Sexually transmitted pathogens, coinfections and risk factors in patients attending obstetrics and gynecology clinics in Jalisco, Mexico

Néstor Casillas-Vega, PhD,^(1,2) Rayo Morfín-Otero, MD,^(3,4) Santos García, PhD,^(3,4) Jorge Llaca-Díaz, MSc,⁽⁵⁾ Eduardo Rodríguez-Noriega, MD,^(3,4) Adrián Camacho-Ortiz, MD,⁽⁶⁾ Ma de la Merced Ayala-Castellanos, MD,^(3,4) Soraya Mendoza-Olazarán, PhD,⁽¹⁾ Samantha Flores-Treviño, PhD,⁽¹⁾ Santiago Petersen-Morfín, MD,^(3,4) Héctor I Maldonado-Garza, MD,¹ Francisco I Bosques-Padilla, MD,⁽¹⁾ Elvira Garza-González, PhD,^(1,5)

Casillas-Vega N, Morfín-Otero R, García S, Llaca-Díaz J, Rodríguez-Noriega E, Camacho-Ortiz A, Ayala-Castellanos MM, Mendoza-Olazarán S, Flores-Treviño S, Petersen-Morfín S, Maldonado-Garza HJ, Bosques-Padilla FJ, Garza-González E. Sexually transmitted pathogens, coinfections and risk factors in patients attending obstetrics and gynecology clinics in Jalisco, Mexico.

Salud Publica Mex 2016;58:437-445. http://dx.doi.org/10.21149/spm.v58i4.8024

Abstract

Objective. To determine the frequency of nine sexually transmitted pathogens, coinfections and risk factors in patients attending obstetrics and gynecology clinics in Jalisco, Mexico. Materials and methods. Samples from 662 patients attending obstetrics and gynecology clinics were analyzed. Treponema pallidum, HIV, and HCV were detected by serology. HPV was detected by Polimerase Chain Reaction (PCR), and its genotype was determined by Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP). Trichomonas vaginalis, HSV-1, HSV-2, Mycoplasma genitalium, Neisseria gonorrhoeae and T. pallidum were detected by multiplex PCR. Results. By serology, HIV frequency was 6.8%, T. pallidum was 2.26%, and HCV was 0.15%. By PCR, HPV frequency was 13.9%, (more frequent genotype was 16, 33.7%), followed by T. vaginalis (14.2%), HSV-1 (8.5%), M. genitalium (2,41%), N. gonorrhoeae (2.11%), HSV-2 (1.8%), and T. pallidum (1.05%). Patients Casillas-Vega N, Morfín-Otero R, García S, Llaca-Díaz J, Rodríguez-Noriega E, Camacho-Ortiz A, Ayala-Castellanos MM, Mendoza-Olazarán S, Flores-Treviño S, Petersen-Morfín S, Maldonado-Garza HJ, Bosques-Padilla FJ, Garza-González E. Patógenos de transmisión sexual, coinfecciones y factores de riesgo en pacientes que asisten a clínicas de obstetricia y ginecología en Jalisco, México. Salud Publica Mex 2016;58:437-445. http://dx.doi.org/10.21149/spm.y58i4.8024

Resumen

Objetivo. Determinar la frecuencia de nueve patógenos de transmisión sexual, coinfecciones y factores de riesgo en pacientes que acudieron a una consulta de ginecología y obstetricia en Jalisco, México. **Material y métodos**. Se analizaron muestras de 662 pacientes que asistieron a la consulta de ginecología y obstetricia. Se detectaron *Treponema pallidum*, VIH y VHC mediante serología. Se detectó VPH por Reacción de Cadena de Polimerasa (PCR) y sus genotipos se detectaron por Polimorfismos de Longitud de Fragmentos de Restricción (RFLP). Se detectaron *Trichomonas vaginalis*, VHS-1, VHS-2, *Mycoplasma genitalium*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* y *T. pallidum* por PCR múltiple. **Resultados**. Por serología, la frecuencia de VIH fue 6.8%, de *T. pallidum* fue 2.26% y de VHC fue 0.15%. Por PCR, la frecuencia más alta fue de VPH (13.9%, el genotipo más frecuente fue el 16, 33.7%), seguida de *T. vaginalis* (14.2%), VHS-1 (8.5%), *M. genitalium* (2.41%), *N. gonorrhoeae*

Received on: November 17, 2015 • Accepted on: May 2, 2016

Corresponding author: Dra. Elvira Garza González. Servicio de Gastroenterología, Hospital Universitario Dr. José Eleuterio González, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León. Av. Francisco I Madero s.n., col. Mitras Centro. 64460 Monterrey, Nuevo León. México. E-mail: elvira_garza_gzz@yahoo.com

⁽I) Servicio de Gastroenterología, Hospital Universitario Dr. José Eleuterio González, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León. Monterrey, Nuevo León, Mexico.

⁽²⁾ Departamento de Microbiología e Inmunología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, San Nicolás. Nuevo León, Mexico.

⁽³⁾ Hospital Civil de Guadalajara. Fray Antonio Alcalde. Guadalajara, Jalisco, México.

⁽⁴⁾ Instituto de Patología Infecciosa y Experimental, Centro Universitario de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad de Guadalajara. Guadalajara, Jalisco, México.

⁽⁵⁾ Departamento de Patología Clínica, Hospital Universitario Dr. José Eleuterio González, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León. Monterrey, Nuevo León, Mexico.

⁽⁶⁾ Coordinación de Epidemiología Hospitalaria, Hospital Universitario Dr. José Eleuterio González, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León. Monterrey Mexico.

ARTÍCULO ORIGINAL Casillas-Vega N y col.

infected with *T. vaginalis* were more likely to have multiple coinfections (p = 0.01). **Conclusion**. The frequency of HPV, HVS-1, HSV-2, *M. genitalium* and *T. vaginalis* was lower than that reported. However, a high frequency of HIV, *T. pallidum*, and *N. gonorrhoeae* was detected.

Keywords: sexually transmitted disease; co-infections; pregnancy; gynecology; Mexico (2.11%), VHS-2 (1.8%) y T. pallidum (1.05%). Los pacientes infectados con T. vaginalis presentaron más probabilidades de tener múltiples coinfecciones (p = 0.01). **Conclusiones**. La frecuencia de infección por VPH, VHS-1, VHS-2, M. genitalium y T. vaginalis fue menor a lo reportado. Sin embargo, se detectó una alta frecuencia de VIH, T. pallidum, y N. gonorrhoeae.

Palabras clave: infecciones de transmisión sexual; coinfección; embarazo; ginecología; México

Sexually transmitted infections (STIs) are caused by more than 30 pathogens. Each year, an estimated of 500 million individuals are infected with one of the four curable STIs. Some of these infections can have serious consequences beyond the immediate effects of the disease itself, such as a transmission of infection from mother to child during pregnancy or childbirth, and chronic illness. Furthermore, other STIs cause significant health problems to the population.

In Mexico, STIs are one of the top five diseases on demand for medical care and are among the top ten causes of general morbidity in the group of 15-44 years old individuals, with an average of 220 000 cases annually.³

Although there are some STI studies in Mexico,^{4,5} the frequency of STIs is not well known. There are few studies on the detection of these microorganisms by PCR, correlated coinfections, and risk factors involved.

