the Covid-19 pandemic, these subjects manage, through self-analysis – a knowledge about themselves, their needs, desires, demands, problems, solutions and limits\textsuperscript{14}, proposed by Socioanalysis – observe the potential presented in the daily work and use strategies to promote ethical and democratic journalism, which can be perceived in people’s daily lives through the dissemination of news. This also happened because these journalists, through an analysis of the implication, realized the relationship they establish with the media institution.

Final considerations

The Covid-19 pandemic has accentuated behavioral changes throughout society, forcing workers to adapt their work processes, especially those who perform essential functions, such as journalists.

Thus, in this study, the results of the interviews carried out with ten journalists who work in the coverage of the Covid-19 pandemic were presented, which found the existence of several crossings – fear of infection by the virus, salary changes and violence, for example – that hinder the quality of life of journalists and productivity in their work. Despite this, the journalists stated that learning and the feeling of doing important work are elements that enhance their work process.

The testimonies undertaken by each journalist constituted feelings and perceptions
about working bringing information to the population in the midst of the Covid-19 pandemic. These testimonies and stories carefully shape the dictates of acting in services widely attacked by governments, the weaknesses faced by the imposition of the pandemic and the accelerated demand for information in real time.

It is necessary to strengthen public policies to protect journalists, in the sense of valuing the profession, combating violence and increasing the quality of life of these professionals. Mainly, this discussion should be used as a political agenda for intervention in journalist workers themselves, with a view to facing the crossings and transformation, since their negative effects are complex, including with regard to the health of these individuals.

From the point of view of collective health, it is important to emphasize that, although journalists seek lines of flight to alleviate the problems arising from the intense work process during the Covid-19 pandemic, it is necessary to think about health promotion actions that ensure not only the individual health of each journalist, but also coordinated intersectoral action involving education, housing, income, work, food, education, access to essential goods and services, leisure, among other social determinants of health.

In addition, it is necessary to continue following the unfolding of the work process of journalists in the post-pandemic, grouping findings and reflections that help the discussion about the crossings faced by this professional category in their daily work and the repercussions on the health of these workers brought during the work process in the Covid-19 pandemic. It is therefore necessary to carry out more studies that seek as an object the interface between the work of journalists and the health area.
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