The aim of this study was to determine the frequency of nine sexually transmitted pathogens, coinfections and risk factors in patients attending obstetrics and gynecology clinics in Jalisco, Mexico.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

This study was revised and approved by the Ethics Committee of Hospital Civil de Guadalajara "Fray Antonio Alcalde" (Approval no. 062/13). Written informed consent was obtained from patients or from caretakers, or guardians on behalf of the minors enrolled in this study.

Study population

Six hundred and sixty-two patients attending for the first time the obstetrics and gynecology clinics at the Hospital Civil de Guadalajara "Fray Antonio Alcalde", from September 2013 to August 2014 were enrolled in this study. Sociodemographic, behavioral and biological data associated with genital infections were collected.

Sample collection, microbiological culture, and DNA extraction

For each patient, two endocervical cotton swabs transported in Stuart's medium, and serum samples were collected.

One cotton swab was used for microbiological culture and the second was used for DNA extraction. The culture was performed for identification of bacteria and yeast as recommended by the American Society for Microbiology.⁶

For DNA extraction, a cotton swab was resuspended in 200 μ L of 100 mM Tris-HCl. Following vortexing, 150 μ g of lysozyme was added, and the mixture was incubated at 37°C overnight, and then, genomic DNA was extracted with a standard phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol protocol.

Serological assays

IgG, IgM anti-*T. pallidum* antibodies, HIV antibodies and p24 antigen were detected in serum samples by chemoluminescence using the ARCHITECT instrument (Abbott, Germany) according to manufacturer's instructions.⁷ Qualitative detection of HCV antibodies in serum samples was performed using gold colloid immunochromatography with the Advanced Quality Rapid Anti-HCV Test, Acitrack (Mexico City), according to manufacturer's instructions.

VPH detection and genotyping

HPV detection was performed by PCR amplifying a highly conserved region of the L1 gene as previously described.⁸ Positive samples were genotyped by RFLP as described.⁹

Multiplex PCR for detection of pathogens

Detection of *T. vaginalis*, HSV-1, HSV-2, *M. genitalium*, *N. gonorrhoeae*, and *T. pallidum* was performed using

a multiplex PCR.¹⁰ The final volume (25 uL) of PCR reaction consisted of 2.5 uL of 10X PCR-buffer, 100 ng of DNA, 3 mM MgCl₂, 200 uM of each dNTP, and 1 U of Taq polymerase (Bioline, London, UK).

Statistical analysis

The qualitative variables are summarized by calculating absolute frequency expressed in percentages. Two by two tables were used to assess the possible association between a risk factor "exposure" and a result "infection". Multivariate logistic regression models were used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for the association between risk factors and prevalence of STIs.

The variables studied regarding the risk factors were divided into three groups: sociodemographic data (age, occupation [housewife, employee, merchant, professional, student], marital status [single, divorced, widow, separated, married, living with a partner]); behavioral data (alcohol consumption, smoking, age of first sexual activity [< 18 years, \ge 18 years], number of sexual partners [1, 2-4, \ge 5], contraceptive methods [hormonal, condom, intrauterine device, bilateral tubal occlusion, none]); and biological factors (pregnant during the sampling, previous pregnancies, complications of previous pregnancy infections, bleeding, the risk of spontaneous abortion, premature birth, ectopic pregnancy, previous abortions, pelvic inflammatory disease).

Results

Study population

From 30 000 female patients estimated to visit the obstetrics and gynecology department, 662 patients were enrolled in this study. Only patients who agreed to participate and were attending the clinic for the first time in the study period were included. The mean age of the study population was 31 years (14 to 78 years). The largest proportion of patients was aged 20–29 years (38.5%, n=255). The majority of patients were housewives 69.5% (n=460). At the time of sampling, 43.3% (n=287) of patients were pregnant; most of the patients (93.8% n=621) had been pregnant at some point in their lives either before or during the sampling.

Microbiological culture

In 53% (n= 336) of patients there was no normal microbiota. Twenty-eight species non-associated to an STI were detected in the microbiological culture: *Enterococcus faecalis* (33.9%, n= 225); followed by *Candida*

albicans (23.4%, n= 155) and Escherichia coli (19%, n= 126); Acinetobacter baumannii, Citrobacter freundii, Citrobacter diversus, Elizabethkingia meningoseptica, Enterobacter aerogenes, Flavimonas oryzihabitans, Klebsiella oxytoca, Morganella morganii, Providencia rettgeri, Staphylococcus saprophyticus and Streptococcus pyogenes (1% each). N. gonorrhoeae not detected.

Detection of pathogens by PCR and by serology

From the study population, 47.7% had, at least, one microorganism associated with an STI; 2.26% (n=15) had IgG/IgM antibodies against *T. pallidum* (7 of them were pregnant) and 1.05% (n=7) was positive by the multiplex-PCR for *T. pallidum* (two of them were pregnant). *N. gonorrhoeae* was detected in 2.11% (n=14) patients by the multiplex PCR (none was positive for culture).

HPV was detected in 13.9% (n= 92) of patients. According to the results of genotyping by RFLP, the HPV genotype 16 was the most frequently detected (33.7%, n= 31), followed by genotype 31 (15.2%, n= 14), 45 (14.1%, n= 13), 66 (12%, n= 11) and 58 (10.9%, n= 10). Genotypes detected are shown in table I.

HIV was detected in 6.8% (n=45) of the patients by ELISA, and all were confirmed by Western-blot. Only one patient (0.15%) was positive for HCV.

Table I
FREQUENCY OF HPV GENOTYPE DETECTED
IN THE STUDIED POPULATION

Risk	Genotype	N	%
High	16	31	33.7
	18,51,73	1	1.1
	31	14	15.2
	33	9	9.8
	35, 39, 53, 82	2	2.2
	45	13	14.1
	52	8	8.7
	58	10	10.9
	59	6	6.5
	66	Ш	12.0
Low	6	28	30.4
	11,43,61,81	2	2.2
	13	7	7.6
	30, 42, 54, 72, 74, 84, 91	I	1.1
	40, 69	3	3.3
	62, 64	16	17.4
	70	7	7.6
Indeterminate	26	1	1.1

ARTÍCULO ORIGINAL Casillas-Vega N y col.

Of the population studied, 8.5% (n= 56) was positive for HSV-1 by amplifying the glycoprotein G (US4) gene; and 1.8% (n= 12) was positive for HSV-2 by amplifying the glycoprotein D (US6) gene. Furthermore, 14.2% (n= 94) were positive for *T. vaginalis* by PCR. *M. genitalium* was detected in 2.41% (n= 14) of the study population.

Association of sexually transmitted pathogens with sociodemographic, behavioral, and biological factors and coinfections

Patients in the age range of 14-19 years were less frequently HIV-infected and, in contrast, patients in the age range 20-29 years or divorced/widowed/separated were more likely to be HIV-infected.

Patients with ≥5 sexual partners were more likely to be HIV-infected. The same observation was detected for HPV-infected patients (table II).

The infection of HSV-2 correlated with bilateral tubal occlusion as a contraceptive method (p= 0.005) and the presence of pelvic inflammatory disease as a complication of previous pregnancies (p=0.032).

Sixteen coinfections were detected as statistically significant: HIV-infected patients were more likely to be coinfected with HPV (p = 0.01) and patients infected with HSV-1 were more likely to be coinfected with T. pallidum (p = 0.02), M. genitalium, T. vaginalis and N. gonorrhoeae (p = 0.01 for all); unlike patients infected with HSV-2, which were more likely to be coinfected only with M. genitalium (p = 0.01).

Finally, patients infected with *T. vaginalis* were more likely to have multiple coinfections: HPV (p = 0.01), HIV (p = 0.02), *T. pallidum* (p = 0.01), *M. genitalium* (p = 0.02) and HSV-1 (p = 0.01) (table II).

Discussion

Most studies regarding STIs in Mexico have been limited to the assessment of individual pathogens and populations with clinical symptoms of the disease or high-risk behaviors with limited methodologies. ^{5,11-13} This study was performed in women attending gynecology and obstetrics clinics for routine testing and involves nine pathogens detected by molecular, serological and microbiological methods. Thus, contributing to increase the information available about STIs in Mexico.

The overall frequency of STI observed in the study population was 47.4%, which is similar to the frequency found in a study in Korea. The study included 799 healthy women. In the Korean study, 49.2% of the population had one or more STI.¹⁴ However, compared

to other studies, the frequency reported in our study was higher than previously reported; for example, in an earlier study of a Paraguayan population that included 181 sexually active women, the frequency of STI was 41.4%. ¹⁵ Furthermore, a study of 734 Brazilian women detected a frequency of 19.6%. ¹⁶ A report that included 201 Mexican women showed a frequency of 57.7% of STI; ¹⁷ this data is superior to ours.

In Mexico, studies regarding the detection of *T. pallidum* have focused primarily on risk groups of infection. The study by Conde-González *et al.* detected a prevalence of *T. pallidum* antibodies of 2.66% in women, which is similar to our result (2.26%).¹⁸ The study of Conde-González *et al.* was performed in the general population. The differences in populations studied may explain the discrepancies in results.

Early detection of syphilis during pregnancy is essential for the health of the mother and fetus, allowing the prompt instauration of treatment. In our study, 2.4% (n=7) pregnant patients had IgG/IgM antibodies, and 0.7% (n=2) was positive by PCR. In 2014, the prevalence of syphilis in pregnant women in Mexico was 0.38%. This percentage was obtained by serology. The frequency observed in pregnant patients in our population is higher than previously reported in Mexico.

Of the seven (1%) patients positive by for *T. pallidum* by PCR, three were also positive by serology; this difference could be a result of the window period of infection, which lasts approximately three weeks. All positive patients were given medical treatment and close monitoring. No cases of congenital syphilis were detected.

The WHO estimates a prevalence of 0.8% of gonorrhea among women in America;²⁰ comparing our data with these reports, the frequency of 2.11% detected in our patients is high. However, it is necessary to take into account that the estimation of the WHO may be based on culture techniques, which are less sensitive than the sensitivity reported for PCR (sensitivity and specificity reported by 100%).²¹ In fact, we did not detect *N. gonorrhoeae* by culture.

The frequency of HIV reported in our population was 6.8%; this rate is very high compared with frequency reported in world population and our region. The WHO estimated an overall prevalence of 0.8% in 2013 in the adult population in Latin America and this data seems to indicate a stabilization of the epidemic.²²

HIV-positive patients were aware of their infected status and attended to receive supporting and medical care; this may be a bias in our study. We hypothesize that this fact may explain the higher frequency observed for *Treponema pallidum* and gonorrhoeae than in previous reports.

Table II

Associations of sexually transmitted pathogens with sociodemographic, behavioral, and biological characteristics of the study population and coinfections

Total positive population		T. pallidum positive by serology			HIV positive by serology			HPV positive			
Characteristics	[n (%), n= 662]	[n (%), n= 15]	P	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	[n (%), n= 45]	P	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	[n (%), n= 92]	P	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	
Sociodemographic data Age group (years)											
14 – 19	93 (14.05)	2 (13.33)	0.93	0.94 (0.20, 4.23)	0 (0)			12 (13.04)	0.76	0.91 (0.47, 1.73)	
20 – 29	255 (38.52)	5 (33.33)	0.67	0.79 (0.26, 2.35)	6 (13.33)	0	0.22 (0.09, 0.54)	32 (34.78)	0.42	0.83 (0.52, 1.31)	
30 – 39	186 (28.10)	4 (26.67)	0.9	0.92 (0.29, 2.95)	23 (51.11)	0	2.91 (1.58, 5.36)	32 (34.78)	0.12	1.44 (0.90, 2.29)	
≥ 40	129 (19.49)	4 (26.67)	0.46	1.53 (0.48, 4.89)	16 (35.56)	0	2.48 (1.30, 4.73)	16 (17.39)	0.61	0.86 (0.48, 1.53)	
Occupation											
Housewife	460 (69.49)	9 (60.00)	0.41	0.64 (0.22, 1.84)	30 (66.67	0.65	0.86 (0.45, 1.64)	66 (71.74)	0.63	1.13 (0.69, 1.83)	
Employee/merchant	106 (16.01)	4 (26.67)	0.24	1.96 (0.61, 6.29)	14 (31.11)	0	2.61 (1.33, 5.09)	17 (18.48)	0.45	1.24 (0.70, 2.20)	
Professional Student	63 (9.52) 33 (4.98)	I (6.67)	7.62	0.67 (0.08, 5.21) 1.37 (0.17, 10.76)	0 (0) 1 (2.22)	0.02	0.41 (0.05, 3.11)	5 (5.43) 4 (4.35)	0.15	0.51 (0.19, 1.30) 0.85 (0.29, 2.47)	
Marital status											
Single	140 (21.15)	0 (0)	0.24		17 (37.78)	0.01	2.43 (1.29, 4.59)	25 (27.17)	0.12	1.47 (0.89, 2.44)	
Divorced/widowed/separated	22 (3.32)	5 (33.33)	0.46		7 (15.56)	0.01	7.39 (2.84, 19.21)	4 (4.35)	0.55	1.39 (0.46, 4.21)	
Married	238 (35.95)	5 (33.33)	0.83	0.88 (0.30, 2.63)	7 (15.56)	0	0.30 (0.13, 0.70)	27 (29.35)	0.15	0.71 (0.43, 1.14)	
Living with partner	262 (39.58)	5 (33.33)	0.61	0.75 (0.25, 2.24)	14 (31.11)	0.22	0.67 (0.35, 1.28)	36 (39.13)	0.92	0.98 (0.62, 1.53)	
Behavioral data											
Age at first intercourse (years)											
< 16 years	140 (21.15)	3 (20)	0.91	0.93 (0.25, 3.34)	14 (31.11)	0.09	1.76 (0.90, 3.40)	25 (27.17)	0.12	1.47 (0.89, 2.44)	
≥ 16 years	522 (78.85)	12 (80)	0.91	1.07 (0.29, 3.86)	31 (68.89)	0.09	0.65 (0.29, 1.10)	67 (72.83)	0.12	0.68 (0.04, 1.12)	
No. of previous sex partners											
0-1	318 (48.04)	9 (60)	0.34	1.64 (0.57, 4.66)	11 (24.44)	0.001	0.32 (0.16, 0.65)	36 (39.13)	0.06	0.66 (0.41, 102)	
2-4 ≥5	279 (42.15) 65 (9.82)	6 (40) 0 (0)	0.86	0.91 (0.32, 2.59)	23 (51.11) 11 (24.44)	0.2	1.47 (0.80, 2.70) 3.37 (1.61, 7.03)	40 (43.48) 16 (17.39)	0.76	1.07 (0.68, 1.66) 2.23 (1.21, 4.13)	
Communication marks to											
Contraceptive methods Hormonal	102 (15.41)	0 (0)	0.09		4 (8.89)	0.21	0.51 (0.18, 1.47)	13 (14.13)	0.71	0.89 (0.47,1.66)	
Condom	249 (37.61)	7 (46.67)	0.46	1.46 (0.52, 4.08)	4 (8.89)	0.21	1.35 (0.73, 2.49)	39 (42.39)	0.71	1.26 (0.80, 1.97)	
IUD	67 (10.12)	I (6.67)	0.65	0.629 (0.08, 4.85)	20 (44.44)	0.77	0.85 (0.29, 2.47)	13 (14.13)	0.16	1.57 (0.82, 3.01)	
Bilateral tubal occlusion	40 (6.04)	0 (0)	0.32	0.027 (0.00, 1.00)	4 (8.89)	0.4	1.57 (0.53, 4.63)	4 (4.35)	0.46	0.67 (0.23, 1.94)	
None	204 (30.82)	7(46.67)	0.17	1.99 (0.71, 5.58)	13 (28.89)	0.77	0.90 (0.46, 1.76)	23 (25)	0.19	0.72 (0.43, 1.18)	
Alcohol use	145 (21.90)	I (6.67)	0.14	0.25 (0.03, 1.91)	11 (24.44)	0.66	1.16 (0.57, 2.36)	23 (25)	0.43	1.24 (0.73, 2.04)	
Current smoker	200 (30.21)	3 (20.00)	0.38	0.57 (0.15, 2.04)	14 (0.02)	0.89	1.04 (0.54, 2.01)	33 (1.62)	0.2	,	
Biological factors											
Pregnant at sampling	287 (43.35)	7 (46.67)	0.79	1.14 (0.41, 3.20)	I (2.22)	0	0.02 (0.004, 0.19)	39 (42.39)	0.84	0.96 (0.61, 1.49)	
Previous pregnancies	621 (93.81)	13 (86.67)	0.26	0.42 (0.09, 1.96)	44 (97.78)	0.24	3.13 (0.42, 23.31)	88 (95.65)	0.39	1.57 (0.54, 4.51)	
Complications of previous pregnancy	204 (50.21)	7 (4: 17)	0.35	0 (1 (0 22 1 72)	10 (40 00)	0.00	0.40 (0.27.0.01)	F /F /3\	0.7	0.00 (0.50 1.50	
Infections	386 (58.31)	7 (46.67)	0.35	0.61 (0.22, 1.72)	19 (42.22)	0.02	0.49 (0.27, 0.91)	5 (5.43) 5 (5.43)	0.7	0.92 (0.58, 1.43)	
Bleeding Risk of spontaneous abortion	69 (10.42) 116 (17.52)	0 (0) I (6.67)	0.18		4 (8.89) 8 (17.78)	0.72	1.01 (0.42, 2.38)	22 (23.91)	0.09	1.59 (0.93, 2.69)	
Premature birth	50 (7.55)	0 (0)	0.26	0.33 (0.04, 2.53)	I (2.22)	0.16	0.26 (0.03, 1.95)	9 (9.78)	0.383	1.39 (0.65, 2.98)	
Ectopic pregnancy	9 (1.36)	0 (0)	0.64	0.33 (0.01, 2.33)	0 (0)	0.41	0.20 (0.03, 1.73)	0 (0)	0.22	1.57 (0.05, 2.70)	
Previous abortions	163 (24.62)	3 (20)	0.99		12 (26.67)	0.02		25 (27.17)	0.35		
Pelvic inflammatory disease	295 (44.56)	I (6.67)	0.86	1.09 (0.39, 3.03)	21 (46.67)	0.76	1.09 (0.59, 2.00)	41 (44.57)	0.99	I (0.64, I.55)	
Coinfections											
HPV (PCR)	92 (13.90)	3 (20)	0.48		11 (24.44)	0.034	2.14 (1.04, 4.39)				
HIV (Serology)	45 (6.80)	I (6.67)	0.98	0.97 (0.12, 7.61)				11 (11.96)	4.48	2.14 (1.04, 4.39)	
T. pallidum (Serology)	15 (2.27)		0.01		I (2.22)	0.98	0.97 (0.12, 7.61)	3 (3.26)	0.48	1.56 (0.43, 5.66)	
T. pallidum (PCR)	7 (1.06)	3 (20)	0	40 (8.09, 199.48)	I (2.22)	0.42	2.31 (0.27, 19.65)	3 (3.26)	0.02		
HSV-2 (PCR)	12 (1.81)	I (6.67)	0.15	4.13 (0.49, 34.21)	0 (0)	0.345	0 (0 1 (0 1 0)	2 (2.17)	0.78	1.24 (0.26, 5.77)	
M. genitalium (PCR)	16 (2.42)	0 (0)	0.53	2.25 (0.72 7.25)	2 (4.44)	0.35	2 (0.44, 9.10)	1 (1.09)	0.37	0.41 (0.05, 3.11)	
T. vaginalis (PCR)	94 (14.20)	4 (26.67)	0.162	2.25 (0.70, 7.22)	3 (6.67)	0.13	0.41 (0.12, 1.36)	25 (27.17)	0	2.7 (1.60, 4.57)	
N. gonorrhoeae (PCR)	14 (2.11)	0 (0)	0.56	0.74 (0.00 5.05)	2 (4.44)	0.26	2.34 (0.50, 10.81)	2 (2.17)	0.96	1.03 (0.22, 4.69)	
HSV-I (PCR)	56 (8.46)	I (6.67)	0.8	0.76 (0.99, 5.95)	2 (4.44)	0.31	0.48 (0.11, 2.05)	10 (10.87)	0.37	1.38 (0.67, 2.86)	

(continues...)

Artículo original Casillas-Vega N y col.

(continuation)

Total positive population	F (0/)	T. vaginalis positive by PCR			HVS-1 positive by PCR			HVS-2 positive by PCR		
Characteristics	[n (%), n= 662]	[n (%), n= 94]	Р	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	[n (%), n= 56]	Р	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	[n (%), n= 12]	Р	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Sociodemographic data										
Age group (years)										
14 – 19	93 (14.05)	14 (14.89)	0.79	1.08 (0.58, 2.00)	9 (16.07)	0.64	1.19 (0.56, 2.51)	0 (0)	0.15	
20 – 29	255 (38.52)	40 (42.55)	0.38	1.21 (0.78, 1.89)	22 (39.29)	0.9	1.03 (0.59, 1.81)	3 (25)	0.33	0.52 (0.14, 1.96
30 – 39	186 (28.10)	25 (26.60)	0.72	0.91 (0.56, 1.49)	17 (30.36)	0.69	1.12 (0.62, 2.04)	5 (41.67)	0.29	1.85 (0.58, 5.90)
≥ 40	129 (19.49)	15 (15.96)	8.0	0.76 (0.42, 1.37)	8 (14.29)	0.31	0.67 (0.31, 1.46)	4 (33.33)	0.21	2.12 (0.62, 7.15)
Occupation										
Housewife	460 (69.49)	65 (69.15)	0.91	0.97 (0.60, 1.56)	43 (76.79)	0.22	1.48 (0.78, 2.83)	8 (66.67)	0.82	0.87 (0.25, 2.92)
Employee/merchant	106 (16.01)	18 (19.15)	0.34	1.3 (0.74, 2.29)	4 (7.14)	0.06	0.38 (0.13, 1.08)	4 (33.33)	0.09	2.71 (0.80, 9.19)
Professional	63 (9.52)	6(6.38)	0.26	0.61 (0.25, 1.46)	5 (8.93)	0.87	0.92 (0.35, 2.41)	0 (0)	0.25	
Student	33 (4.98)	5 (5.32)	0.87	1.08 (0.40, 2.88)	4 (7.14)	0.43	1.53 (0.51, 4.52)	0 (0)	0.42	
Marital status										
Single	140 (21.15)	18 (19.15)	0.6	0.86 (0.49, 1.50)	9 (16.07)	0.33	0.69 (0.33, 1.45)	2 (16.67)	0.7	0.74 (0.16, 3.42)
Divorced/widowed/separated	22 (3.32)	2 (2.13)	0.48	0.59 (0.13, 2.59)	2 (3.57)	0.91	1.08 (0.24, 4.76)	I (8.33)	0.32	2.72 (0.33, 22.07)
Married	238 (35.95)	30 (31.91)	3.79	0.811 (0.50, 1.29)	22 (39.29)	0.58	1.16 (0.66, 2.04)	7 (58.33)	0.1	2.53 (0.79, 8.09)
Living with partner	262 (39.58)	44 (46.81)	0.12	1.41 (0.91, 2.19)	23 (41.07)	0.81	1.07 (0.61, 1.86)	2 (16.67)	0.1	0.3 (0.07, 1.38)
Behavioral data										
Age at first intercourse (years)						_			_	
< 16 years	140 (21.15)	17 (18.09)	0.43	0.79 (0.45, 1.40)	11 (19.64)	0.77	0.90 (0.45, 1.79)	2 (16.67)	0.7	0.74 (0.16, 3.42)
≥ 16 years	522 (78.85)	77 (81.91)	0.43	1.25 (0.71, 2.19)	45 (80.36)	0.77	1.10 (0.556 2.220)	10 (83.33)	0.7	1.34 (0.29, 6.22)
No. of previous sex partners										
0-1	318 (48.04)	43 (45.74)	0.63	0.89 (0.58, 1.39)	30 (53.57)	0.38	1.27 (0.73, 2.20)	5 (41.67)	0.65	0.76 (0.242, 2.44)
2 – 4	279 (42.15)	41 (43.62)	0.755	1.07 (0.69, 1.66)	20 (35.71)	0.3	0.74 (0.42, 1.31)	6 (50)	0.57	1.38 (0.44, 4.32)
≥ 5	65 (9.82)	10 (10.64)	0.73	1.1 (0.54, 2.26)	6 (10.71)	0.81	1.11 (0.45, 2.70)	I (8.33)	0.86	0.83 (0.10, 6.55)
Contraceptive methods										
Hormonal	102 (15.41)	20 (21.28)	0.08	1.6 (0.92, 2.76)	9 (16.07)	0.88	1.05 (0.50, 2.22)	3 (25)	0.35	1.85 (0.49, 6.97)
Condom	249 (37.61)	33 (35.11)	0.58	0.88 (0.55, 1.39)	25 (44.64)	0.25	1.37 (0.79, 2.38)	5 (41.67)	0.77	1.18 (0.37, 3.78)
IUD	67 (10.12)	13 (13.83)	0.19	1.52 (0.79, 2.92)	6 (10.71)	0.87	1.07 (0.44, 2.60)	0 (0)	0.24	F F2 /1 /2 21 2/
Bilateral tubal occlusion	40 (6.04)	4 (4.26)	0.43	0.65 (0.22, 1.89)	2 (3.57)	0.41	0.55 (0.13, 2.35)	3 (25)	0.005	5.52 (1.43, 21.26)
None	204 (30.82)	24 (25.53)	0.23	0.73 (0.45, 1.21)	14 (25)	0.32	0.73 (0.38, 1.36)	I (8.33)	0.08	0.2 (0.02, 1.56)
Alcohol use Current smoker	145 (21.90) 200 (30.21)	25 (26.60) 27 (28.72)	0.235	1.35 (0.820, 2,23 0.92 (0.56, 1.48)	9 (16.07) 11 (19.64)	0.27	0.66 (0.31, 1.38) 0.53 (0.27, 1.06)	1 (8.33) 4 (33.33)	0.25	0.31 (0.04, 2.49) 1.15 (0.34, 3.89)
Distantial frances	, ,			, ,	, ,		,	, ,		,
Biological factors Pregnant at sampling	207 (42 25)	51 (54.26)	0.02	1 44 (1 07 2 50)	28 (50)	0.29	1 24 (0 77 2 21)	3 (25)	۸۱۵	0.43 (0.11.1.40)
Previous pregnancies	287 (43.35) 621 (93.81)	87 (92.55)	0.02	1.66 (1.07, 2.58) 0.81 (0.35, 1.89)	52 (92.86)	0.29	1.34 (0.77, 2.31) 0.87 (0.29, 2.53)	12 (100)	0.19	0.43 (0.11, 1.60)
1 0	021 (73.01)	07 (72.55)	0.03	0.01 (0.55, 1.07)	32 (72.00)	0.77	0.07 (0.27, 2.33)	12 (100)	0.50	
Complications of previous pregnancy Infections	386 (58.31)	54 (57.45)	0.85	0.96 (0.61, 1.49)	33 (58.93)	0.92	1.02 (0.59, 1.74)	6 (50)	0.55	0.71 (0.22, 2.22)
Bleeding	69 (10.42)	5 (5.32)	0.08	0.44 (0.17, 1.13)	6 (10.71)	0.94	1.03 (0.42, 2.50)	0 (0)	0.23	(-122, 2122)
Risk of spontaneous abortion		14 (14.89)	0.46	0.8 (0.43, 1.46)	7 (12.50)	0.3	0.65 (0.28, 1.47)	3 (25)	0.49	1.58 (0.42, 5.94)
Premature birth	50 (7.55)	7 (7.45)		0.982 (0.428, 2.25)	8 (14.29)	0.04	2.23 (.01, 5.03)	I (8.33)	0.91	1.11 (0.14, 8.81)
Ectopic pregnancy	9 (1.36)	2 (2.13)	0.48	1.74 (0.35, 8.51)	2 (3.57)	0.13	3.16 (0.64, 15.63)	0 (0)	0.68	(, 5.01)
Previous abortions	163 (24.62)	18 (19.15)	0.66	(****,***)	11 (19.64)	0.78	, , , , , , ,	5 (41.67)	0.86	
Pelvic inflammatory disease	295 (44.56)	44 (46.81)	0.63	1.11 (0.71, 1.72)	28 (50)	0.39	1.27 (0.73, 2.19)	9 (75)		3.81 (1.02, 14.23
Coinfections										
HPV (PCR)	92 (13.90)	25 (26.60)	0	2.7 (1.60, 4.57)	10 (17.86)	0.37	(0.67, 2.86)	2 (16.7)	0.78	1.24 (0.26, 5.77)
HIV (Serology)	45 (6.80)	3 (3.19)	0.13	0.41 (0.12, 1.36)	2 (3.57)	0.31	0.48 (0.11, 2.05)	0 (0)	0.34	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
T. pallidum (Serology)	15 (2.27)	4 (4.26)	0.16	2.25 (0.70, 7.22)	I (1.79)	0.8	0.76 (0.09, 5.95)	I (8.3)	0.15	4.13 (0.49, 34.21
T. pallidum (PCR)	7 (1.06)	4 (4.26)	0	8.37 (1.84, 38.01)	2 (3.57)	0.05	4.45 (0.84, 23.49)	0 (0)	0.71	
HSV-2 (PCR)	12 (1.81)	2 (2.13)	0.8	1.21 (0.26, 5.62)	I (1.79)	0.98	0.98 (0.12, 7.76)			
M. genitalium (PCR)	16 (2.42)	5 (5.32)	0.04	2.84 (0.96, 8.38)	4 (7.14)	0.01	3.8 (1.18, 12.22)	2 (16.7)	0.001	9.08 (1.82, 45.35
						^				
T. vaginalis (PCR)	94 (14.20)				35 (62.50)	0	15.42 (8.44, 28.26)	2 (16.7)	0.8	1.21 (0.26, 3.62)
T. vaginalis (PCR) N. gonorrhoeae (PCR)	14 (2.11)	0 (0)	0.12		4 (7.14)		4.58 (1.39, 15.12)	I (8.3)	0.13	1.21 (0.26, 5.62) 4.45 (0.53, 37.09)

(continues...)

(continuation)

Total positive population		M. genitalium positive by PCR			N. gonorrhoeae positive by PCR			T. pallidum positive by PCR		
Characteristics	[n (%),	[n (%),	Р.	Adjusted OR	[n (%),	Р	Adjusted OR	[n (%),	Р.	Adjusted OR
Characteristics	n= 662]	n= 16]	'	(95% CI)	n= 14]	'	(95% CI)	n= 7]	'	(95% CI)
Sociodemographic data										
Age group (years)										
14 – 19	93 (14.05)	0 (0)	0.1		1 (7.14)	0.45	0.46 (0.06, 3.59)	0 (0)	0.28	
20 – 29	255 (38.52)	7 (43.75)	0.66	1.24 (0.45, 3.39)	6 (42.86)	0.73	1.2 (0.41, 3.50)	2 (28.57)	0.58	0.63 (0.12, 3.30)
30 – 39	186 (28.10)	4 (25)	0.78	0.85 (0.271, 2.66)	1 (7.14)	0.07	0.19 (0.02, 1.48)	3 (42.86)	0.38	1.93 (0.42, 8.72)
≥ 40	129 (19.49)	5 (31.25)	0.22	1.93 (0.65, 5.66)	6 (42.86)	0.02	3.23 (1.10, 9.49)	2 (28.57)	0.53	1.67 (0.32, 8.75)
Occupation										
Housewife	460 (69.49)	14 (87.50)	0.11	3.11 (0.70, 13.84)	11 (78.57)	0.46	1.61 (0.44, 5.84)	3 (42.86)	0.12	0.32 (0.07, 1.45)
Employee/merchant	106 (16.01)	2 (12.50)	0.7	0.75 (0.16, 3.36)	2 (14.29)	0.87	0.88 (0.19, 3.99)	3 (42.86)	0.04	4.06 (0.89, 18.43)
Professional	63 (9.52)	0 (0)	0.18		I (7.14)	0.76	0.72 (0.09, 5.65)	I (14.29)	0.66	1.59 (0.18, 13.45)
Student	33 (4.98)	0 (0)	0.35		0 (0)	0.38		0 (0)	0.54	
Marital status										
Single	140 (21.15)	I (6.25)	0.14	0.24 (0.03, 1.85)	5 (35.71)	0.17	2.11 (0.69, 6.40)	3 (42.86)	0.15	2.83 (0.62, 12.82)
Divorced/widowed/separated	22 (3.32)	0 (0)	0.45		0 (0)	0.48		0 (0)	0.62	
Married	238 (35.95)	6 (37.50)	0.89	1.07 (0.38, 2.98)	4 (28.57)	0.56	0.70 (0.22, 2.28)	4 (57.14)	0.24	2.39 (0.53, 10.81)
Living with partner	262 (39.58)	9 (56.25)	0.16	1.99 (0.73, 5.43)	5 (35.71)	0.76	0.84 (0.28, 2.55)	0 (0)	0.03	
Behavioral data										
Age at first intercourse (years)										
< 16 years	140 (21.15)	4 (25)	0.7	1.25 (0.39, 3.93)	5 (35.71)	0.17	2.11 (0.696, 6.40)	I (14.29)	0.65	0.619 (0.074, 5.18)
≥ 16 years	522 (78.85)	12 (75)	0.7	0.8 (0.254, 2.52)	9 (64.29)	0.17	0.47 (0.15, 1.43)	6 (85.71)	0.65	1.61 (0.19, 13.53)
No. of previous sex partners										
0-1	318 (48.04)	6 (37.50)	0.39	0.64 (0.23, 1.78)	5 (35.71)	0.35	0.59 (0.19, 1.79)	3 (42.86)	0.78	0.81 (0.18, 3.64)
2 – 4	279 (42.15)	6 (37.50)	0.7	0.82 (0.29, 2.28)	7 (50)	0.54	1.38 (0.47, 3.98)	2 (28.57)	0.46	0.54 (0.10, 2.83)
≥ 5	65 (9.82)	4 (25)	0.03	3.19 (1.00, 10.21)	2 (14.29)	0.57	1.54 (0.33, 7.07)	2 (28.57)	0.09	3.75 (0.71, 19.77)
Contraceptive methods										
Hormonal	102 (15.41)	I (6.25)	0.3	0.36 (0.04, 2.75)	I (7.14)	0.38	0.41 (0.05, 3.22)	I (14.29)	0.93	0.91 (0.10, 7.67)
Condom	249 (37.61)	4 (25.00)	0.29	0.546 (0.17, 1.71)	7 (50)	0.33	1.67 (0.58, 4.84)	0 (0)	0.03	
IUD	67 (10.12)	3 (18.75)	0.24	2.09 (0.58, 7.56)	I (7.14)	0.7	0.67 (0.08, 5.26)	2 (28.57)	0.1	3.63 (0.69, 19.09)
Bilateral tubal occlusion	40 (6.04)	2 (12.50)	0.27	2.28 (0.50, 10.42)	2 (14.29)	0.19	2.67 (0.57, 12.38)	0 (0)	0.5	
None	204 (30.82)	6 (37.50)	0.55	1.35 (0.48, 3.78)	3 (21.43)	0.44	0.60 (0.16, 2.19)	4 (57.14)	0.12	3.03 (0.67, 13.67)
Alcohol use	145 (21.90)	4 (25)	0.54	1.19 (0.37, 3.75)	4 (28.57)	0.54	1.43 (0.44, 4.65)	I (14.29)	0.62	0.59 (0.07, 4.95)
Current smoker	200 (30.21)	5 (31.25)	0.29	1.05 (0.36, 3.06)	6 (42.86)	0.29	1.75 (0.60, 5.12)	2 (28.57)	0.924	0.92 (1.78, 4.89)
Biological factors								2 (28.57)		
Pregnant at sampling	287 (43.35)	7 (43.75)	0.25	1.01 (0.37, 2.76)	4 (28.57)	0.25	0.51 (0.16, 1.66)	6 (85.71)	4.28	0.51 (0.10, 2.69)
Previous pregnancies	621 (93.81)	16 (100)	0.9		13 (92.86)	0.9	0.87 (0.11, 6.87)	2 (28.57)	0.38	0.40 (0.04, 3.40)
Complications of previous pregnancy										
Infections	386 (58.31)	8 (50)	0.31	0.70 (0.26, 1.91)	10 (71.43)	0.31	1.8 (0.56, 5.82)	0 (0)	0.1	0.28 (0.05, 1.46)
Bleeding	69 (10.42)	I (6.25)	0.17	0.56 (0.07, 4.35)	3 (21.43)	0.17	2.4 (0.65, 8.84)	0 (0)	0.36	
Risk of spontaneous abortion	116 (17.52)	0 (0)	0.74	1.58 (0.50, 5.01)	2 (14.29)	0.74	0.78 (0.17, 3.53)	0 (0)	0.22	
Premature birth	50 (7.55)	0 (0)	0.28		0 (0.00)	0.28		0 (0)	0.44	
Ectopic pregnancy	9 (1.36)	0 (0)	0.65		0 (0)	0.65		0 (0)	0.76	
Previous abortions	163 (24.62)	2 (12.50)	0.99		3 (21.43)	0.99	100 (0 (0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 (28.57)	0.99	
Pelvic inflammatory disease	295 (44.56)	8 (50)	0.67	1.25 (0.46, 3.37)	7 (50)	0.67	1.25 (0.43, 3.60)	3 (42.86)	0.92	0.93 (0.20, 4.19)
Coinfections	00 (/2 00)				2 (1 (2)		1.03 (0.03 / 45	2 / 42 23		477 / 67 51 61
HPV (PCR)	92 (13.90)	1 (6.25)	0.96	0.40 (0.05.3.11)	2 (14.3)	0.96	1.03 (0.22, 4.69)	3 (42.9)		4.77 (1.05, 21.66)
HIV (Serology)	45 (6.80)	2 (12.5)	0.26	0.40 (0.05, 3.11)	2 (14.3)	0.26	2.34 (0.50, 10.81)	1 (14.3)	0.42	2.31 (0.27, 19.65)
T. pallidum (Serology)	15 (2.27)	0 (0)	0.56	7 11 (0.00 (3.70)	0 (0)	0.56	0.22 (0.02 72.22)	3 (42.9)	0	40.18 (8.09, 199.48
T. pallidum (PCR)	7 (1.06)	1 (6.25)	0.02	7.11 (0.80, 62.78)	1 (7.1)	0.02	8.23 (0.92, 73.32)	0	- 0.71	
HSV-2 (PCR)	12 (1.81)	2 (12.5)	0.13	9.08 (1.82, 43.35)	2 (7.1)	0.13	4.45 (0.53, 37.09)	0	0.04	711 (0.00 (3.70)
M. genitalium (PCR)	16 (2.42)	E (31.3E)	0 12		3 (21.4)	0	13.32 (3.31, 53.46)	1 (14.3)	0.04	7.11 (0.80, 62.78)
T. vaginalis (PCR) N. gonorrhoeae (PCR)	94 (14.20)	5 (31.25)	0.12	2.84 (0.96, 8.38)	0 (0)	0.12		4 (57.1)	0.001	
HSV-I (PCR)	14 (2.11)	3 (18.75)	0.004	3.8 (1.18.12.22)	4 (20 4)	0.007	4 50 (1 30 1E 13)	1 (14.3)	0.02	8.23 (0.92, 73.32)
П3V-1(ГСК)	56 (8.46)	4 (25)	0.006	3.8 (1.18, 12.22)	4 (28.6)	0.006	4.58 (1.39, 15.12)	2 (28.6)	0.06	4.45 (0.84, 23.49)

ARTÍCULO ORIGINAL Casillas-Vega N y col.

M. genitalium is detected more often in people with risk factors for the infection, reaching percentages from 20 to 40% among sex workers.²³ The prevalence of *M. genitalium* infections reported in the general population varies from 1 to 10% in most populations,²⁴ but significant differences are reported worldwide. A frequency of 0.5% has been reported for Mexico.¹⁷ These differences underline the relevance of studing the frequency of this opportunistic pathogen in different populations.

Two Mexican studies showed frequencies of 33.8²⁵ and 18.4%¹⁷ for HPV. Both reports showed higher frequencies than ours. A third Mexican report showed a frequency of 9.1%.²⁶ All reports used different methodologies and studied different populations. These factors may explain the different frequencies observed.

The genotype most frequently detected in our study was type 16, which is the most common genotype found in healthy women worldwide²⁷ and the WHO reports that is one of the most frequent genotypes.

In our study, the 18 genotype was detected in only one patient, representing the 1.1% of the HPV-positive population; the mean prevalence of the 18 genotype was previously reported for the Mexican population.¹⁷ This data is relevant because genotype 18 is associated with more aggressive behavior and is detected mainly in high-grade lesions.²⁸

Our study showed the prevalence of HSV-1/HSV-2 detected by PCR; the studies reported at present of the prevalence of these viruses are mainly by serological tests. The differences in methodologies make it difficult to make a comparison of frequencies of this virus. As expected, we detected lower frequencies than observed by serology. Contrary to expected it is interesting that there is a higher percentage of HSV-1 than HSV-2. Further studies need to understand the basis of this switch.

In this study, patients with five or more sexual partners were more likely to be HIV or HPV infected. Several studies have consistently shown that the risk of HPV and HIV infection increase with the number of sexual partners.²⁹⁻³¹ Our results are in agreement with these studies.

The differences between the frequencies reported of STIs among countries could represent genuine differences in patterns of sexual behavior and efforts to control these infections, but may also be the result of variations in study design, diagnostic methods, and participation rates. Reliable data on the frequency of these infections are scarce and often more about the age-specific region as well as its association with different risk factors; the diagnosis of STIs is not routine, so the prevalence of STIs is not known in depth in our population. This study will contribute to increase the knowledge about the frequency of STIs in Mexico.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the study participants and our research team Acevedo-Duarte L, González-Guzmán M, Martínez-Macías SK, Jiménez-Gutiérrez C, and Carbajal-Rimoldi A for their contributions to this research.

Declaration of conflict of interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

References

- I. Jackson JM, Seth P, DiClemente RJ, Lin A. Association of Depressive Symptoms and Substance Use With Risky Sexual Behavior and Sexually Transmitted Infections Among African American Female Adolescents Seeking Sexual Health Care. American journal of public health 2015;105(10):2137-2142. http://doi.org/bgsb
- 2. Marschalko M, Ponyai K, Karpati S. Sexually transmitted coinfections. HIV coinfections. Orvosi hetilap 2015;156(1):4-9. http://doi.org/bgsc
- 3. Secretaría de Salud. Manual para Capacitadores en el Manejo Sindromático de las Infecciones de Transmisión Sexual. 2a ed. México: SSa, 2004 [accessed on October 11, 2015]. Disponible en: http://www.salud.gob.mx/unidades/cdi/documentos/DOCSAL7609.pdf
- 4. Pierce Campbell CM, Kreimer AR, Lin HY, Fulp W, O'Keefe MT, Ingles DJ, et al. Long-term persistence of oral human papillomavirus type 16: the HPV Infection in Men (HIM) study. Cancer prevention research 2015;8(3):190-196.
- 5. Morales R, Parada R, Giuliano AR, Cruz A, Castellsague X, Salmeron J, et al. HPV in female partners increases risk of incident HPV infection acquisition in heterosexual men in rural central Mexico. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2012;21(11):1956-1965.
- 6. Lagier JC, Edouard S, Pagnier I, Mediannikov O, Drancourt M, Raoult D. Current and past strategies for bacterial culture in clinical microbiology. Clinical microbiology reviews. 2015;28(1):208-236. http://doi.org/bgsd 7. Young H, Pryde J, Duncan L, Dave J. The Architect Syphilis assay for antibodies to Treponema pallidum: an automated screening assay with high sensitivity in primary syphilis. Sexually transmitted infections 2009;85(1):19-23.
- 8. Manos MM, Waldman J, Zhang TY, Greer CE, Eichinger G, Schiffman MH, et al. Epidemiology and partial nucleotide sequence of four novel genital human papillomaviruses. J Infect Dis 1994;170(5):1096-1099.
- 9. Santiago E, Camacho L, Junquera ML, Vazquez F. Full HPV typing by a single restriction enzyme. | Clin Virol 2006;37(1):38-46.
- 10. Gimenes F, Medina FS, Abreu AL, Irie MM, Esquicati IB, Malagutti N, et al. Sensitive simultaneous detection of seven sexually transmitted agents in semen by multiplex-PCR and of HPV by single PCR. PloS one. 2014;9(6):e98862.
- II. de Jesus De Haro-Cruz M, Deleon-Rodriguez I, Escobedo-Guerra MR, Lopez-Hurtado M, Arteaga-Troncoso G, Ortiz-Ibarra FJ, et al. Genotyping of Chlamydia trachomatis from endocervical specimens of infertile Mexican women. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin 2011;29(2):102-108. http://doi.org/bnkm6k
- 12. Baltazar Reyes MC, Rivera Rivera L, Cruz Valdez A, Hernandez Giron CA. Prevalence of sexually transmitted infections and associated risk factors among female commercial sex workers in Cuautla, Morelos. Ginecol Obstet Mex 2005;73(1):36-47.
- 13. Parada R, Morales R, Giuliano AR, Cruz A, Castellsague X, Lazcano-Ponce E. Prevalence, concordance and determinants of human papillomavirus infection among heterosexual partners in a rural region in central Mexico. BMC Infect Dis 2010;10:223.

- 14. Kim Y, Kim J, Lee KA. Prevalence of sexually transmitted infections among healthy Korean women: implications of multiplex PCR pathogen detection on antibiotic therapy. J Infect Chemother 2014;20(1):74-76.

 15. Mendoza L, Mongelos P, Paez M, Castro A, Rodriguez-Riveros I, Gimenez G, et al. Human papillomavirus and other genital infections in indigenous women from Paraguay: a cross-sectional analytical study. BMC Infect Dis 2013;13:531.
- 16. Oliveira FA, Lang K, Ehrig V, Heukelbach J, Fraga F, Stoffler-Meilicke M, et al. Risk factors for sexually transmitted infections in women in rural Northeast Brazil. J Infect Dev Ctries 2008;2(3):211-217.
- 17. Magana-Contreras M, Contreras-Paredes A, Chavez-Blanco A, Lizano M, Cruz-Hernandez Y, Cruz-Hernandez E. Prevalence of sexually transmitted pathogens associated with HPV infection in cervical samples in a Mexican population. J Med Virol 2015;87(12):2098-105. http://doi.org/bgsf 18. Conde-González CJ, Valdespino JL, Juárez-Figueroa LA, Palma O, Olamendi-Portugal M, Olaiz-Fernández G, Sepúlveda J. [Anti-Treponema pallidum seroprevalence and sociodemographic characteristics in Mexican adult population, 2000]. Salud Publica Mex 2007; 49(sup 3):412-420. http://doi.org/c4c49v
- 19. Ziaei Hezarjaribi H, Taghavi M, Fakhar M, Gholami S. Direct Diagnosis of Trichomonas vaginalis Infection on Archived Pap Smears Using Nested PCR. Acta Cytol 2015;59(1):104-108.
- 20. Lewis DA, Latif AS, Ndowa F.WHO global strategy for the prevention and control of sexually transmitted infections: time for action. Sex Transm Infect. 2007;83(7):508-509. http://doi.org/bff2xd
- 21. Muvunyi CM, Dhont N, Verhelst R, Crucitti T, Reijans M, Mulders B, et al. Evaluation of a new multiplex polymerase chain reaction assay STD-Finder for the simultaneous detection of 7 sexually transmitted disease pathogens. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2011;71(1):29-37.
- 22. Bennett DS, Traub K, Mace L, Juarascio A, O'Hayer CV. Shame among people living with HIV: a literature review. AIDS Care 2016;28(1):87-91.
 23. Cohen CR, Nosek M, Meier A, Astete SG, Iverson-Cabral S, Mugo NR, et al. Mycoplasma genitalium infection and persistence in a cohort of female sex workers in Nairobi, Kenya. Sex Transm Dis 2007;34(5):274-279.

- 24. Manhart LE, Holmes KK, Hughes JP, Houston LS, Totten PA. Mycoplasma genitalium among young adults in the United States: an emerging sexually transmitted infection. Am J Public Health 2007;97(6):1118-1125. 25. Salcedo M, Pina-Sanchez P, Vallejo-Ruiz V, Monroy-Garcia A, Aguilar-Lemarroy A, Cortes-Gutierrez EI, et al. Human papillomavirus genotypes among females in Mexico: a study from the mexican institute for social security. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014;15(23):10061-10066.
- 26. Lopez RV, Levi JE, Eluf-Neto J, Koifman RJ, Koifman S, Curado MP, et al. Human papillomavirus (HPV) 16 and the prognosis of head and neck cancer in a geographical region with a low prevalence of HPV infection. Cancer Causes Control 2014;25(4):461-471.
- 27. Bruni L, Diaz M, Castellsague X, Ferrer E, Bosch FX, de Sanjose S. Cervical human papillomavirus prevalence in 5 continents: meta-analysis of 1 million women with normal cytological findings. J Infect Dis 2010:202(12):1789-1799.
- 28. Lizano M, De la Cruz-Hernandez E, Carrillo-Garcia A, Garcia-Carranca A, Ponce de Leon-Rosales S, Duenas-Gonzalez A, et al. Distribution of HPV16 and 18 intratypic variants in normal cytology, intraepithelial lesions, and cervical cancer in a Mexican population. Gynecol Oncol 2006;102(2):230-235.
- 29.Townsend L, Zembe Y, Mathews C, Mason-Jones AJ. Estimating HIV prevalence and HIV-related risk behaviors among heterosexual women who have multiple sex partners using respondent-driven sampling in a high-risk community in South Africa. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2013;62(4):457-464.
- 30. Dartell M, Rasch V, Munk C, Kahesa C, Mwaiselage J, Iftner T, et al. Risk factors for high-risk human papillomavirus detection among HIV-negative and HIV-positive women from Tanzania. Sex Transm Dis 2013;40(9):737-743. 31. Nielsen A, Kjaer SK, Munk C, Iftner T. Type-specific HPV infection and multiple HPV types: prevalence and risk factor profile in nearly 12,000 younger and older Danish women. Sex Transm Dis 2008;35(3):276-282.